

Padre/Mustang Island Mobility Plan PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT April 28, 2025





Acknowledgements

CITY COUNCIL

Paulette M. Guajardo Mayor

Everrett Roy District 1

Sylvia Campos District 2

Eric Cantu District 3

Kaylynn Paxton District 4

Gil Hernandez District 5

Roland Barrera At-Large

Mark Scott At-Large

Carolyn Vaughn At-Large

[Inside Cover]

PLANNING COMMISSION

Michael York Chair

Cynthia Garza Vice-Chair

Michael Budd **Commission Member**

Ed Cantu **Commission Member**

Justin Hedrick Commission Member

Brian Mandel **Commission Member**

Michael Miller Commission Member

Mike Munoz **Commission Member**

Trey Teichelman Commission Member

Ben Polack (U.S. Navy Ex-Officio) Navy Representative



ISLAND STRATEGIC ACTION COMMITTEE (ISAC)

Dr. Bryan Gulley Vice-Chair

Roy Coons At-Large

Robert Corbett Padre Island Property Owners Association

Meagan Furey At-Large

Sandy Graves Residential Property Owner

Alan Guggenheim Residential Property Owner

Lauren Harris Padre Island Business Association

William Hibbs At-Large

Marvin Jones At-Large

Terry Palmer At-Large

Robert Pruski Construction Contractor

Cheryl Segrest At-Large

Craig Thompson Professional Engineer

Kamran Zarghouni Realtor

Brook Kaufman Visit Corpus Christi Ex-Officio

Linda Lindsey Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee Ex-Officio

Harrison McNeil Watershore and Beach Advisory Committee Ex-Officio

ISAC AREA DEVELOPMENT PLAN SUBCOMMITTEE

Meagan Furey Jay Gardner, P.E. Dr. Bryan Gulley JJ Hart Cheryl Segrest Craig Thompson, P.E.

CITY STAFF

Peter Zanoni City Manager

Heather Hurlbert Assistant City Manager

Dan McGinn Director of Planning and Community Development

Keren Costanzo Planning Manager

Roger Montelongo

Placenola

CONSULTANT TEAM

FSS

HDR, Inc. 555 N. Carancahua, Suite 1600 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 Placeholder for Ordinance



1. INTRODUCTION

2. MOBILITY PLAN

3. ROADWAY DES

Active Transportation **TxDOT** Facilities North Loop Streets City Beach Connectors County Beach Connec West Island Connector

4. IMPLEMENTATI

APPENDIX A: DON

Figure 1: Padre/Musta Figure 2: Project Time Figure 3: Timeline of C Figure 4: Padre/Musta Figure 5: Padre/Musta Figure 6: Padre/Musta Figure 7: Padre/Musta Figure 8: Padre/Musta Figure 9: Map of Corri

Table 1: Short-Term Pi Table 2: Mid-Term Proj Table 3: Long-Term Pr

Placeholder for Ordinance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IGN RECOMMENDATIONS	1		
N	11		
IGN RECOMMENDATIONS Corridors s ctors	23		
Corridors	24		
	26		
	30		
S	38		
stors	49		
rs	55		
ON PLAN	67		
N PATRICIO BRIDGE RECOMMENDATIONS	73		

TABLE OF FIGURES

ang Island Study Area	2
line	8
Dutreach and Engagement Activities	10
ang Island Mobility Plan	13
ang Island Recommended Pedestrian Network	15
ang Island Recommended Bicycle Network	17
ang Island Recommended Golf Cart/NEV Network	19
ang Island Waterway and Access Points Network	21
dor Districts	25

TABLE OF TABLES

Projects (Next 5 Years)	68
ojects (6 - 10 Years)	69
rojects (Over 10 years)	70



This page intentionally left blank



Introduction

The 2021 Padre/Mustang Island Area Development Plan established the Policy Initiative to "Accommodate Safe, Efficient Movement of Pedestrians, Bikes, and Golf Carts Throughout the Island" and includes strategies such as "Promote tourist-oriented development east of State Highway 361/Park Road 22 and between Zahn Road and Whitecap Boulevard by providing public and private amenities to make the area more attractive and 'people-friendly'. These amenities should support a multimodal transportation system (pedestrian, bicycle, vehicular, golf cart, and watercraft) and uniquely attractive developments, both public and private, with facilities using the highest quality design and materials."

The Padre/Mustang Island Mobility Plan

furthers that initiative by assessing the current conditions of Padre/Mustang Island (Study Area), evaluating recommendations from existing plans, gathering feedback on desired enhancements, and creating recommendations for a comprehensive multimodal network for the area.

The Padre/Mustang Island Mobility Plan creates a comprehensive transportation network that connects residential neighborhoods to activity centers by identifying critical routes for walking, bicycling, watercraft, and golf carts on local roadways.

This Mobility Plan sets the foundation for future projects to reference for design criteria and recommended multimodal elements for inclusion, where feasible for transportation projects. These projects focus on collector and arterial streets throughout the Island. The Plan also recommends project implementation timeframes.



Figure 1: Padre/Mustang Island Study Area



This Mobility Plan evaluates the current conditions of publicly owned roadways and rights-of-way and presents recommendations for active-transportation related improvements at a planning-level of design. This means that the recommendations do not provide an engineered design that include detailed proposals for drainage, utilities, and intersection operations.

Roadway alignments Surface level cross-section design	Addressed in this Mobility Plan
Energy	
Utilities	Addressed during the feasibility and preliminary
Water	engineering phases of project development;
Sewer/Wastewater	OR
Drainage/Stormwater	
Signal operations	Developed during the project development
Right-of-way purchase	phases of the project.
Cost Estimates	

1.1 Plan Organization

This Mobility Plan for Padre/Mustang Island is organized into four chapters to offer insight regarding the impetus for the plan, the engagement efforts, analysis process, and recommendations. The following provides a brief description of the key elements of each chapter.

- planning project.
- connections for individual modes (i.e., sidewalks for pedestrians)
- within the Study Area.
- Chapter 4: Details implementation timeline recommendations for the Mobility Plan.

- Chapter 1: This initial chapter introduces the project and provides an overview of the document. It describes a Mobility Plan and why it is important, provides a background on the project including the impetus for creating the plan, its vision, goals, and the project timeline as well as highlights the public and stakeholder engagement efforts that took place over the course of this

- **Chapter 2**: Map of the Mobility Plan, with subsequent map exhibits that highlight network

- **Chapter 3**: Provides more detailed information regarding recommendations for key corridors



1.2 What is a Mobility Plan?

A Mobility Plan sets a vision for the transportation network as it relates to different modes of transportation. The Plan provides a set of recommendations to achieve the desired network that can be referenced for further study and developing new or reconstruction projects. A Mobility Plan identifies the priorities of the transportation network within a designated area so that should circumstances change, (i.e., new policy, new technology) implementation of transportation projects can focus on prioritizing improvements that fit within the established vision.

Shifts in Mobility Planning

These changes can be brought on by a variety of factors including development, demographic shifts, new technology, or major changes in policy and requirements. Mobility Plans will maintain an element of flexibility to account for potential shifts by showing the desired vision for a corridor, but not the detailed requirements to achieve it.

Demographics

Data collected from the ESRI Tapestry data which pulls from the American Community Survey from 2017 to 2021 indicates that the median population age on the Island is 49.4 with the population split of 30% Baby Boomer, 20.5% Generation X, and 22% Millennial. The average household size is 2.32, and all the households within the area have at least one vehicle.

As the current population ages, considerations should be made to provide an environment where residents can age in place and use other modes of transportation to make shorter trips to restaurants, retail, and other activity centers.



Technology and Sustainability

Changes in technology also have a major Recognition that streets act as a gateway, experience, and gathering place in different influence on the transportation network. As instances, in addition to moving traffic, has companies in certain sectors have been able to shift to more online and remote work, some also influenced a paradigm shift in design. The have been able to reduce their number of weekly relationship between land uses and the design of roadways can have an impact on how the commuter trips. street is used and improve health and economic Other changes in technology, such as electrifying activity in the area. Designing streets to create a bikes and scooters, now move these previously sense of place through comfortable design and human-powered vehicles with assistance to allow pedestrian-scaled infrastructure (i.e., lighting, landscaping) has a strong impact on utilization.

users to travel farther with less exertion. These shifts help to enhance the attractiveness of these more active modes of transportation for short trips such as from residences to neighborhood restaurants or retail in the Study Area. Nationwide, there is a measurable increased popularity of active modes of transportation.



Community Connection

New developments, especially those at a larger scale, are focusing on integrating spaces for connections with pedestrians and active modes of transportation into residential, commercial, and retail uses. As these internal networks are established, connecting to the larger transportation network is essential.



1.3 Project Background

The Study Area is comprised of a tight-knit community within the city limits of Corpus Christi. Padre/ Mustang Island (the Island) includes well-established neighborhoods, commercial development, and miles of beaches and natural areas. Development on the Island continues to grow in both residential and commercial areas as the Island maintains its draw as both a great place to live and work, as well as a premier tourist destination.

Additional information regarding the existing conditions of the Study Area can be found in the Padre/ Mustang Island Mobility Plan Existing Conditions Technical Memorandum.

Why Do We Need a Plan?

The current transportation network within the Study Area is car-centric, with limited facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists. In recent years, the utilization of golf carts or neighborhood electric vehicles (NEV) has increased for both residents and visitors. Recognizing that vehicular travel and parking at destinations is a growing concern, the community indicated that they would want to enhance the network for the active modes of transportation, especially for those shorter, local trips. In the Padre/Mustang Island Area Development Plan (ADP), 29% of survey responses indicated that the inability to walk or ride a bike to places, or the lack of golf cart/offhighway vehicle/neighborhood electric vehicle paths were the greatest infrastructure issue facing the Island.

Additionally, the community has expressed concerns about the future of the Island, especially as it relates to the safety of residents and access to commercial areas and other activity centers. Emphasizing the priority of these modes of transportation for vulnerable users adjacent to or within the roadway is vital to creating a sustainable transportation network on the Island.

Adopting a mobility plan for the area establishes priorities for incorporation in the designs of road reconstruction projects and new transportation connections for the Island.

Goals and Objectives

Safely connecting residents and visitors to activity centers such as the Oak Motte Sanctuary, Aquarius Park, Seashore Learning Academy, and the beach/bay or other water access points is a priority for the Island. Through these previous engagement activities, many transportationrelated goals were developed. After a review of these goals and initial outreach efforts regarding this Mobility Plan, the following goal was established:

GOALE

To accommodate safe, efficient movement of pedestrians, bikes, golf carts, and access to watercraft throughout Padre/ Mustang Island. Four objectives were identified to help meet this goal and guide the decision-making processes for recommendations:

SAFETY



Accommodate safe, efficient movement of pedestrians, bicyclists, and other means of active transportation as well as golf cart/NEV users throughout the Island.

CONNECTED NETWORK

Plan and develop a comprehensive and convenient active transportation network that ensures residents and visitors of all ages and abilities can walk, bicycle, or use other low-mass vehicles to meet their daily transportation needs with connections to schools, parks, beaches, and other activity centers.





VIABLE OPTIONS

Introduce low-cost interim designs that do not require complete street reconstruction, allowing for faster and financially feasible implementation timelines.

NATURAL LANDSCAPES



Incorporate landscaping practices in transportation system design that celebrate the unique ecosystem of Padre Island and also provide natural shade and stormwater solutions that can supplement and enhance the performance of underground infrastructure.



Project Timeline

The Plan was developed over two years and completed in three phases: 1) Existing Conditions Review; 2) Draft Recommendations; and 3) the Final Plan. Figure 2 depicts these phases with the Plan timeline.



1.4 Public & Stakeholder Engagement

As part of this planning effort, the City encouraged engagement with the community and stakeholders at milestones throughout the project. The City met with other agencies to make them aware of this planning effort and the intended outcomes, while learning any new plans under consideration by TxDOT, the Corpus Christi Metropolitan Planning Organization (CCMPO), Nueces County Parks, and Corpus Christi Regional Transit Authority (CCRTA). These discussions were essential to confirm recommendations would adhere to the needs of stakeholders as well as established standards and requirements. The following represents the timeline of outreach and engagement activities held throughout the project.

AUG 8th, 2023	AUG 7th - 25th, 2023
PROJECT INTRODUCTION	ON Online Survey #1
At this meeting, an overvie of the purpose and intent the Plan was given to the committee.	
OCT 2	5th, 2023 OCT 30th - NO
PUBLIC OP	EN HOUSE #1 Online St
regarding the conditions ide the Island. Th asked to part	d information example cross existing the roadways t entified on applied to. ley were then cipate in
	for different the right-of- ole corridors. are also able to ral comments

Stakeholder Meetings

Various small group and individual meetings were held with local and regional stakeholders.

OV 24th, 2023

irvey #2

on draft -sections and they could be

Stakeholder Meetings

Various small group and individual meetings were held with local and regional stakeholders.

APR 15, 2024

UBLIC OPEN HOUSE #2

nis meeting provided the public the opportunity to review the recommendations from the Mobility Plan before it was finalized and presented to City Council.

May-June 2025

Presentation to Council

The plan is presented to the Island Strategic Action Committee, Planning Commission, Reinvestment Zone No. 2 Board, and Corpus Christi City Council.

Figure 3: Timeline of Outreach and Engagement Activities

Feedback gathered through the public meetings and online surveys revealed the following sentiments from the community. Additional information regarding the outreach events and engagement activities for this Plan can be found in the Padre/Mustang Island Mobility Plan Outreach Summary Technical Memorandum.

Do you feel safe/comfortable walking from the neighborhoods to commercial around the Island?

Response	Count	Percentage
Yes	149	26%
No	294	52%
l don't walk around the Island	122	21%
No response	7	1%
Total	572	100%

Do you feel safe/comfortable sharing the road with vehicles when you ride a bike on the Island?

Response	Count	Percentage
Yes	75	13%
No	287	50%
l rarely ride a bike on the Island	199	35%
No response	11	2%
Total	572	100%

Do you feel safe/comfortable sharing the road with vehicles when you use a golf cart to make trips on the Island?

Response	Count	Percentage
Yes	190	33%
No	130	23%
l don't use a golf cart to make trips on the Island	245	43%
No response	7	1%
Total	572	100%



"Not enough room on the street and the bike. With parked cars and moving vehicles, there is no room for a safe bike ride."

"I wish we had more sidewalks for walking. Overall feel safe outside. Not as many sidewalks in neighborhoods and no crosswalks along Whitecap."

"Lots of residential roads without sidewalks to get to commercial property."

"Would prefer to have my own road for golf cart use."

"Competing with cars on the road. Would prefer my own travel lane."

"I would like to be able to get to the following areas via golf cart: Under the JFK Bridge (i.e. Docs/Snoopys/ Marker 37), Scuttlebutts shopping center, The businesses west of Park Rd 22 between Commodores and Whitecap (i.e. Rock and Roll Sushi)."



2. MOBILITY PLAN

2. Mobility Plan

Planning for infrastructure needs requires consideration of multiple components including:

- 1. Understanding the needs of the community,
- 2. Developing a flexible plan that responds to development trends,
- 3. Examining the current utilization of the roadway corridor,
- 4. Establishing the priority users for specific corridors,
- 5. Identifying gaps and missing connections within the network, and
- 6. Creating or updating transportation policies as needed.

Pursuing the goals from previous planning efforts to create a connected multimodal transportation network that prioritized the active transportation network, the city has developed the following Mobility Plan for the Island Study Area.

2.1 Mobility Plan Map

The the Island Mobility Plan map associates each publicly owned street with a recommended design as displayed in the associated cross sections. More detailed information for these recommendations is provided in Section 3: Roadway Design Recommendations.





Figure 4: Padre/Mustang Island Mobility Plan





2.2 Pedestrian

Every trip you take starts and ends with you as a pedestrian. The term pedestrian includes more than walkers when we discuss the network. In this case, pedestrian also encapsulates those using wheeled assist services such as wheelchairs/motorized chairs, as well as users of more human-powered, low mass devices such as skateboarders and rollerbladers. Pedestrians are the most vulnerable user of the transportation network and providing for their safe travel is a top priority.

Sidewalks are generally recommended to be between six (6) and eight (8) feet wide, with eight feet preferred in commercial districts, based on the 2022 TxDOT Roadway Design Manual.

Enhanced landscape buffers are incorporated where space permits in order to increase pedestrian sense of safety, provide natural shade with native drought-tolerant trees, and create opportunities for green-infrastructure to assist with stormwater retention after heavy rain events.



Figure 5 (on the following page) displays the pedestrian network where dedicated facilities are proposed based on this plan's recommendations.



Figure 5: Padre/Mustang Island Recommended Pedestrian Network





2.3 Bicycle

Bicycling is used for commuting and recreation by both residents and visitors. User experience and comfort on a bicycle can range drastically from those that are very comfortable riding in traffic during any conditions, to those requiring more separation from vehicles to feel safe. Creating a bicycle network that appeals to both ends of this user spectrum can be difficult. For the purposes of this plan for the Island Study Area, recommendations were directed more toward the users that would classify themselves as interested in bicycling but concerned about their ability and safety. Targeting this population will lend toward creating a network that users of all ages and abilities are more likely to utilize. Recommended bike facilities in the proposed network favor separated 7 to 10-foot lanes shared by bicycle and golf cart users where feasible. Where space is more limited, and automobile use and/or speed is high, wider sidewalks that are at least 8-feet wide to allow pedestrians and bicycles users to share the path are recommended. And within slower and less-traveled neighborhood streets, bicycles are recommended to share the travel lanes with automobiles. The following images are from the TxDOT Roadway Design Manual.

Bike Lanes: Dedicated space for bicycles by using pavement markings to identify a lane.





Separated Bike Lanes: Dedicated space for bicycles using pavement markings to identify a lane and a separation from vehicular traffic through a variety of methods. These include a painted buffer or physical buffer such as a candlestick.





Figure 6 (on the following page) displays the bicycle network where dedicated facilities are proposed based on this plan's recommendations.

Figure 6: Padre/Mustang Island Recommended Bicycle Network









2.4 Golf Cart/Neighborhood Electric Vehicle

The use of golf carts and neighborhood electric vehicles (NEV) has become common on the Island as they are able to operate on roads with a posted speed limit of 35 mph or less (which is the case for a majority of the roads on the Island). Golf cart rental businesses are located in the Study Areas as well. As a compact vehicle for short trips, more and more residents and tourist have been utilizing this mode of transportation.

Where space permits within public right-of-way, separated 15 mile-per-hour travel lanes are recommended for golf cart/NEV and bicycle users. Where space is more limited within slower neighborhood streets, the recommendation is for golf carts/NEV users to share travel lanes with automobiles.

Figure 7 (on the following page) displays the golf cart/NEV network where dedicated facilities and sharrows are proposed based on this plan's recommendations.



Figure 7: Padre/Mustang Island Recommended Golf Cart/NEV Network





2.5 Watercraft

A large appeal of the Island is the access to water through existing beaches/waterfronts and the waterways/canal system. Integrating connections to these resources in the transportation network is imperative to creating an active transportation network that connects users to activity centers.

Recently completed projects such as the Park Road 22 Bridge in conjunction with the upcoming development projects (e.g., Lake Padre and Whitecap NPI) are transformative for the Study Area as they connect the Laguna Madre to the Gulf of Mexico via new navigable canals. These developments will also help connect residents on the southern portion of the Island to the northern area where there are commercial areas with boat docks.

Figure 8 (on the following page) displays the waterway networks and access points throughout the Study Area based on this plan's recommendations. The watercraft "arterials" (shown in blue) show the main routes of watercraft and their proximity to boat ramps and kayak launching spots. The new Lake Padre and Whitecap NPI are expected to bring additional water access points but have not been finalized at the time of this Plan.

Special consideration will need to be given to any road new or reconstruction at locations of water crossing bridges to ensure their clearance is appropriate for watercraft to pass safely under. As a comparison, the recently competed Park Road 22 Bridge raised the road profile by approximately 12 feet while the Commodores bridge currently under construction allows for 14feet air draft clearance underneath for boat traffic.



Figure 8: Padre/Mustang Island Waterway and Access Points Network









This page intentionally left blank

3. ROADWAY DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

3. Roadway Design Recommendations

The roadway network on the Island can be divided into three categories: TxDOT thoroughfares, collector streets, and local streets. An assessment of their current design and recommendations are discussed in this section. The recommendations of this project are focused on publicly-owned facilities, including TxDOT thoroughfares.

3.1 Active Transportation Corridors

The cross-sections depicted in this chapter are representative of the recommendations for sharing the right-of-way between the different modes of transportation. Once selected as a project for engineering design and construction, the representative cross-sections will need to be further refined as they do not currently illustrate how to accommodate drainage and other engineering constraints (i.e., utilities). The Padre/ Mustang Island Area Development Plan states that any new projects should place utility lines underground when practical.

The remainder of this section provides the following information for the collector streets that are integral to creating a connected active transportation network. Each corridor page contains the following:

- Existing Conditions: describes the current configuration of each collector street.
- Interim Recommendations: identifies recommended interim improvements that could be implemented within the existing pavement (in most cases).
- Future Vision: identifies the proposed improvements for the desired configuration acknowledging the identified corridor rightof-way (ROW).

Cross-sections were developed using existing geometric design criteria from the City's Infrastructure Design Manual (IDM) that states a minimum of 10 feet is needed for a travel lane with a preferred width of 11 feet, as well as a preferred width of 12 feet for turn lanes.

In addition, the fire department was consulted on minimum pavement widths to accommodate fire engine apparatus. According to the City Fire Marshal, current standards require a minimum pavement width of 38 feet if there is parking on both sides of the street, 28 feet if parking is only permitted on one side of the street, and 20 feet will accommodate the required pavement clear zone if parking is not permitted on the street.

Recommendations also include reducing speed limits along local collectors to the State of Texas "prima facie," or default, of 30 MPH for urban roadways. Proposed cross-sections support the reduced speed limits and enhance safety and comfort for non-automobile users.

3.2 Map of Districts

The following map displays the districts for each cross-section proposed as part of this Mobility Plan.

Figure 9: Map of Corridor Districts



1 . So -

Highway 361

Limits: PR 22 to Lake Padre entrance

EXISTING CONDITIONS

SH 361 provides a connection from Port Aransas down to Padre/Mustang Island where it intersects with PR22. The segment between PR22 and just west of the future Lake Padre Development entrance currently operates as a five lane roadway and then transitions to two lanes with shoulders.

FUTURE VISION

- entrance as well.
- After the Lake Padre entrance, a 15-foot shared multi-use path from the Lake Padre development entrance to Zahn Rd. is recommended.
- A concrete barrier is recommended to be installed on the bridge to separate the 15' of shoulder on the south side of the bridge for the shared multi-use path.
- Plan recommendations could be impacted by ongoing TxDOT study of Hwy 361, including a possible bridge replacement project.

3.3 **TxDOT Facilities**

This section includes:

- 1. Highway 361 (p. 27)
- 2. Park Road 22 (p. 28).
- 3. JFK Causeway Sidepath Bridge (p. 29)





- Crossing for ped/bike/golf carts necessary at PR 22 intersection and possibly at Lake Padre

FUTURE

ROW 120'



Park Road 22

Limits: Aquarius to Sea Pines

EXISTING CONDITIONS

PR 22 is a TxDOT facility that acts as the spine of the transportation network within the Padre/ Mustang Island study area. Ultimately, jurisdiction for this roadway falls to TxDOT which has other active and upcoming projects that will be focused on this corridor. The plan development process included coordination with TxDOT and communication of community priorities that were gathered as part of the public outreach efforts. The Island community is interested in having dedicated facilities for active transportation along this corridor.

FUTURE VISION

- Proposed reducing speed limit to 45 MPH from current 55 MPH
- Phasing implementation of this cross-section is likely and can correspond with planned TxDOT facility upgrades.
- Currently, the 10-year Corpus Christi Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Improvement Program includes a project to upgrade the Park Road 22 corridor from Aquarius Street to Whitecap Boulevard for pedestrian and access management improvements without adding capacity.
- Intersection upgrades are also indicated to allow all users safe crossings at PR 22 and Aquarius St., Commodores Dr./Highway 361, Whitecap Blvd., Encantada Ave./Padre Balli Park Rd., Sea Pines/Beach Access Rd. 6, and possibly Verdemar Dr./Jackfish Ave.
- A cross-section recommendation for the Don Patricio Water Exchange Bridge is included in Appendix A.

TxDOT - JFK Causeway Sidepath Bridge

Limits: Aquarius St. to Padre Island Access Rd.

Recommendations:

- pedestrian traffic.
- alignment of the bridge, either north or south of the JFK Memorial Causeway.





- Recommended 20-foot minimum width to accommodate two-way golf cart/NEV, bicycle, and

- An engineering feasibility study analysis will be necessary to determine the most practical

- A pedestrian ferry service could be explored to provide an interim connectivity solution.



RIG OF-

Limits: Jackfish to Aquarius

Ambrosia Street

6

EXISTING CONDITIONS

- and Jackfish Avenue.
- pedestrian or bicycle facilities.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

As the corridor is currently used by all modes, recommend continuing to operate as a yield street, while dedicating 6' of the pavement to a sidewalk. Recommend positioning the sidewalk on the north/east side of the street. Sidewalk may be painted and/or delineators installed to facilitate implementation.



3.4 North Loop Streets

This section includes:

- 1. Ambrosia Street (p. 31)
- 2. Aquarius Street (p. 32)
- 3. Jackfish Avenue (p. 33)
- 4. Marina Park Boulevard (p. 34)
- 5. Packery Pointe Drive (p. 35)
- 6. Sand Dollar Avenue (p. 36)
- 7. Verdemar Drive (p. 37)



GHT- -WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
60'	24'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.4 Miles

- North/South corridor providing a parallel connection to PR 22. Connects with Aquarius Street

- Two-way travel with unmarked lanes providing access to residences and retail. There are no

FUTURE VISION

The ultimate vision for the corridor would include using more of the ROW to expand the pavement. This would then provide space for dedicated facilities for pedestrians, and given the slow speed on the corridor, a shared lane for vehicles, bicycles, and golf carts/NEVs.



	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGT	тн		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Aquarius Street	70'	37'	Corpus	30 MPH	0.09		Jackfish Avenue	60'	24'	Corpus	30 MPH	0.1 Miles
Limits: Ambrosia St to PR 22			Christi		miles	3	Limits: Ambrosia St to PR 22	00	27	Christi	50 MI 11	0.1 111103
EXISTING CONDITIONS	, .						EXISTING CONDITIONS					
 North/South corridor that provides a Two long upstringd corridor 	iccess to resi	Idences from PR					- This is focus on the portion of the ro	adway from I	PR 22 to Ambro	osia Street.		
- Two-lane unstriped corridor.							 East/West corridor providing a conn 					
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION FUTURE VISION						- Two-way traffic with no pavement m	-		no bicycle fa	acilities on	he road.	
						- A 5-ft sidewalk is located on the nor	th side of the	street.				
As the corridor is currently used by all me recommend striping the existing shoulde		The ultimate visio using more of the										
used as a sidewalk with necessary signa	ge; T	This would then p	orovide spa	ace for dec	dicated		INTERIM RECOMMENDATION	F	UTURE VISIO	NC		
install signage and pavement markings f sharing the travel lane with bicycles and		facilities for pedestrians, and given the slow speed on the corridor, markings for a shared lane for vehicles, golf carts/NEVs, and bicycles.					As the corridor recently underwent constr	ruction, Th	ne ultimate visio	on for the co	orridor in th	e future
carts/NEVs. Sidewalks may be painted a	nd/or la					s.	no interim recommendation identified.		would be to dedicate space for sidewalks and add pavement markings for bicycles and golf			
delineators installed to facilitate impleme	ntation.								arts to share the			
EXISTING	INTERIN	Л	F	UTURE			EXISTING	INTERI	Л	FU	JTURE	
ROW 70'	ROW 70	D'		ROW 70'	_		ROW 60'				ROW 60'	-
Pavement 37'	Pavement	37'	Pa	avement 24'			Pavement 26'			Pa	vement 24'	
	er, alk	a ka	Suffer	Suffer						Buffer	s Buffer	
Street	Sidew Bicycl If Car	Sidew	ape	Cart Cart ape E	≚ :		Street			ape	Cart Cart Lane Sape	
ed o	Striped Sidewal Car, Bicycle, & Golf Cart Travel Lanes	Striped Si	Sidewalk	Car, Bicycl & Golf Carl Travel Lan Landscape	dewa		Yield Str Sidewalk			Sidewalk	Car, Bicycle & Golf Cart Travel Lane Landscape Sidewalk	
	to .	st. ⊯ ⊯		ບ∞⊏ ⊐ະ ເຊີ <u>ຊີ</u> ຊີ								
37'	7.5' 11' 11		8' 6'2	2'10' 10'2'6'			24' 2'5'	No inte			.'10' 10'2'6' 8	
				9 14 7 15			re	ecommer	ndation		10 A 17 A 16 Y	
8	8										8	
				0								



	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		
Marina Park Boulevard Limits: PR 22 to north of Packery Pointe	60'	36'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.09 Miles	Packery Pointe Dr Limits: PR 22 to Marina Park Blvd	60'	36'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.2 miles		
 EXISTING CONDITIONS Existing two lane undivided road with 6' sidewalks on both sides. Connects PR 22 to Packery Pointe/Aquarius Cove. Dead-ends north of Packery Point. 						 Connects to unfinished segment, Ma The corridor is observed to have a la 	 EXISTING CONDITIONS Short, east/west corridor that provides access to restaurants on the north side of PR 22. Connects to unfinished segment, Marina Park Blvd. The corridor is observed to have a large queue of vehicles accessing the Starbucks. A portion of this segments is called Aquarius Cove. 						
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION	or. Th wa sid	FUTURE VISION The ultimate vision for the corridor in the future would be to dedicate additional space for sidewalks, reduce travel lane widths, and add pavement markings for bicycles and golf carts to share the travel lanes with vehicles.				INTERIM RECOMMENDATION No interim recommendation for this corric	lor. Ti w si	UTURE VISION he ultimate vision ould be to dedi dewalks, reduct avement markin hare the travel b	on for the c cate additio e travel lan ngs for bicy	nal space t e widths, a cles and go	for nd add		

EXISTING

INTERIM

FUTURE

EXISTING

ROW 60'



No interim recommendation



Pavement 36' Travel Lane 2 Sidewalk - Sidewalk 18' 7'

8

INTERIM

FUTURE



No interim recommendation



RIGH OF-W		OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Sand Dollar Avenue Limits: Packery Channel Park Rd to Hwy 361 50	22'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.5 mile	Verdemar Drive Limit: Sand Dollar Ave to PR 22	50'	24'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.1 Miles
EXISTING CONDITIONS Two separate segments discussed for this corrido - The existing segment from Packery Channe - The second would be new construction from - Sand Dollar is a paper street between Verde - Currently there is TIRZ #2 Funding for pape	EXISTING CONDITIONS This focuses on a small portion of Verdemar Drive from PR 22 to Sand Dollar Avenue. East/West corridor providing access to residences to the east. 									
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION No interim recommendations for either segment.	r segment. The future vision uses the full ROW to design and construct the corridor to include sidewalks and pavement markings for bicycles and golf carts/ NEVs to share the travel lanes with vehicles. The intersection at HWY 361 needs to be studied including a recommended pedestrian/bicycle/ golf cart crossing of Hwy 361 at the Lake Padre Entrance that then connects to Sand Dollar Ave.				recommend continuing to operate as a y street, while dedicating 6' of the paveme to a sidewalk through striping in the inter Recommend positioning the sidewalk on south/west side of the street. Sidewalks	As the corridor is currently used by all modes, recommend continuing to operate as a yield street, while dedicating 6' of the pavement to a sidewalk through striping in the interim. Recommend positioning the sidewalk on the south/west side of the street. Sidewalks may be painted and/or delineators installed to facilitate				
	nterim endation	Pave Pave A Sidewalk A Landscape Buffer	OW 50' ement 24' & Cart Bickcle' & Cart Cart & Cart		EXISTING ROW 50' Pavement 24' Image: Constrained of the second of the seco	ROW 50 Pavement 3 Viewapks paduks 6' 18'	24'	9.9 & Sidewalk Buffer A 5.9 6 Landscape Buffer A	Action of the second se	







RIG OF-

Limits: St. Bartholomew Ave to Beach

10

EXISTING CONDITIONS

Beach Access Rd. 3A

- 12-foot travel lanes, one in each direction
- Separated 5-foot sidewalk with candlestick delineators

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

No interim recommendation for this corridor.

EXISTING



-0 3.5 City Beach Connectors

This section includes:

- 1. Beach Access Rd. 3A (p. 39)
- 2. Crowsnest Ave. (p. 40)
- 3. Leeward Dr. (p. 41)
- 4. Robla Dr. (p. 42)
- 5. St. Augustine Dr (p. 43).
- 6. St. Bartholomew Dr. (p. 44)
- 7. Whitecap Blvd. (east) (p. 45)
- 8. Windward Dr. (p. 46)
- 9. Zahn Rd. (p. 48)



GHT- -WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
00'	29'	Corpus Christi	15 MPH	0.13 Miles

FUTURE VISION Add bicycle and golf cart sharrow marking in the travel lanes.

INTERIM

FUTURE







	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH			RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH	
Crows Nest Avenue Limits: Beach Access Rd 4 to PR 22	50'	N/A	Corpus Christi	N/A	0.7 Miles	Leeward Drive Limits: St Bartholomew	to Windward Dr	100'	55'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.6 mile	
 EXISTING CONDITIONS Crows Nest is a paper street between north until curving west and terminate. The area is currently used by golf care. Currently there is TIRZ #2 Funding for the strength of the strengt of the strength of the streng of the streng of the strength o	 EXISTING CONDITIONS North/South corridor that provides access to a predominantly tourist and residential area and connects St. Bartholomew Avenue to Windward Drive. There is a half-build portion of the roadway to the north. Generally, the corridor has pavement markings for two 27.5' travel lanes. On-street parking is typical. There are no pedestrian or bicycle facilities on the road. 												
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION No interim recommendations.	UTURE VISION accommend using accommend using accommendation accommendation of bicycles and g avel lanes with vel lanes with vel lanes blvd. no edestrian/bicycl	ng the full F e corridor to estrians and golf carts/N vehicles. T needs to be	o include d l pavemen EVs to sha he intersed studied fo	edicated t markings are the ction at	As an interim improvem / Restriping 55' existing Install 12' pedestrian an sides. SUP may be pain	INTERIM RECOMMENDATION As an interim improvement, recommend pair / Restriping 55' existing pavement all at grad Install 12' pedestrian and bicycle SUP on boosides. SUP may be painted and/or delineated installed to facilitate implementation.				e. tourist-driven environment, the recommend for the corridor includes dedicated facilities			
EXISTING	INTERIM			Car, Bicycle, & Golf Cart Travel Lanes Landscape Buffer, Sidomotic		Lavel Lane Travel Lane Travel Lane Travel Lane	Pedestrian &	Dickcle SUP Travel Lane Darking Parking	SUP		Travel Lane Travel Lane Darking Lane	Bike & Golf Carts Landscape Buffer Sidewalk	
No existing No interim roadway recommendation			6' 6'2'10' 10'2'6' 6'		27.5' 27.5'	12					10' ₂ 6' 8'		









	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Robla Drive Limits: Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	100'	55'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.1 Miles	St. Augustine Drive Limits: Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	100'	55'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.1 Miles
 EXISTING CONDITIONS Short, East/West corridor connectin The corridor has pavement marking There are no pedestrian or bicycle f 	s for two 27.5	.5' travel lanes. C	pical.	- The corridor has pavement marking	 EXISTING CONDITIONS Short East/West corridor connecting Leeward Drive to Windward Drive. The corridor has pavement markings for two 27.5' travel lanes. On-street parking is typical. There are no pedestrian or bicycle facilities on the road. 						
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION As an interim improvement, recommend p / Restriping 55' existing pavement all at g Install 12' pedestrian and bicycle SUP on sides. SUP may be painted and/or deline installed to facilitate implementation.	painting As rade. to both fo ators pe	SUTURE VISION As the area contin purist-driven environ or the corridor indo edestrians, on-signed golf cart facili	nues to dev ironment, tl cludes dedi treet parkir	he recommicated facil	nendation ities for	INTERIM RECOMMENDATION As an interim improvement, recommend p / Restriping 55' existing pavement all at g Install 12' pedestrian and bicycle SUP on sides. SUP may be painted and/or delinea installed to facilitate implementation.	painting A rade. to both fo ators p	UTURE VISIONS of the area control of the area control of the corridor in the c	inues to dev vironment, t acludes ded street parkir	he recommicated facil	nendation ities for
EXISTING ROW 100'	INTERII ROW 100'		FL	JTURE ROW 100'		EXISTING ROW 100'	INTERI ROW 100		FI	JTURE ROW 100'	
Pavement 55'	Pavement 55		به ۲	Pavement 64'	5	Pavement 55'	Pavement 5	5'	'n	Pavement 64'	5















	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED	LENGTH	
St. Bartholomew Ave Limits: Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	100'	24'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	0.10 mile	Whitecap Boulevard (East) Limits: PR 22 to Beach access	100' - 120'		Corpus Christi	15-40 MPH	0.66 miles	
EXISTING CONDITIONS - East/West connection between Leeward Drive, Windward Drive, and Beach Access Road 3a. - Striped with 12' travel lane without dedicated pedestrian or bicycle facilities. - This street acts as a way for users to loop around the area. INTERIM RECOMMENDATION						 EXISTING CONDITIONS East/West corridor providing access to the Beach. ROW reduces to 60 ft east of Windward for Beach Access. The corridor has 11' travel lanes and a 14' center turn lane with 8' pavers for sidewalks. Currently 40 mph per city ordinance (PR 22 to Windward). 						
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION As the corridor is currently used by all modes, recommend continuing to operate as a yield street while dedicating 6' of the pavement to a sidewalk through striping in the interim. Recommend positioning the sidewalk on the south/west side of the street. Sidewalk may be painted and/or delineators installed to facilitate implementation.					INTERIM RECOMMENDATION Recommend adding golf cart sharrow to travel lanes, allow bicycles to share the e 8' side paths, and add a crosswalk at Wi Lower speed limit to 30 mph from PR 22 Windward Dr., and maintain 15 mph east of Windward to the beach access. Add pedestrian connection to the Seawall.	the T existing co indward. b to si	UTURE VISI he ultimate rec orridor is develo each gateway v gnage with bet uffer areas.	ommendatio oped as an with enhanc	aesthetica ced landsc	Illy inviting aping and		
EXISTING ROW 100' Pavement 24'	ROW 100' Pavement 24'		F	JTURE ROW 100' vement 64'		EXISTING ROW 100' Pavement 60'			IM / FUTU ROW 100' Pavement 60'	JRE		
54, 54, Striped Sidewalk Yield Street			4 Ar	Travel Lane 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	alke & Bike & Bike & Golf Carts Golf Carts A Landscape Buffe , 9 ⁻ ,01		A Landscape Butter 8.4 Sidewalk 00-1, A Landscape Buffer		0, Travel Lane Turn Lane 0, Travel Lane	Travel Lane Travel Lane A Sidewalk		





Windward Drive	RIGHT OF-WA		OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		and Drive	-	HT- EXISTING WAY PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Windward Drive Limits: Access Rd. 4 to Robla Dr	100'-12	0' 50'-61'	Corpus Christi	30-35 MPH	1.1 miles		<mark>ard Drive</mark> Robla Dr to St Bartholor	new 100'	-120' 50'-61'	Corpus Christi	35 MPH	1.1 miles
EXISTING CONDITIONS						EXISTI	NG CONDITIONS					
 North/South corridor that pro Whitecap Boulevard to St. B 							rth/South corridor that p itecap Boulevard to St.			ourist and re	esidential ar	ea from
- The road is five lanes with a							ere there is 50' of pave					
 There is an evident pedestria repeated use. 	an made path fron	n Leeward Drive t	o Whitecap I	Boulevaro	due to		e lanes, and on-street p erse angled parking.					
NTERIM RECOMMENDATIO	N	FUTURE VISIO	N			INTERI		ION	FUTURE VISI	ON		
n the interim, recommend adding other buffer for pedestrians and bi		As the area conti tourist-driven env		•			erim improvement, reco				•	
add golf cart pavement markings t	to travel lanes.	for the corridor w	ould have de	edicated f			ng 55' existing paveme '' pedestrian and bicycle	-	tourist-driven en for the corridor i			
Extend Windward Dr. south to con Rd. Recommend reducing speed l		pedestrians, bicy	clists, and g	olf carts.		sides. Sl	JP may be painted and to facilitate implementa	/or delineators	pedestrians, on- and golf cart fac	•	ng and a sh	ared bike
acie spped of 30 MPH for entire s	-					cart pave	ement markings to trave	el lanes. Reduce		iiity.		
						speed lin	nit to prima facie speed	l of 30 MPH.				
EXISTING	INTE	RIM	FU	JTURE			EXISTING	IN	TERIM	F	UTURE	
ROW 100'	ROW 1	00'	R	ROW 100'			ROW 100'	R	W 100'		ROW 100'	
Pavement 60'	Pavemer	nt 60'	Pav بة	vement 58'	je		Pavement 50'	Pav	ement 55'	er	Pavement 64'	er
e e e e e	l P	a cl	oe Buf e	Ð	e Buf		Angle	⊂D e	U P &	e Buff	ane e e	e Buff
Travel Lane Travel Lane Travel Lane Travel Lane	 Pedestrian & Bicycle SUP Travel Lane Turn Lane 	Travel Lane Pedestrian & Bicycle SUP	Sidewalk Landscape Bike Lane Golf Carts Travel Lane	Tum Lane Travel Lan	Golf Carts Colf Carts Landscape Sidewalk		Parallel Par Bike Lane Travel Lane Bike Lane Bike Lane Reverse An	Pedestrian & Bicycle SUP Travel Lane	Travel Lane Parking Pedestrian & Bicycle SUP	Sidewalk Landscape Bike & Golf Carts	Farking La Travel Lane Travel Lane Parkino La	Bike & Golf Carts Landscape Sidewalk
	Ped Bicy Trav	Frav Bicy	Side Bike Golf		Side and Side		Pan Bike Trav Bike Bike Par	Bicy Trave			Trav Trav	
12' 11' 14' 11' 12'		11' 13'	8' 6'2'10' 11'	i 📔	局工 🐨 👙		8' 5' 10' 10' 5' 12'	12' 11'	11' 9' 12'	8' 6' 2'10' 5	9'11'11'9	/ 10'2 ^{6' 8'}
8		Â						â	â			
			8	3								
				1								
									8		8 (
								8	0			2
											8	
3. Roadway Design Recomme	endations									2 1		





	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Zahn Road Limits: Hwy 361 to Tortuga Dunes Rd.	N/A	34'	Corpus Christi	15 – 35 MPH	0.6 mile

EXISTING CONDITIONS

- East/West corridor that provides access from SH 361 to an up-and-coming residential area and the beach. It also provides access to the boat launch at the Packery Channel and corresponding parking area.

- The corridor is striped with two 13' travels lanes and 4' shoulders that transition to natural area. There is a 10' landscape buffer with a 6' sidewalk on the northern side of the road.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

Complete existing pedestrian side path from Hwy 361 to public boat launch access road. Add bicycle and golf cart sharrows to the existing car travel lanes. Recommend prima facie speed limit of 30 MPH between Hwy 361 and Tortuga Dunes Blvd. After Tortuga Dunes Blvd., recommend maintaining current speed limit of 15 mph and adding a separated pedestrian path that is buffered with candlestick delineators.

FUTURE VISION

As this area continues to develop, creating connections between Packery Channel, the residential area, and the beach will be important. The recommendation for this area is to convert pedestrian side path to a 15-foot bicycle and pedestrian shared-use side path on one side of the street. Evaluate pedestrian and bicycle access needs to Packery Channel Park amenities.

EXISTING

INTERIM

FUTURE

Pavement 34'

Pavement 34'

Pavement 34'









3.6 County Beach Connectors

This section includes:

- 1. Beach Access Rd. 4 (p. 50)
- 2. Beach Access Rd. 5 (p. 51)
- 3. Beach Access Rd. 6 (p. 52)
- 4. Elliff Rd. (p. 53)
- 5. Padre Balli Park Rd. (p. 54)







	RIGHT OF-WA		OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Access Road 4 Limits: PR 22 to the beach	N/A	24'	Nueces County	15 MPH	0.48 mile	Beach Access Rd. 5 Limits: PR 22 to the beach	N/A	24'	Nueces County	15 MPH	0.39 mile
 EXISTING CONDITIONS East/West corridor that provides user-made path (Crows Nest) the on the current UTP, there is pote should the proposed extension of the road allows for two-way trave transition to natural area. There is a should area is the should area is a should area. 	at runs paralle ntial for the ro occur. rel with unmar	I to PR 22 and w ad to intersect wi ked lanes and no	ith Elliff Road th Windwarc designated	d to the so d Drive to shoulders	outh. Based the north	EXISTING CONDITIONS - Provides access from PR 22 to beac - Two-way travel with unmarked lanes the road.		-	-		
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION Recommend continuing to operate as street, while dedicating 6' of the paver sidewalk on the north side of the road to the future paper street, Crows Nest may be painted and/or delineators ins facilitate implementation.	ment to a to connect t. Sidewalk	FUTURE VISI The ultimate visi 15' shared pede side of the road cart sharrow ma	on for the co strian and bi with candles	icycle patł stick deline	n to one eators. Golf	INTERIM RECOMMENDATION Recommend continuing to operate as a yi street, while dedicating 6' of the pavemen a sidewalk. Sidewalk may be painted and delineators installed to facilitate implemen	eld Ti t to 15 /or si	UTURE VISIO he ultimate visio 5' shared pedes de of the road v art sharrow mar	on for the co strian and b vith candles	icycle path stick deline	to one ators. Golf
EXISTING	INTERIN	I	FUT	URE		EXISTING	INTERIN	I	FU	TURE	
Pavement 24'	Pavement	24'	Pave	ement 35'		Pavement 24'	Pavement 24'	-	Pave	ement 35'	
24'	18'	9 - SIGEWAIK	10' 1 9	quality of the second s		24'	 Yield Street Sidewalk 		10'	CI Travel Lane	







RIGI OF-V		OWNER SPEED	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Access Road 6 Limits: PR 22 to the beach	A 22'	Nueces County 15 MPH	1 0.38 mile	Elliff Road Limits: Access Rd 4 to Padre Balli Park	N/A	22'	Nueces County	15 MPH	1 mile
 EXISTING CONDITIONS Provides access to beach where cars can p Two-way travel with unmarked lanes and difted the road. Connects to PR 22. The other intersecting red Drive, a collector to residential streets. 	ch. There are no pe	edestrian or bicycle fa		EXISTING CONDITIONS - North/South corridor that provides a park Briscoe King Pavilion. Potentia proposed extension of that corridor - Two-way traffic with no pavement m There are no pedestrian or bicycle f	I connection occur. arkings on 22	to the north to 2' of pavement	Windward [Drive shoul	d the
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION The current pavement width is too narrow to recommend dedicating space to other modes with an interim recommendation.	15' shared pedes side of the road	ON on for the corridor we strian and bicycle pa with candlestick delir rkers added to travel	th to one neators. Golf	INTERIM RECOMMENDATION The current pavement width is too narrow recommend dedicating space to other me with an interim recommendation.	v to T odes 1 s	The ultimate vis 5' shared pede ide of the road art sharrow ma	ion for the c estrian and l with candle	bicycle pati estick delin	n to one eators. Golf
EXISTING IN Pavement 22'	ERIM	FUTURE Pavement 35'	_	EXISTING Pavement 22'	INTERIM			UTURE	
	nterim nendation	CO<2			No interi ommend			 Contraction Contract	







	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Padre Balli Park Road Limits: PR 22 to the Beach	N/A	24'	Nueces County	15 MPH	0.5 mile

EXISTING CONDITIONS

- East/West corridor provide access to Nueces County Costal parks, the beach, Briscoe King Pavilion, and the RV park. To the west, it connects with Encantada Avenue.
- The eastbound and westbound travel are separated by a wide median of 150'. Each has a single travel lane of 18.5' with a 5.5' bike lane. There are no sidewalks along either facility.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

Recommend restriping the roadway to include a striped 7' sidewalk, separated from the 11' travel lane by a 6' bike lane. Sidewalks may be painted and/or delineators installed to facilitate implementation.

FUTURE VISION

The ultimate design for the corridor would be to create permanent infrastructure based on the interim recommendations with a 13' shared use path on both sides.





3.7 West Island Connectors This section includes:

Aquarius St. (p. 56)
 Commodores Dr. (p. 57)
 Dasmarinas Dr. (p. 59)
 Encantada Ave. (p. 60)
 Gypsy St. (p. 61)
 Palmira Ave. (p. 62)
 Sea Pines Dr. (p. 63)
 Whitecap Blvd. (west) (p. 64)





	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
Aquarius Street Limits: Whitecap to Commodores	60'	37' – 50'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	1.4 miles	Commodores Drive Limits: PR 22 to Aquarius St.	120'	98'	Corpus Christi	35 MPH	0.65 miles
EXISTING CONDITIONS - North/South corridor that provides a	access to a re	esidences and A	Aquarius Pa	rk from Co	mmodores	EXISTING CONDITIONS	ccess to reside	Proces Commo		and retail fr	

- North/South corridor that provides access to a residences and Aquarius Park from Commodores Drive and Whitecap Boulevard.
- Two distinct road configurations, which change just north of Dasmarinas Drive.
- Northern section: 7' sidewalk, 12' travel lanes, and a 21' median, curbed.
- Southern section: 12' travel lanes and 6.5' shoulders on each side, with speed humps, curbed.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

As the corridor is currently used by all modes, recommend striping an 8' sidewalk on one side with necessary signage; install signage and pavement markings for sharing the travel lanes with bicycles and golf carts/NEVs. Interim can be at-grade with paint / restriping and delineators as appropriate.

FUTURE VISION

The ultimate vision would provide space for dedicated facilities for all modes. In addition to the existing 8-foot side path between Commodores and Dasmarinas, the Whitecap Preserve developer is currently constructing a parallel path on their property. Therefore, the mobility plan does not include recommendations for the segment of Aquarius between Commodores and Dasmarinas beyond adding golf cart sharrow markings and permitting bicycle users to share the side paths with pedestrians.

EXISTING

INTERIM

FUTURE







EXISTING

southern side of the corridor.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION



East/West corridor that provides access to residences, Commodore Park, and retail from PR 22. The corridor intersects with Aquarius Street.

- Recently reconstructed to with two 13' travel lanes, buffered bike lanes with a 6' buffer and 7' bike lane, and an approximately 46' median, curbed.

- There is a small segment of existing sidewalk from Compass Street to Waves Drive on the

- Commodores Bridge is currently under construction and though this section will have a more limited 85 feet of pavement, the median width is significantly reduced as well.

Reduce travel lane widths and create a shared bicycle and golf cart lane with separated sidewalk facilities. Recommend reducing speed limit to prima facie speed of 30 MPH.







Commodores Drive Continued

FUTURE VISION

Build from the interim recommendations, and separate wider sidewalk using a landscape buffer.

FUTURE



Dasmarinas Drive

Limits: Aquarius St. to Whitecap Dr.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

- Boulevard, somewhat parallel to PR 22.
- no pedestrian or bicycle facilities on the road.

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

Currently operates at a higher speed than a typical residential street. Recommend dedicating pavement space through striping to have two 11' travel lanes, a parking lane on one side and a 9' striped sidewalk on the other. Sidewalk may be painted and/or delineators installed to facilitate implementation.



<u>, 18. 50</u> a

RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH
60'	40'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	1.4 miles

- North/South corridor that provides access to residences from Aquarius Street to Whitecap

- Local street with no pavement markings, multiple speed humps, with curb and gutters. There are

FUTURE VISION
The ultimate design for the corridor maintains the neighborhood character for residents that both live on and use this street, while also providing connectivity on the west side of the Island.

En contra da Anora	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		
Encantada Avenue Limits: Gypsy St. to PR 22	100'	40'	Corpus Christi	15 – 30 MPH	0.5 mile	Gypsy Street Limits: Whitecap Dr. to Encantada Ave.	100'	36'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	1 mile		
EXISTING CONDITIONS						EXISTING CONDITIONS							
 East/West corridor that provid Intersects with Gypsy Street, 		 North/South corridor that provides access to residences and Billish Park from Encantada Avenue to Whitecap Boulevard. 											
 Designed with 12' lanes and e on the road. 	6' bike lanes, curbe	 The road is divided into two 18' travel lanes with several speed humps, and is curbed. There are no pedestrian or bicycle facilities on the road. 											
 Mid-block crosswalk at the lead Palmira Avenue. 	- In the northern segment, there is a constrained bridge approximately 31' wide.												
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION	N F	FUTURE VISION				INTERIM RECOMMENDATION	F	FUTURE VISION					
As an interim recommendation, red lanes to 11' with 7' bike lanes. Thes can be at-grade with paint / restripin delineators. There is a current proj to add 5' sidewalks tied to the curbs sides of Encantada between Gypsy (Funded by Transportation Block G Aside funds).	se alterations wing and/or to ject underway constant of the second secon	The ultimate vision for the corridor would include wider sidewalk facilities with landscaped buffers to enhance pedestrian comfort along this corridor, while maintaining the interim pavement recommendation with separate bike lanes on both sides of the street.				In the interim, recommend narrowing the ro through striping to have two 10' travel lanes 8' parking lane, and an 8' sidewalk that can accomplished with at-grade paint / restriping or delineators and additional signage to ind sharing the travel lane with bicycles and go carts/NEVs.	s, an ult be fa g and/ st icate m	To provide safer access to Billish Park, the ultimate vision for the corridor includes dedicated facilities for pedestrians on both sides of the street, and given the slow speed on the corridor, markings for shared travel lanes for vehicles, golf carts/NEVs, and bicycles.					
EXISTING	INTERIM	RIM FUTURE			EXISTING	INTERI	Μ	F					
ROW 100'	ROW 100'	00' ROW 100'			ROW 100'	ROW 100	N 100' ROV		ROW 100'				
Pavement 40'	Pavement 40'		uffer –	avement 40'	uffer	Pavement 36'	Pavement 3	der	L L	Pavement 42'	3uffer		
9 Bike Lane 75 Travel Lane 9 Bike Lane	2. 11. 11. 2. 5 1. 11. 5 1. 1	0	oo € Sidewalk	-51 B	9 A Landscape B	Travel Lane 181, 181	 8 Sidewalk 01 Sidewalk 60 Car, Bicycle, 10 Travel Lanes 	e Parking/Sho	∞ sidewalk 9 € Landscape Buffe 8 Parking/Shoulde		8.9.5 Kidewalk		





	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH	
Palmira Avenue Limits: Whitecap Dr to Sea Pines Dr.	50'	26'	Corpus Christi	30 MPH	1.2 miles	Sea Pines Avenue Limits: PR 22 to West Terminus	100'	78'	Corpus Christi	35 MPH	0.85 mile	
 EXISTING CONDITIONS North/South corridor running parallel to PR 22 from Las Tunas Drive to Sea Pines Drive. The corridor provides access to residences, schools, as well as the back side of commercial and retail businesses that front PR 22. Approximately 26' of pavement without pavement markings or pedestrian or bicycle facilities. While sidewalks are present in some segments, they are inconsistent and disconnected. 						 EXISTING CONDITIONS East/West corridor that provides access to residences from PR 22 and connects to Beach Access Road 6. The corridor intersects with Palmira Avenue and many local streets. The roadway is currently designed with 11' travel lanes, a 24' median 5' bike lanes, and is guttered. There are no pedestrian facilities along this corridor. Speed limit is 35 MPH. 						
INTERIM RECOMMENDATION Recommend continuing to operate as a yield street while dedicating 8' of the pavement to a sidewalk through striping and/or delineators in the interim. No recommendation on which side of the road to place the sidewalk. Since no pavement is currently in place north of Las Tunas, recommend utilizing Las Tunas and Cruiser Street for connectivity to Whitecap Blvd. Prioritize segment by school that has some sidewalks.					INTERIM RECOMMENDATIONFUTURE VISIONRestripe / paint and/or use delineators on each side of 24' median: 11' travel lane, 10' bike and golf cart SUP, 6' sidewalk. Recommend reducing speed limit to prima facie speed of 30 MPH.The ultimate design for the corridor would be to reduce travel lanes and create a shared bicycle and golf cart lane with separated sidewalk facilities.							
EXISTING	INTERI	м	FU	JTURE		EXISTING	INTERIN	1		FUTUR		
ROW 50'	ROW 50'	ROW 50'			ROW 100'	ROW 100'			ROW 100			
Pavement 26'	Pavement 26' Pavement 26'		Pavement 32'			Pavement 78' Doutter Pavement 78'	Pavement 78	ent 78' Pavement 70'			Buffer	



Sidewalk Sidewalk Sidewalk

8' 18'








	RIGHT- OF-WAY	EXISTING PAVEMENT	OWNER	SPEED LIMIT	LENGTH		
Whitecap Blvd (West) Limits: PR 22 to WWTP	100'	85'	Corpus Christi	35 MPH	1.6 Miles	Whitecap Blvd (West) Continued	
EXISTING CONDITIONS					FUTURE VISION		
 This corridor primarily serves to connect neighborhoods on the west side of the Island with Park Road 22 as well as a connection to area parks and the Whitecap Wastewater Plant. 						The ultimate design for the corridor would be to reduce travel lanes and create a shared bicycle	
 This segment includes a median, two travel lanes, 5-foot shoulders on both sides and a single 7-foot sidewalk on the north side of the street. 						and golf cart lane with separated sidewalk facilities. The median would also allow for turn	

INTERIM RECOMMENDATION

Recommend reducing speed limit to the prima facie speed of 30 MPH. Paint / restripe existing pavement and/or use delineators to address concerns of local residents using golf carts to travel around the Island.

EXISTING



INTERIM



lanes.





ROW 100'

Pavement 72'







This page intentionally left blank



4. Implementation Plan

4.1 Projects

Table 1 through Table 3 in this section present implementation phasing recommendations. However, implementation may occur sooner if a street in this plan is identified for the City's Rapid Pavement Program, Preventative Maintenance, Reconstruction, or other street improvement program, as the proposed improvements to that segment would occur at that time.

Table 1: Short-Term Projects (Next 5 Years)

Page #	Corridor	Limits	Design
28	Park Road 22 (TxDOT)	Aquarius St. to Whitecap Blvd.	Future Design
36	Sand Dollar Ave. (In Design*)	Hwy 361 to Verdemar Dr.	Future Design
39	Beach Access Rd. 3A	Windward Dr. to beach	Pavement Markings
40	Crows Nest Ave. (In Design*)	Beach Access Rd. 4 to Whitecap Blvd.	Future Design
46	Windward Dr.	Beach Access Rd. 4 to Robla	Interim Design
47	Windward Dr.	Robla to St. Bartholomew Ave.	Interim Design
56	Aquarius St.	Commodores Dr. to Whitecap Blvd.	Interim Design
57	Commodores Dr.	PR 22 to Aquarius St.	Interim Design
59	Dasmarinas Dr.	Aquarius St. to Whitecap Blvd.	Interim Design
60	Encantada Ave.	PR 22 to Gypsy St.	Interim Design
61	Gypsy St.	Whitecap Blvd. to Encantada Ave.	Interim Design
64	Whitecap Blvd. (west)	PR 22 to west terminus	Interim Design

Table 2: Mid-Term Projects (6 - 10 Years)

Page #	Corridor	Limits	Design
27	Hwy 361 (TxDOT)	PR 22 to Lake Padre Entrance	Future Design
29	JFK Causeway Side Path Bridge	Aquarius St. to Padre Island Access Rd.	Future Design
31	Ambrosia St.	Aquarius St. to Jackfish Ave.	Interim Design
32	Aquarius St.	PR 22 to Ambrosia St.	Interim Design
41	Leeward Dr.	Robla Dr. to St. Bartholomew Ave.	Interim Design
42	Robla Drive	Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	Interim Design
43	St. Augustine Dr.	Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	Interim Design
44	St. Bartholomew Ave.	Leeward Dr. to Windward Dr.	Interim Design
48	Zahn Rd.	Hwy 361 to beach	Interim Design
50	Beach Access Rd. 4	PR 22 to beach	Interim Design
54	Padre Balli Park Rd.	PR 22 to beach	Interim Design
62	Palmira Ave.	Encantada Ave. to Sea Pines Dr.	Final Design
63	Sea Pines Dr.	PR 22 to west terminus	Interim Design

*Note: TIRZ 2 Allocated Paper Streets Project Funding of \$7.2M for design, permitting, and construction of Sand Dollar and Crows Nest Avenues.





Table 3: Long-Term Projects (Over 10 years)

Page #	Corridor	Limits	Design
28	Park Road 22	Whitecap Blvd. to Sea Pines Dr.	Future Design
33	Jackfish Ave.	PR 22 to Ambrosia St.	Future Design
34	Marina Park Blvd.	PR 22 to Packery Point Dr.	Future Design
35	Packery Point Drive	PR 22 to Marina Park Blvd.	Future Design
36	Sand Dollar	Verdemar Dr. to Packery Channel Park Rd.	Future Design
37	Verdemar Dr.	PR 22 to Sand Dollar Ave.	Future Design
40	Crows Nest	Whitecap Blvd. to PR 22	Future Design
45	Whitecap Blvd.(east)	PR 22 to Beach access	Future Design
51	Beach Access Rd. 5	PR 22 to beach	Interim Design
52	Beach Access Rd. 6	PR 22 to beach	Future Design
53	Elliff Rd.	Beach Access Rd. 4 to Padre Balli Park Rd.	Future Design
N/A	Plan Update	Review and assess plan implementation and street design functionality to inform future design amendments and project prioritization.	N/A

4.2 Next Steps

The City should consider the following next steps to implement the recommended projects listed in this Plan. These steps are integral to project planning and delivery.

- the City's Municipal Code for speed limit adjustments.
- projects with future agency projects and initiatives.
- higher success rate of being funded.

- Adopt Mobility Plan and Associated Policies and Design Standards – Plan and policy adoption establishes the path to move forward with planning, design, and available funding opportunities. Coordination with the City's Traffic Engineering Department may be required for additional design standard updates to the Infrastructure Design Manual and ammendments to

- Identify CIP Projects - Based on the project prioritization and available funding sources, identify projects to incorporate in the short-term and long-term Capital Improvement Program.

- Agency Coordination – Communicate with Nueces County and TxDOT to align Mobility Plan

- Identify Funding Sources – There are outside funding mechanisms that will fund pedestrian safety and active transportation projects. Securing outside funding will require the City to be "grant ready". Overall, a project that is "shovel-ready" and/or already included in the CIP have a





This page intentionally left blank











Kimley »Horn

March 21, 2025

Ms. Keren Costanzo Planning Manager 1201 Leopard St. Corpus Christi, TX 78401 KerenC@cctexas.com 361-826-3573

RE: Corpus Christi – Park Road 22 Bridge – Preliminary Load Analysis and Golf Cart Paths Park Road 22 Corpus Christi, TX

Ms. Costanzo,

As requested, Kimley-Horn reviewed the existing bridges (separate bridges for northbound and southbound) located at Park Road 22 for support of the newly proposed lane reconfiguration, which is part of a city improvements project. Our review is limited to reviewing the change in loading that will be applied to the bridge due to the new lane reconfiguration using the information provided by the TxDOT drawings prepared by Urban Engineering (UE). Information provided to date includes bridge geometry, design loads, and record structural drawings prepared by UE sealed on 12/08/2016. Kimley-Horn also reviewed potential golf cart paths on each development as well as along Park Road 22.

EXISTING STRUCTURE

The existing bridge consists of three precast arches with 36'-0", 48'-0", and 36'-0" spans, with a roadway width of 44'-0" and an overall bridge width of 46'-7". The three prefabricated arches are supported on continuous caps with eight (8) 36" diameter drilled shafts. Figure 1 shows the typical bridge profile. Figure 2 shows the existing typical section of the bridges.



Kimley »Horn



Figure 1: Typical Existing Bridge Profile

225 E. John W. Carpenter Freeway, Suite 1100, Irving, TX 75062

11 SO &



Kimley »Horn

Page 2



Figure 2: Existing Bridge Typical Section

PROPOSED LANE RECONFIGURATION

The proposed lane reconfiguration will limit the vehicular loading to a "roadway width" of 29'-0", while the remaining width will be utilized for pedestrian, bicycle, and golf cart loading. An interior traffic railing will separate the roadway section from the pedestrian and bicycle/golf cart section. Pedestrian and H-10 truck loading will apply to a width of 14'-0". A low-profile physical buffer will be provided between the pedestrian and bicycle/golf cart lanes. Exterior T223 rails will be converted to C223 rails suitable for adjacent pedestrian traffic. A speed limit of 15 mph will be signed and enforced on the shared bicycle/golf cart lane to allow safe, concurrent use by both modes. Figure 3 shows the proposed typical lane reconfiguration.



Figure 3: Proposed Bridge Typical Section

Kimley **»Horn**

BRIDGE LOADING ANALYSIS

The extent of our analysis was limited to assessing the change in applied loading. Dead and live loads for the proposed lane reconfiguration were compared against the original design (existing).

As shown in the attached calculations (Appendix A), the total effective dead load on the precast arch culverts is increased by less than 3% due to the additional traffic railings. The design live load for the proposed section is substantially less than the existing one, resulting in lower theoretical stresses in the structure.

The record structural drawings indicate that the existing bridge was designed in accordance with 6th edition AASHTO LRFD Bridge Specifications for HL-93 live load. Our load analysis in Appendix A compares HL-93 loading in one lane against the pedestrian and H10 live load to show the reduction in live load in the pedestrian and golf cart sections.

GOLF CART PATHS AND BRIDGE UNDERPASS RENDERINGS

Kimley-Horn reviewed potential golf cart paths along Park Road 22 and potential routes between the two developments (White Cap and Lake Padre). The exhibits attached show the potential routes as well as three crossing either at grade (intersection crossings) or under the Park Road 22 bridge. The two intersection roadway crossings should be studied further in conjunction with the developments for signalization whether this is a full traffic signal or a pedestrian hybrid beacon system. Kimley-Horn also developed renders of what the crossing under the Park Road 22 bridge may look like in both the daytime and nighttime. The use of landscape rocks and low-light landscaping can be utilized to beautify the crossing. There should also be a separate pedestrian zone from the single-lane golf cart path. As there is only enough room for a single golf cart path, it is recommended to have a passing area in the middle of the crossing in case there are golf carts traveling in both directions. The golf cart path exhibit and renderings can be found in the Appendix of this memo.

225 E. John W. Carpenter Freeway, Suite 1100, Irving, TX 75062



Kimley »Horn

Page 4



Based on the limited information provided it is our opinion that the proposed section reconfiguration of the existing bridge will not produce substantial negative load effects in the superstructure or substructure of the bridge in terms of vertical loading. Initial live load analysis for downward vertical forces showed that the proposed lane reconfiguration is expected to decrease live load over a 14 ft wide portion of the bridge.

A full load rating of the existing bridge can be prepared if additional information on the condition and fabrication details of the precast arches is provided to support a full analysis. However, based on the qualitative load analysis, the proposed typical section will not substantially increase the demands on the existing bridge in any AASHTO LRFD load combination.

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Momes & Grant

Thomas P. Grant, P.E., PTOE Marco I. Perez, P.E. March 21, 2025

Appendix

Appendix "A": Preliminary Bridge Load Analysis Appendix "B": Golf Cart Path Exhibit and Bridge Underpass Renderings































[Inside Cover]



