RFQ No. 5036 Professional Engineering Services FY2024

Streets

| Proposal Evaluation | Score | Freese and Nichols | LJA Engineering | Halff Associates | HDR Engineering | Hanson |
|---|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Licensing / Certification | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| References Provided for Firm | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Technical Proposal | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Past Performance | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 7.0 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 4.9 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 14.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 14.0 |
| Subtotal Technical Proposal | 70.0 | 70.0 | 65.1 | 64.8 | 63.0 | 63.7 |
| nterview | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| Past Performance | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
| Subtotal Interview | 30.0 | 30.0 | 26.0 | 26.2 | 25.5 | 24.5 |
| Total Score | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.1 | 90.9 | 88.5 | 88.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Projects Recommended for award | | Williams Drive | Corn Products | North Padre Island Paper Street | - | - |

RFQ No. 5036 Professional Engineering Services FY2024

Streets

| Proposal Evaluation | Score | Ardurra | Pape Dawson | AG3 | Munoz Engineering | J. Schwarz & Associates |
|---|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Licensing / Certification | | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| References Provided for Firm | | ✓ | ~ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Technical Proposal | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 |
| Past Performance | 7.0 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.3 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 14.0 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 10.5 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 14.0 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 10.5 |
| Subtotal Technical Proposal | 70.0 | 58.8 | 58.1 | 55.7 | 53.2 | 52.5 |
| Interview | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Past Performance | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal Interview | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Score | 100.0 | 58.8 | 58.1 | 55.7 | 53.2 | 52.5 |
| | | | | | | |
| Projects Recommended for award | | - | - | - | - | - |

RFQ No. 5036 Professional Engineering Services FY2024

Streets

| Minimum Qualifications Licensing / Certification No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years References Provided for Firm Minimum Qualifications Pass, Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance 7. Team members with experience and qualifications 7. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity 7. Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity A. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. Team members with experience with work of similar scope and complexity 4. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity 4. | /Fail 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Pass Pass A Pass A A Pass 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | Pass Pass Pass 3.5 3.5 3.5 5.3 | Port Mansfield Pass Pass Pass 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Licensing / Certification No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years References Provided for Firm Minimum Qualifications Pass, Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 7. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | /Fail 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Pass 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | Pass 3.5 3.5 3.5 | Pass 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years References Provided for Firm Minimum Qualifications Pass, Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0 .0 .0 .0 | Pass 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | Pass 3.5 3.5 3.5 | Pass 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years References Provided for Firm Minimum Qualifications Pass, Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance 7. Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0 .0 .0 .0 | Pass 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | Pass 3.5 3.5 3.5 | Pass 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| References Provided for Firm Minimum Qualifications Pass, Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity A. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects A. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0 .0 .0 .0 | Pass 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 3.5 3.5 | Pass 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal Tolerview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0 .0 .0 .0 | 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 3.5 3.5 | 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| Technical Proposal Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0 .0 .0 .0 | 4.6 3.2 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 3.5 3.5 | 3.5 3.2 3.5 |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 7. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 7. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 .0 | 3.2 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 3.5 | 3.2 3.5 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal To Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity A. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects A. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 .0 | 3.2 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 3.5 | 3.2 3.5 |
| Past Performance 7. Team members with experience and qualifications 7. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity 7. Availability of resources to accomplish the work 7. Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 | 3.2 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications 7. Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity 7. Availability of resources to accomplish the work 7. Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 14 Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 7. Team members with experience and qualifications | .0 | 4.6 | | |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal To Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | _ | | 5.3 | |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 14 Subtotal Technical Proposal Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 7. 4. | 0 | | | 3.5 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Subtotal Technical Proposal To Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 14 | | 4.6 | 5.3 | 3.9 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 14 | .0 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Subtotal Technical Proposal 70 Interview Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 8.4 |
| Interview 4. Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity 4. Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 | 9.8 | 7.0 | 9.8 |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | 0.0 | 44.8 | 42.0 | 39.2 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects 4. Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | | | | |
| Past Performance 2. Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications 4. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| · | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity 4 | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work 2. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services 5. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency 5. | .0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal Interview 30 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Score 100 | | 44.8 | 42.0 | 39.2 |