RFQ 6256: Professional Architectural Services for FY 2025

Master Service Agreements



City Council Presentation
June 17th, 2025



RFQ 6256: Professional Architectural Services for FY 2025 Master Service Agreements

Overview:

- Awarding five Master Service Agreements
- \$750,000 per year per firm
- Not to Exceed \$11.25million over three years
- Supports Bond and CIP Programs
- Projects located city-wide



Contract Categories:

- SEA District Architectural and Professional On-call Services and
- City-wide Parks and Facility Architectural On-call Services
 - Chuck Anastos
 - Gignac
 - Jacobs
 - Levy Dykema
 - Turner Ramirez

Continued to next page..



Purpose & Benefits

- Accelerates project delivery
- Supports various building projects
- Flexible on-call contracts
- Efficient procurement process
- Streamlines stakeholder coordination

Continued to next page..



Contract Details

- Five firms awarded MSAs
- Used across project phases
- Task Orders determine funding
- No guaranteed work volume
- Competitive selection process

Continued to next page..



Selection Process

- RFQ issued December 2024
- Eight evaluation factors considered
- Five firms selected for experience
- All local firms
- Ensures project efficiency & quality



Summary of Firms by Interest

| Firm Name | A. Fire | B. Police | C. Library 🔻 | E. MSA 🔻 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|----------|
| CLK Architects & Associates | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Collaborate Architects, LLC | | | | ✓ |
| Freeman Schroeder Architects, LLC | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Gignac & Associates, LLP | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| J. Schwarz & Associates | | | | ✓ |
| Jacobs | | | | ✓ |
| Levy Dykema | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Richter Architects | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| RVK Architecture | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Turner Ramirez Architects | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| XA Collective | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Ziegler Cooper Architects | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Chuck Anastos Associates, LLC | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ |
| BRW Architects | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| | | | | |



Summary of MSA Contracts

| Proposal Evaluation | Score | Chuck Anastos | Gignac | Jacobs | Turner Ramirez | Levy Dykema |
|---|-----------|---------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------------|
| | | City | City | City | City | City |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Licensing / Certification | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| References Provided for Firm | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Technical Proposal | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 7.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 |
| Past Performance | 7.0 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 14.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 14.0 | 13.3 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| Subtotal Technical Proposal | 70.0 | 65.8 | 65.5 | 62.7 | 63.0 | 62.7 |
| Interview | | | | | | |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Past Performance | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Subtotal Interview | 30.0 | 29.8 | 29.7 | 29.3 | 27.6 | 27.7 |
| Total Score | 100.0 | 95.6 | 95.2 | 91.9 | 90.6 | 90.4 |



RFQ 6146 - Mass Selection of Design Consultants Benefits of doing a bundle MSA

- Greater transparency than using small AE agreements
- Industry best management practice
- Enhances efficiency in small to medium engineering tasks.
- Cuts overhead for procurement, contracting, and agenda prep.
- Lower overhead means better value for taxpayers and ratepayers.
- **Streamline approvals** by consolidating contracts into one agenda item.