General Engineering Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Solka Nava Torno	Chuck Anastos	Bath Group	Jacobs Engineering Group	Levy Dykema	Fresch Architects			
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
Licensing / Certification		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
References Provided for Firm			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Technical Proposal	Technical Proposal									
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7	6.4	6.4	5.8	6.4	6.4	5.3			
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7	6.4	6.4	5.3	5.8	6.4	5.3			
Past Performance	7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8			
Team members with experience and qualifications	7	6.4	5.8	6.4	5.8	6.4	6.4			
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7	6.4	5.8	6.4	5.8	5.8	6.4			
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7	5.8	5.8	6.4	6.4	6.4	5.3			
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14	12.8	12.8	12.8	11.7	11.7	12.8			
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	10.5	12.8			
Technical Scores	70	63.0	61.9	61.9	60.7	59.5	60.1			
Interview										
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.2			
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	4	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.0			
Past Performance	2	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.5			
Team members with experience and qualifications	4	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.0			
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	4	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.0			
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.6			
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	3.8			
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	2.8			
Interview Scores	30	28.9	29.1	29.0	28.3	29.0	21.8			
Grand Total	100	91.9	91.0	90.9	88.9	88.5	81.9			

Fire Category Architectural Services

Proposal Evaluation		Brown Reynolds Watford Architects	Turner Ramirez	RVK Architecture	
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Licensing / Certification		✓	✓	✓	
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	
References Provided for Firm		✓	✓	✓	
Technical Proposal					
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7	7.0	7.0	6.3	
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7	7.0	6.3	6.3	
Past Performance	7	6.0	6.3	5.3	
Team members with experience and qualifications	7	6.7	6.3	6.3	
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7	7.0	6.7	6.0	
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7	6.7	6.0	6.0	
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14	14.0	12.6	13.3	
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14	14.0	14.0	13.3	
Technical Score	70	68.3	65.1	62.7	
Interview					
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	4	3.9	3.9	3.7	
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	4	3.9	3.9	3.4	
Past Performance	2	1.7	1.6	1.6	
Team members with experience and qualifications	4	3.9	3.9	3.6	
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	4	3.9	3.9	3.4	
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2	1.7	1.6	1.6	
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	5	4.8	4.5	4.5	
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	
Interview Score	30	28.5	27.9	26.5	
Grand Total	100	96.8	93.0	89.1	

Parks Category Architectural Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Turner Ramirez	Levy Dykema	Chuck Anastos Associates	Fresch Architects	Jacobs Engineering Group	Gignac Architects	Ardurra Group
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		✓	>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
References Provided for Firm		✓	>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Technical Proposal	<u>-</u>				-		-	
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7	5.95	7	4.9	5.25	5.95	5.25	4.9
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7	6.65	6.3	4.9	5.25	5.95	5.95	5.25
Past Performance	7	7	5.95	5.95	5.25	5.25	4.9	4.9
Team members with experience and qualifications	7	5.6	6.65	5.25	5.95	5.6	5.25	5.25
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7	6.65	5.95	5.6	5.95	4.9	5.95	5.25
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7	5.95	5.6	5.25	5.6	4.9	4.55	5.6
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14	12.6	13.3	9.8	9.8	9.8	10.5	9.8
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14	12.6	11.9	11.2	10.5	9.8	10.5	10.5
Technical Score	70	63	62.65	52.85	53.55	52.15	52.85	51.45
Interview								
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	4	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.5	3.67	3.5	3.33
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	4	3.83	3.83	4	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.17
Past Performance	2	1.75	1.75	1.835	1.585	1.585	1.585	1.5
Team members with experience and qualifications	4	3.67	3.67	3.5	3.5	3.83	3.33	3.33
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	4	3.67	3.67	3.83	3.5	3.5	3.67	3.5
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2	2	1.835	1.665	1.665	1.835	1.585	1.665
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	5	4.7875	4.5875	4.7875	4.375	4.375	4.5875	4.1625
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5	4.5875	4.5875	4.5875	4.1625	4.375	3.9625	3.75
Interview Score	30	28	27.6	27.88	25.62	26.84	25.89	24.41
Grand Total	100	91	90.25	80.73	79.17	78.99	78.74	75.86

Police Category Architectural Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Turner Ramirez	Brown Reynolds Watford Architects	Gignac Architects	International Consulting Engineers	Levy Dykema	Chuck Anastos Associates
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		~	~	✓	~	>	✓
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		~	~	✓	~	>	✓
References Provided for Firm		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Technical Proposal							
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7	6.0	6.0	6.0	4.6	4.9	4.6
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7	6.0	5.6	5.3	4.2	4.9	4.6
Past Performance	7	6.0	5.6	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.9
Team members with experience and qualifications	7	6.0	6.0	5.3	4.9	5.3	4.9
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7	5.6	5.6	4.9	4.6	4.9	4.6
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7	5.6	5.3	4.2	4.9	4.9	4.6
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14	11.2	10.5	10.5	10.5	9.8	10.5
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14	11.9	11.2	11.2	9.8	9.8	9.1
Technical Scores	70	58.1	55.7	51.8	48.0	49.4	47.6
Interview							
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	4	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.2	2.3
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	4	3.2	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.0	2.3
Past Performance	2	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.6
Team members with experience and qualifications	4	3.5	3.3	3.5	2.8	2.7	2.8
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	4	3.2	3.3	3.5	2.8	2.8	2.7
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	5	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.1	3.3
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.3	3.3
Interview Scores	30	24.1	24.8	24.3	22.0	18.9	19.8
Grand Total	100	82.2	80.5	76.1	69.9	68.2	67.4

Health Architectural Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Chuck Anastos Associates	Gignac Architects	Levy Dykema	Solka Nava Torno	Turner Ramirez			
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
Licensing / Certification		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
References Provided for Firm		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Technical Proposal									
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7	4.2	5.3	6.7	6.0	6.3			
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7	4.2	5.3	6.7	6.0	6.7			
Past Performance	7	4.6	4.6	6.0	5.6	6.0			
Team members with experience and qualifications	7	5.3	4.2	6.0	6.0	6.0			
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7	4.2	4.2	6.0	5.6	6.0			
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7	4.9	4.2	6.3	5.6	5.6			
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14	8.4	9.1	13.3	11.9	13.3			
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14	9.1	9.8	13.3	11.9	12.6			
Technical Scores	70	44.8	46.6	64.1	58.5	62.3			
Interview									
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	4	2.6	3.0	4.0	2.8	3.6			
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	4	2.8	2.6	4.0	2.6	3.6			
Past Performance	2	1.6	1.1	1.8	1.5	1.6			
Team members with experience and qualifications	4	3.0	2.4	4.0	3.4	3.6			
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	4	3.2	2.6	3.8	2.8	3.4			
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2	1.6	1.2	2.0	1.4	1.5			
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	5	3.5	3.8	5.0	3.8	5.0			
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5	4.3	3.8	5.0	3.8	5.0			
Interview Scores	30	22.6	20.4	29.6	22.0	27.3			
Grand Total	100	67.4	67.0	93.7	80.5	89.6			