DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS (TIA)





MALDONADO-BURKETT INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, LLP

Prepared for: URBAN ENGINEERING 2725 Swantner Corpus Christi, TX 78404

Prepared by: Maldonado-Burkett Intelligent Transportation Systems, LLP Corpus Christi, Texas June 2017



Executive Summary

A. Purpose

The purpose of this Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) is to address the traffic and transportation impacts of the proposed Del Mar College Southside Campus on the adjacent street network and recommend any capacity related improvements. The site is located on Yorktown Boulevard with Rodd Field Road. One specific objective of this study is to determine if Bronx Drive roadway extension from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard can be eliminated from the City's Urban Transportation Plan (UTP) without having sufficient traffic impacts on adjacent streets and intersections with ultimate build-out of land use in the area. This study will also determine the existing and future Level of Service (LOS) associated with the new development at selected study intersections of: (1.) Yorktown Boulevard @ Cimarron Boulevard, (2.) Yorktown Boulevard @ Road Field Road, (3.) Rodd Field Road @ Presidents Drive, (4.) Rodd Field Road @ Airline Road/Slough Road, (5) Airline Road @ Lipes Boulevard, (6.) Bronx Drive @ Lipes Boulevard and (7.) Cimarron Boulevard @ Lipes Boulevard. This study also includes the five (5) proposed driveways from the new development.

On March 27, 2017 Del Mar College officially requested the City Transportation Advisory Commission (CTAC) to consider the deletion of Bronx Drive between Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard from the UTP. The outcome was to expand this TIA to support Del Mar College's request.

B. Development

The planned development will include the following:

- Bronx Drive extension possible removal from UTP.
- Phase 1: 6,000 Students (Year 2020). 720 AM and 720 PM peak-hour trips generated.
- Phase 2: 12,000 Total Students (Year 2025). 1440 AM and 1440 PM peak-hour trips generated.
- Phase 3: 20,000 Total Students Year 2030). 2400 AM and 2400 PM peak-hour trips generated.
- The new development will generate 24,600 trips for a typical weekday.

The 95.4 Acre Del Mar College Southside Campus will have five (5) access points. Three (3) on Yorktown Boulevard and two (2) on Rodd Field Road.

C. Studies

Studies include gathering 24 HR traffic data, AM & PM peak hour turning movement counts, traffic signal warrants, queuing and intersection LOS for seven (7) intersections and five (5) new driveways.

D. Findings

Scenario 1: (without Bronx Drive Extension)

- Phase 1 (2020) LOS C or better.
- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.

Scenario 2: (with Bronx Drive Open)

- Phase 1 (2020) LOS B or better.
- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.

Scenario 3: (with LJA Rodd Field roadway design)

• Phase 1 (2020) – LOS C or better.



- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.

E. Conclusions

The City of Corpus Christi has Rodd Field Road scheduled for pavement rehabilitation construction for late 2017. The improvements will include a T-Intersection at Yorktown Boulevard, a new traffic signal and proposed improvements to intersection #2, #3, #4, #DW4 and #DW5.

The Del Mar Southside Campus will generate a significant number of trips for both the AM and PM peaks for all Phases of development. The LOS for the roadway transportations system remains at LOS D or better with recommended improvements for phases 1, 2 and 3.

Del Mar College has officially requested the City Transportation Advisory Commission (CTAC) to consider the deletion of Bronx Drive from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard from the UTP. Bronx Drive removal from the UTP will have some traffic impact to the existing roadway network. Local traffic heading to Yorktown Boulevard will have to continue to use Cimarron Boulevard or Airline Road to get to Yorktown. Fire Station No.17 would have a longer response time for all emergency calls for the Bronx Drive neighborhood. At an average speed of 30 MPH, emergency response time to Bronx Drive @ Lipes Boulevard would be 1 minute and 22 seconds with Bronx Drive open as opposed to 2 minutes and 55 seconds with Bronx Drive closed. The LOS for the roadway network will be the same with or without Bronx Drive extension. Scenario 1 (without Bronx), Scenario 2 (with Bronx) and Scenario 3 (with LJA design) will operate at a LOS D or better, for all Phases of construction.

The removal of Bronx Drive from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard will have no major adverse impact to the street network.

F. Recommendations

Proposed Site Plan

Recommend the Developer to consider providing circulation access within the proposed site plan for better internal traffic movements. Recommend that the Developer provide transit, Pedestrian and Bicyclist facilities. Recommend the developer prepare a letter of intent allowing for a multi-use path for bicycles and pedestrians along Bronx Drive/ DW1. See **Appendix** for a copy of Del Mar College letter of intent.

Street Improvements

For Intersection #DW5 (Rodd Field Road with DW5) an intersection design with a traffic signal will handle traffic better than a U-turn configuration on Rodd Field Road. Existing traffic from Del Mar Southside Campus heading north on Rodd Field Road from DW5 will create a traffic backup on the campus without a traffic signal. Signal Warrants are justified for Phase 2 (2025) of development. A Synchro output comparison was conducted with the U-turn design versus the intersection at DW5. Synchro output showed the two comparisons to be very similar. See **Appendix** for comparison U-turn versus intersection design report.

Due to the analysis preformed for the street network for all Scenarios and Phases of construction, we recommend Scenario 1: (without Bronx Drive Extension) be implemented. Recommend the City to consider the removal of Bronx Drive extension from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard. The removal of Bronx Drive will have some; but not sufficient impact to the existing roadway network to



warrant its continued inclusion in the UTP. The deletion of Bronx Drive will have an acceptable LOS of D or better to the existing roadway network and will be in compliance with the UDC.

Recommend traffic signals be coordinated and maintained for signals along Yorktown Boulevard and Rodd Field Road.



I.Introduction

A. Purpose

Maldonado-Burkett Intelligent Transportation Systems, LLP (MBITS) has been retained by Urban Engineering to perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the new Del Mar College Southside Campus. The project site is located on Yorktown Boulevard with Rodd Field Road. The new development is bound by Yorktown Boulevard on the South, Airline Road on the North, Cimarron Boulevard on the West and Rodd Field Road on the East.

The purpose of this study is to address the traffic and transportation impacts of the proposed development on the adjacent street network and recommend any capacity related improvements. The specific objectives of this study is to determine if Bronx Drive roadway extension from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard can be eliminated from the City's Urban Transportation Plan (UTP) without having any traffic impacts on adjacent streets and intersections with ultimate build-out of land use in the area. This study will also determine the existing and future Level of Service (LOS) associated with the new development at selected study intersections of: (1.) Yorktown Boulevard @ Cimarron Boulevard, (2.) Yorktown Boulevard @ Rodd Field Road, (3.) Rodd Field Road @ Presidents Drive, (4.) Rodd Field Road @ Airline Road/Slough Road, (5) Airline Road @ Lipes Boulevard, (6.) Bronx Drive @ Lipes Boulevard and (7.) Cimarron Boulevard @ Lipes Boulevard. This study also includes the five (5) proposed driveways from the new development.

On March 27, 2017 Urban Engineering, representing Del Mar College, officially requested the City Transportation Advisory Commission (CTAC) to consider the deletion of Bronx Drive between Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard from the UTP.

B. Studies

Studies include gathering 24 HR traffic data, AM & PM peak hour turning movement counts, traffic signal warrants, queuing and intersection LOS.

C. Methodology

The traffic evaluation was comprised of AM and PM peak hour Level of Service (LOS) analyses. This included the existing conditions, background (opening day) conditions for the three (3) phases of construction. Analysis was accomplished via Synchro 9 and OTISS software. All of the information was completed in accordance with Trip Generation, 9th Edition, Highway Capacity Manual 2010 designing to a LOS Standard – D or better.

OTISS is a cloud-based application for traffic and transportation engineers who need to perform traffic impact assessments. Featuring data from over 5,500 studies included in the *9th Edition Institute of Transportation Engineering (ITE) Trip Generation Manual*, OTISS is the most complete trip generation and analysis tool available today with 24-hour availability. OTISS software was used for the AM and PM peak period analysis reports.

Synchro Studio 9 provides the best in traffic analysis, optimization, and simulation applications. It combines the modeling capabilities of Synchro and the microsimulation and animation capabilities of SimTraffic to create the ultimate tool kit for viewing. Synchro 9 is a macroscopic analysis and optimization software application. Synchro supports the *Highway Capacity Manual's methodology (2000 & 2010 methods)* for signalized intersections and roundabouts. Synchro also implements the intersection Capacity Utilization method for determining intersection capacity. Synchro's signal optimization routine allows the user to weight specific phases, thus providing users more options when developing signal timing plans.



II.Proposed Development

A. Site Location/Study Area

This TIA studies the adverse traffic impacts of the new development. The project site is located on Yorktown Boulevard with Rodd Field Road. See **Exhibit 1** for a location map within the City of Corpus Christi. See **Exhibit 2** for the new Del Mar College Southside Conceptual Campus site plan as of February 23, 2017.

B. Proposed Zoning (Del Mar College Southside Campus) (95.4 Acres)

The planned development will include the following:

- Phase 1: 6,000 Students (Year 2020)
- Phase 2: 6,000 Additional Students (Year 2025)
- Phase 3: 8,000 Additional Students Year 2030)

The 95.4 Acre Del Mar College Southside Campus will have five (5) access points. Three (3) on Yorktown Boulevard and two (2) on Rodd Field Road. **Exhibit 3** illustrates existing AM and PM peak hour volumes, prior to opening day. The proposed development was analyzed using 3 Scenarios for this report; Scenario 1; (without Bronx Drive), Scenario 2: (with Bronx Drive) and Scenario 3: (with LJA Rodd Field Road design).

This area is currently zoned as mixed use acreage:

The proposed educational facility was studied using Code 540 – Junior/Community College land use.



III.Existing Area Conditions

A. Existing Traffic Volumes

The following are documented traffic volumes gathered from the Corpus Christi Metropolitan Planning Organization (CCMO).

• Rodd Field Road – YR 2012 – 8,400 ADT

```
YR 2015 - 15,550 ADT
```

- Yorktown Boulevard YR 2011 9,577 ADT
 - YR 2012 9,780 ADT YR 2012 – 9,560 ADT
 - YR 2012 6,060 ADT
- Airline Road YR 2013 3,301 ADT

B. Proposed Zoning Codes and Land Uses

The Del Mar Southside Campus is zoned as an educational facility, CODE 540, Junior/Community College land use.

C. Approved Developments in Area

Manhattan Apartments located on 7001 Lipes Boulevard is under construction and should be fully functional within mid-2017. The 300 dwelling units Apartment Complex is expected to generate **164** AM trips and **195** PM trips. These trips were incorporated within this study and distributed throughout the roadway network.

D. Existing Street System

The existing roadway network within the study area includes the following un-signalized & signalized intersections:

- Intersection 1: Yorktown Boulevard @ Cimarron Boulevard (Existing Signal)
- Intersection 2: Yorktown Boulevard @ Rodd Field Road (City Programmed Signal)
- Intersection 3: Rodd Field Road @ President Drive (Stop Control)
- Intersection 4: Rodd Field Road @ Airline Road/Slough Road (Existing Signal)
- Intersection 5: Airline Road @ Lipes Boulevard (Stop Control)
- Intersection 6: Lipes Boulevard @ Bronx Drive (Stop Control)
- Intersection 7: Cimarron Boulevard @ Lipes Boulevard (Existing Signal)

There are several major roadways within the study area. The following is a description of the existing conditions as of January 2017.

<u>Yorktown Boulevard (A3 Primary Arterial)</u> is a four-lane divided arterial, with raised median containing left turn lanes, curb and gutter, bike lanes and sidewalks. The street runs in an east-west direction on the south side of development. The posted speed limit is 45 mph.

<u>Rodd Field Road (A3 Primary Arterial)</u> is a two-lane undivided arterial; that runs generally in a north-south direction and is located to the east of the project area and intersects Yorktown Boulevard. The posted speed limit is 50 mph.

Cimarron Boulevard (A1 Undivided Minor Arterial) is a five-lane un-divided arterial, with left turn lanes, curb and gutter, and sidewalks. The street runs in a north-south direction on the west side of the development. The posted speed limit is 35 mph.



<u>Airline Road (A1 Undivided Minor Arterial)</u> is a five-lane un-divided arterial, with left turn lanes, curb and gutter, bike lanes and sidewalks. The street runs generally in a north-south direction on the north side of development. The posted speed limit is 40 mph.

Lipes Boulevard (C3 Primary Collector) is a two-lane un-divided collector, with curb and gutter and sidewalks on the north side. The street runs generally in an east-west direction on the north side of development. The posted speed limit is 30 mph.

Bronx Drive (C1 Minor Residential Collector) is a two-lane un-divided collector with curb and gutter and sidewalks. The street runs generally in a north-south direction on the north side of development. The posted speed limit is 30 mph.

E. Scheduled Projects in the Area

CCMPO's Strategic Plan for Active Mobility – Bicycle Mobility Plan, adopted by City Council, includes various bicycle infrastructures in the area:

- One-way cycle tracks on Rodd Field Road.
- One-way cycle tracks on Yorktown Boulevard.
- One-way cycle tracks on Bronx Drive.
- Off-road multi-use trail on Master Channel 31.

Projects planned in "Mobility CC" are:

- ADA Master Plan Pedestrian Infrastructure Improvements Phase 3 ADA Accessible Routes interconnecting places of Public Accommodation: Yorktown Road from Staples Street to Rodd Field Road.
- Hike Bike CC Bill Witt Trail. See **Appendix** for Proposed Bill Witt Trail Priority Network recommendations and Plan Layout.

Projects planned in the "Bond" street are:

- Bond 2012 E10100 Yorktown Blvd (Rodd Field to Cimarron) (Landscape) -Complete.
- Bond 2014 E15112 Rodd Field Road Expansion Scheduled late 2017.

Projects planned in the "FY 2017-2020 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP)/2015-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Amendment 1":

• Rodd Field Road Extension from Yorktown Boulevard to South of Oso Creek.



CCRTA current transit services is Route 26 from Airline Road to Cimarron Boulevard to Lipes Boulevard to Staples Street.

CCRTA transit plan for YR 2020 is shown below:



Emergency routes for CCFD and CCPD to respond to a 911-emergency call within the Bronx Drive/Lipes Boulevard intersection are Yorktown Boulevard, Cimarron Boulevard, Rodd Field Road and Airline Road. Fire Station No. 17 is located on 6869 Yorktown Boulevard.

For demonstration purposes only, responding to a 911-emergency call to the focus point of Lipes Boulevard @ Bronx Drive using Bronx Drive would take 1 minute 22 seconds at an average speed of 30 mph (44'/Second). See table below for several routes arrival times.

Start	Route	FT	Avg Speed	Arrival time
Fire Station	(1) New Bronx Drive (UTP) to	3,612	30	1 min
17	Lipes @ Bronx		MPH	22 sec
Fire Station	(2) Yorktown West to Cimarron	7,688	30	2 min
17	North to Lipes @ Bronx		MPH	55 sec
Fire Station 17	(3) Yorktown East to Rodd Field North to Airline Northwest to Lipes @ Bronx	8,518	30 MPH	3 min 14 sec



IV. Projected Traffic

A. Proposed Street Improvements

The following are new proposed driveways within the study area:

- Driveway DW1: Yorktown Boulevard @ Bill Witt Entrance/ Bronx Drive/DW1
- Driveway DW2: Yorktown Boulevard @ Bichon Drive/ DW2
- Driveway DW3: Yorktown Boulevard @ Bay Drive/DW3
- Driveway DW4: Rodd Field Road @ DW4
- Driveway DW5: Rodd Field Road @ DW5

The Proposed driveways are critical to maintain a decent traffic pattern flow coming in and out of the Campus. See **Table 1, 2 and 3** for driveway distribution.

B. Data Used for Intersection Analysis

MBITS and the City of Corpus Christi collected data for this study.

Collected 24-hour radar volume traffic counts:

• Yorktown Boulevard (2 locations), Rodd Field Road, Airline Road (Short Section), Bill Witt Park Entrance, Bichon Drive, Bay Drive, Lipes Boulevard and Bronx Drive.

Collected AM & PM turning movement traffic counts:

• Yorktown Boulevard @ Cimarron Boulevard, Yorktown Boulevard @ Rodd Field Road, Rodd Field Road @ Presidents Drive, Rodd Field Road @ Airline Road/ Slough Road, Airline Road @ Lipes Boulevard and Cimarron Boulevard @ Lipes Boulevard.

C. Existing Traffic Data

Proposed Regional Parkway Study identifies traffic volumes of:

- 2035 Future No Build Yorktown Boulevard 16,150 and 18,374 ADT. Rodd Field Road 22,396 ADT.
- 2035 Future Build Yorktown Boulevard 11,915 and 12,616 ADT. Rodd Field Road 28,306 ADT. Rodd Field Road Extension 17,674 ADT.

The following are 2040 projected traffic volumes from the regional demand model by CCMPO:

- Rodd Field Road 23,775 and 13,227 ADT.
- Yorktown Boulevard 22,154, 19,912 and 17,771 ADT.
- Airline Road 11,547 and 22,062 ADT.

D. Proposed Trip Generation

The Del Mar College Southside Campus development includes the following facilities: Phase 1: 6,000 Students, Phase 2: 6,000 Students and Phase 3: 8,000 Students. Trips were generated by applying the appropriate land use codes found in the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation, 9th Edition.*

The Del Mar College Southside Campus development is expected to generate **720** AM peak-hour trips and **720** PM peak-hour trips for Phase 1development.

For the completion of Phase 2 development the trip generation shall increase to **1440** AM peak-hour trips and **1440** PM peak-hour trips.

For the completion of Phase 3 development the trip generation shall increase to **2400** AM peak-hour trips and **2400** PM peak-hour trips. Trip Generation for a typical weekday is **24,600** trips per day.



The 300 dwelling units Manhattan Apartments Construction is expected to generate **164** AM trips and **195** PM trips. These trips were incorporated within this study and distributed throughout the roadway network.

See **Appendix** for the trip generation rates for all 3 Scenarios for Phase 1, Phase 2 and total trips generated by land use assuming full development and occupancy for Phase 3. Trip reductions were not used for this study per recommendations of the Institute of Transportation Engineers OTISS software and *Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual*, 9th Edition.



V.Transportation Analysis

A. Amendment to Urban Transportation Plan (UTP)

Del Mar College has officially requested the City of Corpus Christi for the removal of Bronx Drive extension from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard.

As proposed in the UTP it would serve as a minor residential collector for the area south of Lipes Boulevard and would provide access to Yorktown Boulevard just across Bill Witt Park.

Without Bronx Drive the network connectivity for residents north of Yorktown Boulevard will have to use Cimarron Boulevard or Airline Road to get to Yorktown Boulevard. Bronx Drive extension is currently planned for a one-way cycle track tying in to Master Channel 31 Ditch and South to Yorktown Boulevard. The Build-out of neighborhood was included in this report by using the growth rate factor. Bronx Drive extension would also be utilized by the CCFD as an emergency route to the neighborhood.

Existing trails were identified along Master Channel 31 Ditch and along Bill Witt Park south to south of LaSalle Drive. Currently, there are sidewalks that run east and west on Yorktown Boulevard. These facilities should not be affected much by the proposed development. All facilities affected during construction should be adjusted accordingly to city specifications and requirements.

Sidewalks are proposed on Rodd Field Road under the programmed street improvements. The MPO's Bicycle Mobility Plan has programmed a one-way cycle tracks on both sides of Bronx Drive from Yorktown Boulevard to Brockhampton Street. The Transportation Planning Director will consider an alternative off-road multi-use path infrastructure independent of the proposed roadway for the section of proposed UTP amendment. Del Mar Collage has expressed consent to accommodating a multi-use path within Del Mar property and will prepare a letter of intent. See **Appendix** for a copy of Del Mar College letter of intent.

B. Background (Opening Day) Trip Generation

Based on the City of Corpus Christi Travel Demand Model, a 1.3% for Airline Road, 2.3% for Rodd Field Road and a 2.6% for Yorktown Boulevard annually compounded growth rates were used to reflect the growth of the background traffic. These rates were applied to the background traffic volumes for each year to determine the traffic volume growth that can be expected throughout all 3 phases of development within the study area.

The following is a breakdown of anticipated neighborhood traffic using Bronx Drive from Lipes Boulevard to Yorktown Boulevard:

BRONX DRIVE												
YEAR		2020			2025			2030				
PEAK	A	М	PI	М	A	М	Pl	М	A	М	Pl	М
VOLUMES	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB	NB	SB
PROJECTED VOLUMES	37	75	52	112	39	80	55	119	42	85	59	127

Scenario 1: Development Phase 1, 2 and 3 were analyzed **without** Bronx Drive extension build-out. Lipes Boulevard extension to Bronx Drive was analyzed as open for this Scenario.

See Exhibit 4 for generated traffic data Scenario 1 without Bronx Drive for Phase 1 (2020) peak hour volumes. See Exhibit 5 for generated traffic data Scenario 1 without Bronx Drive for Phase 2 (2025) peak hour volumes. See Exhibit 6 for generated traffic data Scenario 1 without Bronx Drive for Phase 3 (2030) peak hour volumes.



Scenario 2: Development Phase 1, 2 and 3 were analyzed **with** Bronx Drive and Lipes Boulevard extended as proposed in the Urban Transportation Plan (UTP).

See **Exhibit 7** for generated traffic data Scenario 2 with Bronx Drive for Phase 1 (2020) peak hour volumes. See **Exhibit 8** for generated traffic data Scenario 2 with Bronx Drive for Phase 2 (2025) peak hour volumes. See **Exhibit 9** for generated traffic data Scenario 2 with Bronx Drive for Phase 3 (2030) peak hour volumes.

<u>Scenario 3</u>: Development Phase 1, 2 and 3 were analyzed with LJA's Rodd Field Road design utilizing a U-turn lane near Yorktown Boulevard. See Exhibit 16 for LJA's roadway design.

See **Exhibit 10** for generated traffic data Scenario 3 with LJA's Design on Rodd Field Road for Phase 1 (2020) peak hour volumes. See **Exhibit 11** for generated traffic data Scenario 3 with LJA's Design on Rodd Field Road for Phase 2 (2025) peak hour volumes. See **Exhibit 12** for generated traffic data Scenario 3 with LJA's Design on Rodd Field Road for Phase 3 (2030) peak hour volumes.

All projected traffic does not include the Rodd Field Road Extension from Yorktown Boulevard to future Regional Parkway. See **Exhibit 14** for the vicinity LAND USE within the proposed development. The surrounding area has approximately 103 acres of undeveloped residential and approximately 10 acres of undeveloped commercial. The growth rates used for this study should encumber the final buildout of all undeveloped LAND USE.

C. Queuing

Queuing analysis was performed for the intersection of Rodd Field Road with Yorktown Boulevard, Rodd Field Road with Driveway DW4, Rodd Field Road with Airline Road, Yorktown Boulevard with Bronx Drive / DW1 and Yorktown Boulevard with Cimarron Boulevard. See **Appendix** for each intersection describing the Queue length 50th (ft) and 95th (ft) stacking for each approach. Synchro output shows excessive queuing for Yorktown Boulevard @ DW1 eastbound for Phase 3 of construction. See recommendations for corrective measures.

D. Net Increased Trip Generation, Distribution and Assignment

The additional site-generated traffic associated with the development was assigned to the study area roadway network. See **Exhibit 13** for Existing Transportation System. The distribution and assignment were determined based on engineering judgment through generated traffic counts, knowledge of the study area network, and the proposed access locations to and from the development. See **Table 1** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 1 for the new driveways. See **Table 2** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 2 for the new driveways. See **Table 3** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 3 for the new driveways. See **Table 4** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 5 for the new driveways. See **Table 5** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 7 for the new driveways. See **Table 5** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 7 for the new driveways. See **Table 5** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 8 for the new driveways. See **Table 5** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 5** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 6** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 7** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 6** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 7** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for the new driveways. See **Table 9** for distribution percentage (%) Scenario 9 for th

E. Level of Service (LOS) Evaluations

The analysis consists of both AM and PM intersection LOS analyses for all 3 Scenarios. The purpose of this analysis was to determine if any deficiencies within the network exist and to establish a standard condition.

"Level-of-Service (LOS)" represents the capacity or volume of traffic that a roadway can accommodate. LOS is a qualitative measure used to relate to the quality of traffic service. LOS is used to analyze highways by categorizing traffic flow and assigning quality levels of traffic based on performance measure such as speed, density, etc. These levels range from LOS A (free flowing) to LOS F (a congested, forced flow condition). The target LOS for this study area is a LOS D or better with all improvements and development resulting from the Del Mar College Southside Campus development. A description of each operational state for signalized intersections, as defined by *2010 Highway Capacity Manual*, is presented in **Table 4**.



Table 5 summarizes the LOS results of the existing signalized intersections, **Table 6** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 1 (2020) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3, **Table 7** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 2 (2025) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 and **Table 8** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 3 (2030) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 and **Table 8** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 3 (2030) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 and **Table 8** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 3 (2030) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3 and **Table 8** summarizes the LOS results of Phase 3 (2030) background signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3. Signal timings were assumed optimized and actuated. Synchro output reports are provided in the **Appendix**.

For Two-Way Stop Control, LOS for non-signalized two-way intersections is defined as the total elapsed time from when a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line; this time includes the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue position to first-in-queue position. The levels of service criteria are given in **Table 9**.

Table 10 summarizes the LOS results of the existing un-signalized intersections. **Table 11** summarizes the LOS results of the Phase 1 (2020) background un-signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3, **Table 12** summarizes the LOS results of the Phase 2 (2025) background un-signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3. **Table 13** summarizes the LOS results of the Phase 3 (2030) background un-signalized intersections for Scenarios 1, 2 and 3.

Synchro output sheets are provided in the Appendix for all Scenarios.

Based on the analysis of the new development and Bronx Drive amendment from the UTP, the LOS outcome for each Scenario are as follows:

- Existing conditions for signalized intersections LOS C or better.
- Existing conditions for un-signalized intersections LOS C or better.

Scenario 1: (without Bronx Drive Extension)

- Phase 1 (2020) LOS C or better.
- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.

Scenario 2: (with Bronx Drive Open)

- Phase 1 (2020) LOS B or better.
- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.

Scenario 3: (with LJA Rodd Field roadway design)

- Phase 1 (2020) LOS C or better.
- Phase 2 (2025) LOS C or better.
- Phase 3 (2030) LOS D or better.



VI.Improvement Analysis

A. Transportation Improvements

Traffic impacts for all Phases of the Del Mar Southside Campus development will have an impact to the existing roadway network. The existing roadway network, with the proposed Rodd Field Road improvements were analyzed with additional improvements to improve the LOS throughout the study area.

For each Phase of Construction and for three different Scenarios, the LOS was analyzed with network improvements consisting of left-turn lanes, right-turn lanes, right-in right-outs, right out only, stop control and signalization control to accomplish the Cities requirements of LOS as stated in the Unified Development Code (UDC).

For Scenario 1: (without Bronx Drive Extension), See Exhibit 17a, Exhibit 17b and Exhibit 17c for recommended transportation improvements for Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 of Development.

For Scenario 2 (with Bronx Drive), See Exhibit 18a, Exhibit 18b and Exhibit 18c for recommended transportation improvements for Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 of development.

For Scenario 3 (with LJA Rodd Field Road Design), See Exhibit 19 for recommended transportation improvements for Phase 1 of development. No improvements required for Phase 2 and Phase 3 on Rodd Field Road.

Proposed driveways for the Del Mar Southside Campus are located within the recommended spacing as per the UDC and TxDOT Access Management Manual.

The proposed driveways within the site circulate around the student parking only and share only one connection from the east parking to the west parking.

The City's policy for funding proposed improvements normally falls on the Developer.

B. Signal Warrant Studies and Applications:

The *Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD)* defines nine warrants, or justifying sets of conditions, which at least one should be fully satisfied before signalization is considered as an option for traffic control. Traffic volumes, the number of traffic lanes, the prevailing traffic speeds, traffic accident experience, and measure delay for minor street traffic are the factors included in the evaluation of these warrants. The traffic volumes used for the analysis will be the existing traffic plus a projection of traffic exiting and entering the opening day occupied by the Del Mar College Southside Campus development. Traffic was projected using standard trip generation and traffic assignment procedures.

Signal Warrant Analysis that **DID MEET** Signal Warrants:

- Yorktown Boulevard with Bill Witt Entrance/Bronx Drive/DW1 for Phase 1 development, Scenario 1, 2 and 3.
- Rodd Field Road with DW5 for Phase 2 development, Scenario 1 and 2.
- Airline Road with Lipes Boulevard for Phase 3 development, Scenario 1 and 2.

Signal Warrant Analysis that **DID NOT MEET** Signal Warrants:

• Rodd Field Road with Presidents Drive.

The detailed warrant analysis worksheets, including the warrant curves are included in the Appendix.

Spacing Between proposed traffic signals



• On Yorktown Boulevard traffic signal spacing's are as follows:

Rodd Field Road to Bill Witt Entrance/Bronx Dr/DW1 is approximately 2,958 feet. Bill Witt Entrance/Bronx Dr/DW1 to Cimarron Boulevard is approximately 2,270 feet.

• On **Rodd Field Road** traffic signal spacing's are as follows:

Yorktown Boulevard to DW5 is approximately 1,080 feet. DW5 to Airline Road/Slough Road is approximately 1,578 feet.

The posted speed limit on Yorktown Boulevard is 45 MPH. Rodd Field Road has a posted speed limit of 50 MPH and proposed speed zone of 40 MPH after construction.

C. Driveway Design Guidelines

The proposed driveway locations balances spacing with the other adjacent driveway within the project site. The proposed driveways are essential to handle the projected traffic volumes for full occupancy. Examples of practical driveway designs to accommodate the type of vehicles expected is shown on **Exhibit 15**. Recommend a minimum Type 2 design for all proposed driveways.



VII.Conclusions

A. Site Accessibility

The Del Mar Southside Campus will have 3 access driveways on Yorktown Boulevard and 2 access Driveways on Rodd Field Road.

B. Transportation Impacts

The City of Corpus Christi has Rodd Field Road scheduled for pavement rehabilitation construction for late 2017. The improvements will include a T-Intersection at Yorktown Boulevard, a new traffic signal and proposed improvements to intersection #2, #3, #4, #DW4 and #DW5.

The Del Mar Southside Campus will generate a significant number of trips for both the AM and PM peaks for all Phases of development. The LOS for the roadway transportations system remains at LOS D or better with recommended improvements for phases 1, 2 and 3.

Del Mar College has officially requested the City Transportation Advisory Commission (CTAC) to consider the deletion of Bronx Drive from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard from the UTP. Bronx Drive removal from the UTP will have some traffic impact to the existing roadway network. Local traffic heading to Yorktown Boulevard will have to continue to use Cimarron Boulevard or Airline Road to get to Yorktown. Fire Station No.17 would have a longer response time for all emergency calls for the Bronx Drive neighborhood. At an average speed of 30 MPH, emergency response time to Bronx Drive @ Lipes Boulevard would be 1 minute and 22 seconds with Bronx Drive open as opposed to 2 minutes and 55 seconds with Bronx Drive closed. The LOS for the roadway network will be the same with or without Bronx Drive extension. Scenario 1 (without Bronx), Scenario 2 (with Bronx) and Scenario 3 (with LJA design) will operate at a LOS D or better, for all Phases of construction.

The removal of Bronx Drive from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard will have no major adverse impact to the street network.

C. Need for Improvements

Depending on which Scenario for all 3 phases of constructions, recommended roadway improvements, signalization, right-in right-out designs are recommended as detailed in Exhibits 17a, 17b, 17c, 18a, 18b, 18c and 19.

This study was prepared in compliance with applicable local codes and plans, Unified Development Code and Urban Transportation Plan (UTP).



VIII.Recommendations:

A. Proposed Site Plan

Recommend the Developer to consider providing circulation access within the proposed site plan for better internal traffic movements. Recommend that the Developer provide transit, Pedestrian and Bicyclist facilities. Recommend the developer prepare a letter of intent allowing for a multi-use path for bicycles and pedestrians along Bronx Drive/ DW1. See **Appendix** for a copy of Del Mar College letter of intent.

B. Street Improvements

For Intersection #DW5 (Rodd Field Road with DW5) an intersection design with a traffic signal will handle traffic better than a U-turn configuration on Rodd Field Road. Existing traffic from Del Mar Southside Campus heading north on Rodd Field Road from DW5 will create a traffic backup on the campus without a traffic signal. Signal Warrants are justified for Phase 2 (2025) of development. A Synchro output comparison was conducted with the U-turn design versus the intersection at DW5. Synchro output showed the two comparisons to be very similar. See **Appendix** for comparison U-turn versus intersection design report.

Due to the analysis preformed for the street network for all Scenarios and Phases of construction, we recommend **Scenario 1: (without Bronx Drive Extension**) be implemented. Recommend the City to consider the removal of Bronx Drive extension from Master Channel 31 Ditch to Yorktown Boulevard. The removal of Bronx Drive will have some; but not sufficient impact to the existing roadway network to warrant its continued inclusion in the UTP. The deletion of Bronx Drive will have an acceptable LOS of D or better to the existing roadway network and will be in compliance with the UDC.

Recommend traffic signals be coordinated and maintained for signals along Yorktown Boulevard and Rodd Field Road.

Below is a breakdown of proposed improvements for Scenario 1.

Phase 1: Improvements

Intersection #DW1 (Yorktown Boulevard @ Bill Witt Ent/Bronx Drive/DW1)

Recommend a 300' storage left turn lane on Yorktown Boulevard EB, a 150' storage right-turn lane on Yorktown Boulevard WB, 2 out-bound lanes, a left-turn lane and a shared straight-right lane on Bronx Drive/DW1, 2 in-bound lanes and traffic signal control.

Intersection #DW2 (Yorktown Boulevard with Bichon Drive/DW2)

Recommend a 150' storage right-turn lane on Yorktown WB, 2 out-bound lanes, a left-turn lane and a shared straight-right lane on DW2, at least 1 in bound lane and stop sign control.

Intersection #DW3 (Yorktown Boulevard with Bay/DW3)

Recommend a 150' storage left turn lane on Yorktown Boulevard EB, a 150' storage right-turn lane on Yorktown Boulevard WB, 2 out-bound lanes, a left-turn lane and a shared straight-right lane on DW3, at least 1 in-bound lane and stop sign control.

Intersection #DW4 (Rodd Field Road with DW4)

Recommend a 150' storage right-turn lane on Rodd Field Road SB, a right in right out on DW4, 1 in-bound lane and stop sign control.



Intersection #DW5 (Rodd Field Road with DW5)

Recommend a 150' storage left turn lane on Rodd Field Road NB, a 150' right-turn lane on Rodd Field Road SB, 2 out-bound lanes, a left-turn lane and a right-turn lane on DW5, 2 in-bound lanes and stop sign control.

Intersection #3 (Rodd Field Road with Presidents Drive)

Recommend a right-in right-out on Presidents Drive and stop sign control.

Phase 2: Improvements

<u>Intersection #DW2 (Yorktown Boulevard with Bichon Drive/DW2)</u> Recommend a right-out only on Bichon Drive and DW2 and stop sign control.

Intersection #DW3 (Yorktown Boulevard with Bay/DW3)

Recommend a right-out only on Bay Drive and DW3 and stop sign control.

Intersection #DW5 (Rodd Field Road with DW5)

Recommend a traffic signal control.

Phase 3: Improvements

Intersection #DW1 (Yorktown Boulevard @ Bill Witt Ent/Bronx Drive/ DW1

Recommend 300' storage dual left-turn lanes on Yorktown Boulevard EB.

Modify traffic signal to accommodate dual left turns.

Intersection #5 (Airline Road with Lipes Boulevard)

Recommend a traffic signal control. Installation and maintenance shall be the responsibility of the City of Corpus Christi.

C. Funding

Based on prior projects the Cities funding practices required Developer participation to fund improvements necessary to the on-site and off-site street network. Recommended improvements are necessary in order to provide a functioning street network for the City to maintain. Discussions between Del Mar College and City of Corpus Christi concerning funding are necessary and encouraged.



References:

- 1. Trip Generations, 9th Edition, by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and the Highway Capacity Manual 2010.
- 2. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) and published in the 2004 edition of A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green Book).
- 3. Highway Capacity Manual, (SR 209), Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, 2010.
- 4. Texas Department of Transportation's, Transportation Divisions Statewide Planning Map, 2013.
- 5. Texas Department of Transportation's Guidelines for Conducting a Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis, 2nd Edition.
- 6. Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Device (TMUTCD).
- 7. Texas Department of Transportation's Access Management Manual.
- 8. Regional Parkway Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study Traffic Analysis Technical Memorandum



Exhibits



























































33



Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS

EXHIBIT 16



34



Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS































Tables



TABLE 1	
SCENARIO 1 - TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION	(2020)

TRIP DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)							
	INBOUND			OUTBOUND			
DRIVEWAY	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	$\text{RIGHT} (\rightarrow)$	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	RIGHT (\rightarrow)	
Bronx Dr/DW1	75%	0%	25%	25%	0%	75%	
DW2	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	
DW3	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	
DW4	30%	-	70%	70%	-	30%	
DW5	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	

DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)					
DRIVEWAY	INBOUND	OUTBOUND			
Bronx Dr/DW1	40%	40%			
DW2	7%	7%			
DW3	7%	7%			
DW4	30%	30%			
DW5	16%	16%			
TOTAL	100%	100%			

TABLE 2	
SCENARIO 2 - TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION (2020)

TRIP DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)							
DDIVEWAV		INBOUND		OUTBOUND			
DRIVEWAI	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	RIGHT (\rightarrow)	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	RIGHT (\rightarrow)	
Bronx Dr/DW1	75%	0%	25%	25%	0%	75%	
DW2	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	
DW3	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	
DW4	30%	-	70%	70%	-	30%	
DW5	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	

DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)					
DRIVEWAY	INBOUND	OUTBOUND			
Bronx Dr/DW1	40%	40%			
DW2	7%	7%			
DW3	7%	7%			
DW4	30%	30%			
DW5	16%	16%			
TOTAL	100%	100%			



TABLE 3
SCENARIO 3 - TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION (2020)

TRIP DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)							
		INBOUND		OUTBOUND			
DRIVEWAY	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	$\text{RIGHT} (\rightarrow)$	LEFT (\leftarrow)	STRAIGHT (†)	RIGHT (\rightarrow)	
Bronx Dr/DW1	75%	0%	25%	25%	0%	75%	
DW2	50%	0%	50%	50%	0%	50%	
DW3	40%	0%	60%	50%	0%	50%	
DW4	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	
DW5	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	

DRIVEWAY DISTRIBUTION % (AM & PM)					
DRIVEWAY	INBOUND	OUTBOUND			
Bronx Dr/DW1	40%	40%			
DW2	7%	7%			
DW3	10%	7%			
DW4	28%	28%			
DW5	15%	18%			
TOTAL	100%	100%			



Level of	Average Control	
Service	Delay per Vehicle	Description
А	≤ 10	LOS A describes operations with a control delay of 10 s/veh or less and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If it is due to favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersections without stopping.
В	> 10 and ≤ 20	LOS B describes operations with control delay between 10 and 20 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.
С	> 20 and <u><</u> 35	LOS C describes operations with control delay between 20 and 35 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual <i>cycle failures</i> (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.
D	> 35 and \leq 55	LOS D describes operations with control delay between 35 and 55 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.
E	> 55 and ≤ 80	LOS E describes operations with control delay between 55 and 80 s/veh and a volume-to-capacity ratio no greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.
F	> 80	LOS F describes operations with control delay exceeding 80 s/veh or a volume- to-capacity ratio greater than 1.0. This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycle fail to clear the queue.

<u>Table 4</u> Definition of Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual



TABLE 5 LOS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS EXISTING

	Intersection	A	М	P	М
Intersection #	Delay (HCM)	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	LOS
Intersection 1: Cimarron Blvd & Yorktown Blvd	Overall	25	С	17	В
Intersection 2: Rodd Field Rd & Yorktown Blvd	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Intersection 4: Rodd Field Rd & Airline Rd/ Slough Rd	Overall	17	В	13	В
Intersection 7: Cimarron Blvd & Lipes Blvd	Overall	10	А	8	А



TABLE 6LOS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONSSCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE 1 (2020)

					•		
		SC3	BBBB	Y	BBB	V V	BBBB
	LOS	SC2	B	Y	В	Y	B
И		SC1	В	Α	В	A	В
Ы	(1	SC3	18 20	10	14 15	8 6	14 14
	elay (sec/vel	SC2	20	10	15	8	14
	D	SC1	18	6	14	6	14
		SC3	c B	A	BBB	BBB	BBB
	TOS	SC2	В	A	В	В	В
М		SC1	С	A	В	В	В
A	(I	SC3	24 20	10	17	11 13	14 14
	Delay (sec/vel	SC2	20	6	17	13	14
	Γ	SC1	24	6	17	11	14
Intersection	Delay (HCM)	Scenario	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall
		Intersection #	Intersection 1: Cimarron Blvd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 2: Rodd Field Rd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 4: Rodd Field Rd & Airline Rd/ Slough Rd	Intersection 7: Cimarron Blvd & Lipes Blvd	Interesection DW1: Yorktown Blvd & Bill Witt En/ Bronx Dr/DW1

Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS



TABLE 7LOS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONSSCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE 2 (2025)

		SC3	C C	В	BBB	AB	BBB	N/A
	TOS	SC2	C	B	В	В	В	A
ν		SC1	C	В	В	Α	В	Υ
PI	h)	SC3	21 21	12	16 17	10	11	N/A
	Jelay (sec/ve	SC2	21	12	17	11	17	∞
AM	Ι	SC1	21	12	16	10	17	10
		SC3	c c	В	BBB	BBB	c c	N/A
	SOT	SC2	C	Α	В	В	C	Α
И		SC1	C	Υ	В	В	U	Υ
A	(ι	SC3	25 26	11	17 18	13 14	21 22	N/A
	Jelay (sec/ve	SC2	26	10	18	14	22	Q
	I	SC1	25	10	17	13	21	6
Intersection	Delay (HCM)	Scenario	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall
		Intersection #	Intersection 1: Cimarron Blvd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 2: Rodd Field Rd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 4: Rodd Field Rd & Airline Rd/ Slough Rd	Intersection 7: Cimarron Blvd & Lipes Blvd	Interesection DW1: Yorktown Blvd & Bill Witt Ent/ Bronx Dr/DW1	Intersection DW5: Rodd Field Rd & DW5

Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS



TABLE 8LOS FOR SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONSSCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE 3 (2030)

-	1	1			N				1
		SC3	° °	В	° °	Υ	BBB	ر د د	N/A
	SOT	SC2	C	B	C	Y	В	C	В
V		SC1	C	В	C	Υ	В	C	В
Ы	(נ	SC3	30 32	12	20 21	7	12 12	27 30	N/A
	Delay (sec/vel	SC2	32	13	21	L	12	30	16
	Ц	SC1	30	13	20	L	12	27	16
		SC3	a a	B	c c	V	BC	o o	N/A
	LOS	SC2	D	В	C	Α	C	C	В
М		SC1	D	В	С	Α	В	C	В
AI	(q	SC3	49 51	11	22 22	7	17 24	32 35	N/A
	Delay (sec/vel	SC2	51	11	22	L	24	35	13
	Γ	SC1	49		22	8	17	32	12
Intersection	Delay (HCM)	Scenario	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall	Overall
	Intersection # Intersection 1: Cimarron Blvd &		Intersection 1: Cimarron Blvd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 2: Rodd Field Rd & Yorktown Blvd	Intersection 4: Rodd Field Rd & Airline Rd/ Slough Rd	Intersection 5: Airline Rd & Lipes Blvd	Intersection 7: Cimarron Blvd & Lipes Blvd	Interesection DW1: Yorktown Blvd & Bill Witt En/ Bronx Dr/DW1	Intersection DW5: Rodd Field Rd & DW5

Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS



LEVEL OF SERVICE	DELAY RANGE (seconds)
А	<u>≤</u> 10 SEC.
В	> 10 and ≤ 15 sec.
С	> 15 and \leq 25 sec.
D	> 25 and \leq 35 sec.
Е	> 35 and ≤ 50 sec.
F	> 50 sec.

Table 9: LOS Criteria for Stop Sign Controlled Intersections

Source: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual



TABLE 10
LOS FOR UN-SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS
EXISTING

			А	М			Р	М	
Intersection #	Approach Delay	EAST BOUND	WEST BOUND	SOUTH BOUND	NORTH BOUND	EAST BOUND	WEST BOUND	SOUTH BOUND	NORTH BOUND
Intersection 3:	LOS	В	N/A	FREE	FREE	В	N/A	FREE	FREE
Presidents Dr	(s/veh)	13	N/A	FREE	FREE	12	N/A	FREE	FREE
Intersection 5:	LOS	В	N/A	FREE	FREE	В	N/A	FREE	FREE
Airline Rd & Lipes Blvd	(s/veh)	11	N/A	FREE	FREE	11	N/A	FREE	FREE
Intersection 6:	LOS	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A
Lipes Blvd & Bronx Dr	(s/veh)	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A	FREE	N/A
Intersection DW1:	LOS	FREE	FREE	N/A	В	FREE	FREE	N/A	В
Witt Ent	(s/veh)	FREE	FREE	N/A	12	FREE	FREE	N/A	14
Intersection DW2:	LOS	FREE	FREE	N/A	В	FREE	FREE	N/A	В
Dr	(s/veh)	FREE	FREE	N/A	14	FREE	FREE	N/A	15
Intersection DW3:	LOS	FREE	FREE	N/A	В	FREE	FREE	N/A	С
Yorktown Blvd & Bay Dr	(s/veh)	FREE	FREE	N/A	14	FREE	FREE	N/A	16



TABLE 11 LOS FOR UN-SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS SCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE I (2020)

							AM						\square						ΡM					
vpproach Delay EAST BOUND WEST BC	EAST BOUND WEST BC	T BOUND WEST BC	D WEST BC	WEST BC	T BC	INNO	0	ITUOS	H BOUN	Q	NORT	JUUA H	Ģ	EAS	BOUND		WEST	BOUND		SOUTH	BOUND		NORTH	BOUND
SCENARIO SCI SC2 SC3 SC1 SC2	SCI SC2 SC3 SC1 SC2	SC2 SC3 SC1 SC2	SC3 SC1 SC2	SC1 SC2	SC2	H	SC3	SC1	SC2	SC3	SC1	SC2	SC3	SC1	SC2 S	SC3 5	CI S	C2 S	C3 SC	CI SC	C2 SC	C3 S(CI SC	2 SC:
LOS B B B N/A N/A	B B B N/A N/A	B B N/A N/A	B N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A		IN/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	В	B	VA N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE
(s/veh) 11 11 11 N/A N/A	11 11 11 N/A N/A	11 11 N/A N/A	11 N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A		i N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	11	11	11 1	V/A N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE
LOS C $\begin{bmatrix} C \\ B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \\ C \end{bmatrix} N/A \begin{bmatrix} N/A \\ N/A \end{bmatrix}$	C C B N/A N/A	C B N/A N/A	B C N/A N/A	N/A N/A	√/N		I N/A	FREE	FREE 1	FREE	FREE	FREE 1	FREE	с	C B	c /	V/A N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE
(s/veh) 16 16 14^{14} N/A N/A	16 16 $14 14$ N/A N/A N/A	16 14 N/A N/A N/A	14 N/A N/	N/A N/	Ń	A	I N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	17	17 17	17	V/A N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FRI	E FRE
LOS FREE FREE FREE N/A FR	FREE FREE FREE N/A FR	FREE FREE N/A FR	FREE N/A FRI	N/A FRI	FRI	E	FREE	в	в	в	N/A	c	С	REE	FREE	REE	VA FI	REE	REE	E E	B	z e	A B	В
(s/veh) FREE FREE N/A FRE	FREE FREE N/A FRE	FREE FREE N/A FRE	FREE N/A FRE	N/A FRE	FRE	E I	REE	12	13	13	N/A	19	19 F	REE	FREE	REE	V/A FI	REE FI	REE 1	1 1	2 1:	2 N	/A 14	14
LOS FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE	FREE	1	FREE	с	с (C	с	c c	C	REE	FREE	REE F	REE FI	REE FI	REE C	0	<u>ى</u>) \	c	<u>ل</u>
(s/veh) FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE	FREI		FREE	17	18	18	17	19	719F	REE	FREE	REE F	REE FI	REE FI	REE 1	9 2(0	20	0 21	50
LOS FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE	FREE	1	FREE	с	с	с	с	с	C F	REE	FREE	REE F	REE FI	REE	REE C	0	0	0	3 B	В
(s/veh) FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FREE FREE	FREE FREE FRE	FREE	FRE	E I	REE	17	18	18	17	18	17 F	REE	FREE	REE F	REE FI	REE FI	REE 2	0 20	0 20	0 1	1 11	11
LOS A A B N/A N/A	A A B N/A N/A	A B N/A N/A	B N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A		N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	в	в	В	VA N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FR	EE FRI	E FRE
(s/veh) 10 10 11 N/A N/A	10 10 11 N/A N/A	10 11 N/A N/A	11 N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A		N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	11	11	12 1	VA N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE
LOS C B N/A N/	C C B N/A N/	C B N/A N/	B N/A N/	N/A N/	Ń	∢	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE 1	FREE	FREE	c	С	B	VA N	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE
(s/veh) 20 20 11 N/A N/	20 20 11 N/A N/	20 11 N/A N/	11 N/A N/	N/A N/	Z	A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	23	23	11	I/A D	I/A N	I/A FR	EE FRI	EE FR	EE FR	EE FRI	EE FRE



TABLE 12 LOS FOR UN-SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS SCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE 2 (2025)

	~	C3	REE	REE	REE	REE	В	14	_ m	12	В	12	REE	REE	REE	REE
	BOUNE	22 S	EE FF	EE FF	EE FF	EE FF		4	m \	2 12		2	A FF	A FF	EE FF	EE FF
	ORTH	SC	E FRI	E FRI	E FRI	E FRI	B	1	щ	Ľ	B	Ľ	N/N/	N/N/	E FRI	E FRI
	z	SC1	FRE	FRE	FRE	FRE	N/A	N/A	B	1 12	В	12	S N/A	S N/A	FRE	FRE
	UND	SC3	FREF	FREF	FREE	FREE	В	13	BB	1	В	11	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE
	JTH BO	SC2	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	13	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
М	SOL	SC1	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	11	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
Ρ	ΩN	SC3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	ST BOU	SC2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	WE	SC1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	٩D	SC3	В	12	cc	17 21	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	С	16	В	14
	ST BOUI	SC2	В	13	С	21	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	12	N/A	N/A
	EA	SC1	В	12	D	26	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	12	N/A	N/A
	QN	SC3	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	С	21	BB	11 1	В	11	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE
	TH BOU	SC2	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	С	21	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
	NOR	SC1	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
	QN	SC3	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	13	BB	11 11	В	11	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE
	TH BOU	SC2	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	13	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
М	SOU	SC1	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	12	в	11	В	11	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE
A	QN	SC3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	ST BOU	SC2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	WE	SC1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	QN	SC3	В	12	c c c	17 22	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	12	В	13
	ST BOU	SC2	в	12	С	22	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	11	N/A	N/A
	EA	SC1	В	12	С	22	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	FREE	В	11	N/A	N/A
	Approach Delay	SCENARIO	SOI	(s/veh)	SOT	(s/veh)	TOS	(s/veh)	SOT	(s/veh)	SOT	(s/veh)	SOT	(s/veh)	LOS	(s/veh)
	de		Intersection 3:	Presidents Dr	Intersection 5:	Lipes Blvd	Intersection 6:	Lipes Blvd & Bronx Dr	Intersection DW2:	Bichon Dr/DW2	Intersection DW3:	Bay Dr/DW3	Intersection DW4:	Rodd Field Rd & DW4	* Intersection DW5:	Rodd Field Rd & DW5

* Signal warranted, see Table 7.



TABLE 13 LOS FOR UN-SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS SCENARIO 1, 2 AND 3 (SC) OPENING DAY PHASE 3 (2030)

* Signal warranted, see Table 8.

Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) – June 2017 DEL MAR COLLEGE SOUTHSIDE CAMPUS