CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

The City of Corpus Christi, a Texas home rule municipal corporation, P.O. Box 9277, Corpus Christi, Nueces County, Texas 78469-9277 (City) acting through its duly authorized City Manager or Designee (Director of Engineering Services) and **Espey Consultants, Inc. dba RPS Espey**, a Texas corporation, 4801Southwest Parkway, Parkway 2, Suite 150, Austin, Texas 78735, (**Architect/Engineer – A/E**), hereby agree as follows:

SCOPE OF PROJECT

Oso Water Reclamation Plant Dissolved Oxygen Modeling for Oso Bay (Project No. E10196) — The objectives are to identify a model that is acceptable to both the City and the TCEQ, obtain the data necessary to effectively run the model, and develop results that are scientifically defensible and supportive of the Oso Water Reclamation Plant Nutrient Removal Project (Ammonia) (Project No. E09007). These objectives must also meet the schedule constraints of the Oso Water Reclamation Plant Nutrient Removal Project (Ammonia) (Project No. E09007). A summary of the activities follows:

- 1. Finalize the current permit action for the Oso Plant
- 2. Identify the Appropriate Model
- 3. Compile relevant existing data necessary for the model
- 4. Prepare a Study Work Plan
- 5. Conduct field studies, as necessary to obtain additional data
- 6. Construct the model
- 7. Define critical conditions
- 8. Calibrate the model
- 9. Evaluate potential discharge limitations
- 10. Prepare report of the results

2. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The A/E hereby agrees, at its own expense, to perform design services necessary to review and prepare plans, specifications, and bid and contract documents. In addition, A/E will provide monthly status updates (project progress or delays, gantt charts presented with monthly invoices) and provide contract administration services, as described in **Exhibit "A" and "A-1"**, to complete the Project. Work will not begin on Additional Services until requested by the A/E (provide breakdown of costs, schedules), <u>and</u> written authorization is provided by the Director of Engineering Services.

A/E services will be "Services for Construction Projects"- (Basic Services for Construction Projects") which are shown and are in accordance with "Professional Engineering Services- A Guide to the Selection and Negotiation Process, 1993" a joint publication of the Consulting Engineer's Council of Texas and Texas Society of Professional Engineers. For purposes of this contract, certain services listed in this publication as Additional Services will be considered as Basic Services.

3. ORDER OF SERVICES

The A/E agrees to begin work on those authorized Basic Services for this contract upon receipt of the Notice to Proceed from the Director of Engineering Services. Work will not begin on any phase or any

Contract for Engineering (A/E) Services

Additional Services until requested in writing by the A/E and written authorization is provided by the Director of Engineering Services. The anticipated schedule of the preliminary phase, design phase, bid phase, and construction phase is shown on **Exhibit "A"**. This schedule is not to be inclusive of all additional time that may be required for review by the City staff and may be amended by or with the concurrence of the Director of Engineering Services.

The Director of Engineering Services may direct the A/E to undertake additional services or tasks provided that no increase in fee is required. Services or tasks requiring an increase of fee will be mutually agreed and evidenced in writing as an amendment to this contract. A/E shall notify the City of Corpus Christi within three (3) days of notice if tasks requested requires an additional fee.

4. INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE

A/E agrees to the mandatory contract indemnification and insurance requirements as set forth in **Exhibit** "B".

5. FEE

The City will pay the A/E a fee, as described in **Exhibit "A"**, for providing services authorized, a total fee not to exceed \$538,680.00, (Five Hundred Thirty Eight Thousand Six Hundred Eighty Dollars and Zero Cents). Monthly invoices will be submitted in accordance with **Exhibit "D"**.

6. TERMINATION OF CONTRACT

The City may, at any time, with or without cause, terminate this contract upon seven days written notice to the A/E at the address of record. In this event, the A/E will be compensated for its services on all stages authorized based upon A/E and City's estimate of the proportion of the total services actually completed at the time of termination.

7. ASSIGNABILITY

The A/E will not assign, transfer or delegate any of its obligations or duties in this contract to any other person without the prior written consent of the City, except for routine duties delegated to personnel of the A/E staff. If the A/E is a partnership, then in the event of the termination of the partnership, this contract will inure to the individual benefit of such partner or partners as the City may designate. No part of the A/E fee may be assigned in advance of receipt by the A/E without written consent of the City.

The City will not pay the fees of expert or technical assistance and consultants unless such employment, including the rate of compensation, has been approved in writing by the City.

8. OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS

All documents including contract documents (plans and specifications), record drawings, contractor's field data, and submittal data will be the sole property of the City, may not be used again by the A/E without the express written consent of the Director of Engineering Services. However, the A/E may use standard details that are not specific to this project. The City agrees that any modification of the plans will be evidenced on the plans, and be signed and sealed by a professional engineer prior to re-use of modified plans.

9. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

CITY OF CORRUS CURIOTI

A/E further agrees, in compliance with City of Corpus Christi Ordinance No. 17112, to complete, as part of this contract, the *Disclosure of Interests* form attached hereto as **Exhibit "C"**.

CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI	ESPEY CONSULTANTS, INC. dba RPS ESPEY
	5)///
Oscar R. Martinez, Date	David K. Harkins, PH. D., P. E., D. WRE. Date
Assistant City Manager	Vice President
	4801 Southwest Parkway, Parkway 2, Suite 150
	Austin, TX 78735
RECOMMENDED	(512) 326-5659 Office
	(512) 326-5723 Fax
Daniel Biles, P. E., Date	at.
Director of Engineering Services	
C C	
5-7-12 Jana Jacot	
Foster Crowell, Date	
Director of Wastewater Services	
APPROVED AS TO FORM	
Office of Management Date	
Office of Management Date and Budget	
and budget	
Legal Department Date	
ATTEST	
Associate Observe Oite Occupant	
Armando Chapa, City Secretary	
Project Number: E10196	11.44
Fund Source Number: <u>550950-4247-00000-E10196</u>	JUN 27 XM
Encumberance Number:	\ko
	yv



4801 Southwest Parkway, Parkway 2, Suite 150, Austin, Texas 78735, USA

T +1 512 326 5659 F +1 512 326 5723 W www.rpsgroup.com

DATE:

April 30, 2012.

TO:

Dan Biles, P.E.

Foster D. Crowell, P.E.

William J. Green, P.E.

Joe Trejo, P.E.

City of Corpus Christi

Department of Engineering Services

FROM:

David Harkins, Ph.D., P.E., D.WRE

Ernest To, Ph.D., P.E.

Subject:

Revised scopes, schedules and budgets for Phase I and 2 of Oso Bay Dissolved Oxygen

Modeling Project (Project number: E10196)

Introduction

On 4/23/2012, RPS-Espey met with City of Corpus Christi Engineering Services (City) to discuss the scope, schedule and budget of the Oso Bay Dissolved Oxygen Modeling Project (submitted on 3/22/2012). Several comments and changes were received by RPS-Espey from the City during the meeting. This memo presents the revised scope, schedule and budget that incorporate the agreed-upon changes from the meeting.

The project is organized into two phases: Phase 1 and Phase 2.

Phase 1 focuses on the collection and compilation of data and a preliminary study of Oso Bay. The goal of Phase 1 is 1) to generate a detailed work plan for subsequent investigation and modeling in Phase 2; and 2) to execute field sampling to support the development of the dissolved oxygen model. Phase I is anticipated to start in August 2012.

Phase 2 focuses on model selection, development and execution of the Oso Bay dissolved oxygen model. Once calibrated, the model will be used to evaluate various permit scenarios on water quality in the Bay. Results from the scenario runs will help determine the impact of plant effluent on bay health and provide valuable site-specific information for finalizing the permit action for the Oso treatment plant. Phase 2 is anticipated to start in December 2012.

United States | Canada | Brazil | UK | Ireland | Netherlands

Australia Asia Pacific | Russia | Middle East | Africa



This memo provides separate scopes and budgets for the two phases for the City's review. Together, the two phases cover the 9 stated key activities laid out in the Oso Reclamation Plant Nutrient Removal Project (Ammonia) RFQ, namely:

- 1. Finalize the current permit action for the Oso Plant,
- 2. Identify the appropriate model,
- 3. Compile relevant existing data necessary for the model,
- 4. Prepare a study work plan,
- 5. Conduct field studies, as necessary to obtain additional data,
- 6. Construct the model,
- 7. Define critical conditions,
- 8. Calibrate the model; and,
- 9. Evaluate potential discharge limitations.

Details of tasks in Phase 1 and 2 are provided in the following sections. For a summary table of tasks and costs please refer to the attached pdf (Oso_Projected_Costs_20120501.pdf).



Phase 1 scope and budget

Phase 1 has an anticipated start date of August 2012 and is expected to last 13 months till September 2013. The projected cost for Phase1 is <u>\$159,380</u>. It consists of two main tasks: Task 1 and Task 2, which will be executed concurrently.

Task 1 summary

Projected period: Aug 2012 to Dec 2012.

Task 1 consists of site characterization, review of existing information on Oso Bay and Oso Plant and conceptual modeling. The site characterization includes a reconnaissance and bathymetric survey of Oso Bay. In his research, Prof. Ben Hodges from the University of Texas at Austin observed the presence of sandbars in the Bay, which due to the Bay's shallowness, can dramatically impact circulation patterns. Locating the sandbars and other underwater features such as shallow areas will be crucial to subsequent sampling and modeling activities. Once site characterization has been performed, the team will designate sites for deployment of CTD (conductivity, temperature and depth) sensors, velocity meters, and dissolved oxygen probes in Task 2. Monitoring activities may also include measurements of site-specific data such as sediment oxygen demand and benthic nutrient fluxes so that the impact of seagrasses and benthic organisms on the nutrient cycle can be quantified.

The review of existing information will include permit requirements, water quality standards, related reports and previous modeling efforts. At the end of Task 1, a conceptual modeling exercise will be performed to list the physical, chemical and biological processes in the Bay and identify data gaps for further investigation.

The deliverable from Task 1 will be a detailed Study Work Plan. A presentation to the City and TCEQ on the Study Work Plan will be conducted at the end of this phase.

The projected cost for Task 1 is \$98,320 and the projected duration is 4 months.

Task 2 summary

Projected period: Sept 2012 to Sept 2013.

Task 2 involves the water quality monitoring of Oso Bay to provide site-specific and up-to-date water quality and hydrodynamic data for subsequent development of the Oso Bay model. This task includes 1) a 12-month continuous water quality monitoring program and 2) an intensive summer sampling program to study the impact of the plant effluent during critical conditions.

The purpose of the continuous monitoring program is to evaluate the seasonal and diurnal behavior of the Bay with respect to water quality, tidal effects, oxygen production and respiration, and circulation. One key goal of this study is to investigate how the changes in water quality and quantity of the Barney Davis Power Plant discharge affects circulation and nutrient processes in the Bay. Data from the sampling will be published via a web portal which will also allow online mapping and visualization of the data.



The purpose of summer sampling is to track the effluent from the Oso Bay treatment plant through an intensive 48-hr survey to observe effluent behavior under critical conditions. This study will provide ground confirmation of the plume fate and transport and validation data for the DO-nutrient model.

The deliverables from Task 2 will be initially 1) a continuous monitoring plan and 2) a summer sampling plan which will be submitted before commencement of the field work. After the field work has been performed, 3) a continuous monitoring report and 4) a summer sampling report will be submitted.

The projected cost for Task 2 is \$46,600 and the projected duration is 12 months.

Phase 1 Project Meetings (4)

The team anticipates four (4) meetings with the City and/or TCEQ over the duration of this project.

The projected cost for meeting and preparation is \$14,460.

Total Projected costs for Phase 1:

	Task 1. Site Characterization and Detailed Study Work Plan	\$	98,320
	Task 2. Long Term Monitoring	\$	46,600
(+)	Meetings	\$	14,460
	Phase 1 Total:	\$.	159,380

Detailed project schedule for Phase 1

A detailed project schedule table for Phase 1 is provided on the next page.



Detailed Schedule for Oso Bay Dissolved Oxygen Modeling Project (Phase 1)

			2012					2013											2014				
ask	Subtask		Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb Mar	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dac	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
	1.1	Review efficient permit requirements for Oso water reclamation plant		Ravie	w cur	rent -	historica	al discha	rge permits :	and play	t efflue	nt con	centrati	ons									
	1.2	Review DO'nutrient impairments in Oso bay		Plovio	w sur	ace w	ater qu	alty star	ndards										1.4				-
	1.3	Identify data sources, data gaps			Identi	ly date	gaps o	ind sour	ces														
	1.4	Data Complation			Data	Compl	ation																
Ċ	1.5	Site recornaissance, bathymetric survey of Oso Bay			Siter	ecom	aissanc	e, batry	metric surve	-													
	1.6	Conceptual modeling, identify important processes in Osa Bay				Conc	eptual N	lodeling															
	1.7	Prepare detailed study work plan				Detai	led stud	y w ork	plan										_				_
	1.8	Presentation to TCED and City on study work plan																					
		plan and summer sampling plan Field Studies: Long Term Monitoring			Conts	uo.s	monitori	ng pian	and summer	Lample Lample	å brau				Continuous Monitoring			ng					
		Publish and host sampling data on CCBay HS	П	1000	T					1000	-					volcat			_		_		
				_	_																		
	2.4	Fleid Studies: Summer Sampling										Pag.		umn	er Sar	pling							
leat		Field Studies: Summer Sampling			+	Н						1-7 V		Summ	er Sar	pling							
leeti M		Reld Studies: Summer Sampling Regular project meetings with City and/or TOSO (4 mgs)												Summer of the second	er Sar	pling							
		Regular project meetings with City					Detail	ed Stud	ly and Mode	ling We	erk Plan		en e	Surmin (er Sar	pling							
		Regular project meetings with City and/or TOEQ (4 mtgs)					- BOLD-O		ly and Mode	ling We	erk Plan			Aurim	er Sar		Continu	POLIS M	anitori	ng det	arepo	n.	

Detailed scope of services for Phase 1

A detailed breakdown of the subtasks under each task under Phase 1 of the project is listed below.

Task 1. Site Characterization and Detailed Study Work Plan Subtasks:

1.1 REVIEW EFFLUENT PERMIT REQUIREMENTS FOR OSO WATER RECLAMATION PLANT

- a. Review current TPDES permit requirements for Oso Plant.
- b. Review treatment performance and goals of Oso Plant for nutrients and DO.
- c. Review nutrient removal processes at Oso Plant from:
 - i. current methods of ammonia removal (e.g. breakpoint chlorination) and their limitations; and,
 - ii. alternative methods of ammonia removal and effectiveness.
- d. Investigate Oso Plant's permitting needs and future/potential effluent targets.

1.2 REVIEW DO/NUTRIENT IMPAIRMENTS IN OSO BAY

- a. Review historical and current TCEQ screening criteria in Oso Bay.
- b. Review historical and current TCEQ listings of impaired WQ segments in Oso Bay.
- c. Review previous nutrient modeling efforts by TCEQ and other parties.
- 1.3 IDENTIFY DATA SOURCES, DATA GAPS, ETC.



- a. Identify sources of data for data compilation, e.g.
 - i. CCBNEP:
 - ii. City of Corpus Christi;
- iii. Texas Coastal Ocean Observation Network:
- iv. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department;
- v. TCEQ Surface Water Monitoring Program;
- vi. United States Geological Survey; and,
- vii. other research reports and academic journal articles. Identify relevant study reports from state, local and academic sources, e.g. TCEQ TMDL studies, Corpus Christi Bay National Estuary Program (CCBNEP) reports, academic journal articles.

1.4 DATA COMPILATION

a. Perform preliminary data compilation to assist in development of study work plan.

1.5 SITE RECONNAISSANCE AND BATHYMETRIC SURVEY OF OSO BAY

 Perform bathymetry survey to locate underwater features such as sandbars that can impact water circulation.

1.6 CONCEPTUAL MODELING

- Select study area by taking into account impacted TCEQ WQ segments, location of sources and site characterization data.
- b. Identify sources of inflow, nutrients, BOD and DO for Oso Bay
 - i. point sources WWTPs, Barney Davis Power Plant discharge;
 - ii. non-point sources watershed runoff; and,
 - iii. in-bay sources (e.g. benthic demand and benthic nutrient sinks/sources).
- c. Identify natural processes within Oso Bay that affect DO and nutrients, e.g.
 - i. aquatic vegetation (e.g. algae, seagrass);
 - ii. benthic processes;
 - iii. water circulation patterns;
 - iv. tidal exchanges with Corpus Christi Bay; and,
 - v. salinity gradients or stratification in the Bay.

1.7 PREPARE A STUDY WORK PLAN

1.8 PRESENTATION TO TCEQ AND CITY ON STUDY WORK PLAN

- a. Presentation to TCEQ and City on study work plan + conceptual model.
- b. Prepare response to comments from TCEQ.

Task 2. Long-term monitoring and summer sampling program Subtasks:

2.1 PREPARE CONTINUOUS MONITORING PLAN AND SUMMER SAMPLING PLAN

- a. Review information gathered from site characterization and bathymetric survey
- Designate sites for deployment of CTD (conductivity, temperature and depth) sensors, velocity meters, and dissolved oxygen probes to evaluate the seasonal and diurnal behavior



- in water quality, tidal effects, oxygen production and respiration and circulation that are characteristic of the Bay and the Barney Davis Power Plant discharge.
- c. Conduct measurements of site-specific data such as sediment oxygen demand and benthic nutrient fluxes so that the impact of seagrasses on the nutrient cycle can be quantified.
- d. Anticipated length of monitoring is 12 months.
- e. Develop field study plan to track the effluent from the Oso Bay treatment plant through an intensive 48-hr survey to observe effluent behavior under critical conditions and to provide validation data for the DO-nutrient model.

2.2 FIELD STUDIES: LONG TERM MONITORING

- Acquire sampling equipment and construct monitoring platforms for deployment in Oso Bay.
- b. Provide regular servicing and maintenance to monitoring stations.

2.3 PUBLISH AND HOST SAMPLING DATA ON CCBAY HIS

 a. Create a web portal for downloading and visualizing data collected from this project for public access.

2.4 FIELD STUDIES: SUMMER SAMPLING

a. Projected to be conducted in Summer 2013.

Phase 1 Project Meetings (4)

The team anticipates four meetings with the City and/or TCEQ over the duration of this project.



Phase 2 scope and budget

Phase 2 has an anticipated start in Dec 2012 (upon approval of study work plan) and is expected to last 18 months till June 2014. The projected cost for Phase1 is \$379,300. It consists of three main tasks: Task 3, Task 4 and Task 5.

The three tasks and the projected costs are summarized below. For the detailed schedule, please refer to section 3. For detailed description of the tasks under each phase, please refer to section 4. The team will provide detailed descriptions of QAQC procedures and targets in its sampling and modeling plans to ensure the quality of the final products.

Task 3 summary

Projected period: Dec 2012 to May 2013.

This task consists of data compilation, trend analysis, model review and selection. Trend analysis of the data will help identify which processes in the Bay are dominant and what conditions are most critical to dissolved oxygen levels. A list of applicable existing models will be drafted. This list will be reviewed based on criteria such as ability to simulate dominant processes, acceptability by regulatory agencies, and ease-of transfer to other entities to use.

The deliverable will be a model selection report which will describe the selection process and the final recommended model(s). Two meetings with the TCEQ and the City will be conducted: one in the middle of Phase II to give a progress update and to obtain comments; and, one after the submission of the report to explain the model selection process and the results.

The projected cost for Task 3 is \$98,960 and the projected duration is 6 months.

Task 4 summary

Projected period: Jun2013 to April 2014.

This task consists of the literature review for model parameters, construction of the hydrodynamic and DO-nutrient models, model calibration and validation, and finally scenario runs. For the model construction, first the hydrodynamic model will be built and calibrated, followed by the DO-nutrient model. Data from the continuous and summer sampling (see sampling phase below) will be used to calibrate and validate the data. Critical DO conditions identified in Task 3 and potential discharge limits will be used to develop model scenarios for simulation. Results will be used to evaluate the impact of new and old effluent standards.

The deliverable will be a modeling report which will describe model inputs, parameters and results in detail. Documentation will also be provided to aid in the transfer of the model to other entities to use it.

The projected cost for Task 4 is \$218,640 and the projected duration is 10 months.

Task 5 summary

Projected period: April 2014 to June 2014.



This task consists of drafting the permit application and finalizing the permit action. The technology-based effluent limits developed by the Oso Plant nutrient removal team will be utilized together with water quality-based effluent limits derived from the DO-nutrient model to draft the permit.

The projected cost for Task 5 is \$33,080 and the projected duration is 2 months.

Phase 2 Project Meetings (6)

The team anticipates six (6) meetings with the City and/or TCEQ over the duration of Phase 2 of this project.

The projected cost for meeting and preparation is \$28,620.

Total Projected costs for Phase 2:

	Phase 2 Total:	\$	379,300
<u>(+)</u>	Meetings	\$	28,620
	Task 5. Finalize Permit action for Oso Plant	\$	33,080
	Task 4. Model development and permit scenario evaluation	\$	218,640
	Task 3. Data analysis and model selection	5	98,960

Detailed project schedule for Phase 2

A detailed project schedule table for Phase 2 is provided on the next page.



Detailed Schedule for Oso Bay Dissolved Oxygen Modeling Project (Phase 2)

Phase 2

	Task 3. Data analysis and model selection	
- 1	10010	Looss

			2012				_	2013													2014					
10 50		Task Description	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Ma	y J	ın Jı	aly	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mar	
	3.1	Data Compilation					200	777			Data i	Comp	Bation													
	3.2	Data and trend analysis										and the last		alysis												
	3.3	Define critical conditions								100	klentii	y cri	ical c	onditio	ns f	or mo	del sco	narios								
1	3.4	Create list of appropriate models							\$		klentii	y list	of appropriate model (s)													
	3.5	Prepare and submit model selection report											Prepare and submit model selection report													
	3.6	Presentations to TCEQ and City to explain modeling approach (2)											Presentations to TCEO and City to explain modelin								eling ap	proac	h.			
SK 4	4.1	el development and pern Literature review and data analysis for model parameters	III SC	enar	10 6	alua	ion.							Literature review and data analysis for model parameters Construct hydrodynamic model												
	4.2	Construct hydrodynamic model	_	_	_			_		_	_	-	-				Const	_								
-8	4.3	Calibrate hydrodynamic model	_	_							_		_	_	4					Calibrate hydrodynamic model						
	4.4	Construct DO-nutrient model	_		_						_		_	_		100	200	8040	Construct DO-nutrient model							
4	4.5	Calibrate DO-nutrient model	_	_	_								_	_	4				Calibrate DO-nutrient							
	4.6	Validate model with Summer Sampling data																	Validate mo					model		
	4.7	Evaluate potential discharge limitations																					Run s	cenarios		
	4.8	Prepare report of the results										П			\neg									Modeli	ng Re	
sk 5	5.1	lize Permit action for Osc Finalize the current permit action for the Oso plant	Plan	nt									L	T	Т											
eetii	ngs							179																	T	
м		Regular project meetings with City and/or TCEO (6 mtgs)																								
		DELVERABLES										4	Mo	delse	lecti	lonre	port	[lodelli		CO SUGA		its Rep	100		

3.6 Detailed scope of services for Phase 2

Phase 2: Task 3. Data analysis and model selection Subtasks:

3.1 COMPILE RELEVANT EXISTING DATA NECESSARY FOR THE MODEL

- a. Compile relevant study reports from sources identified in Phase I.
- b. Compile data from sources identified in Phase I into extensive WQ database.
- c. Employ data-models to organize, manage and disseminate data.

3.2 DATA AND TREND ANALYSIS

- a. Develop time-series, spatial and statistical plots of the data.
- b. Identify dominant processes in the Bay/watershed through trend analysis.

3.3 DEFINE CRITICAL CONDITIONS

a. Define critical conditions for DO and nutrients based on



- i. Temperature conditions;
- i. Wind conditions:
- ii. Tidal conditions;
- iii. High-flow and low-flow events;
- iv. Influent loads to treatment plant; and,
- v. Barney Davis Power Plant discharge.

3.4 CREATE LIST OF APPROPRIATE MODELS

- a. Identify potential models for hydrodynamic and water quality modeling, examples are listed below (from the most simplistic to most sophisticated):
 - i. CSTR models
 - ii. CORMIX mixing zone model,
 - iii. EFDC hydrodynamic model,
 - iv. SWAT watershed model,
 - v. WASP nutrient model, and
 - vi. ELCOM hydrodynamic model, etc.
 (Bay model may be a combination of models coupled through a linkage system)

3.5 PREPARE AND SUBMIT MODEL SELECTION REPORT

- a. Review model choices identified based on criteria such as
 - i. ability to simulate dominant processes in the Bay,
 - ii. data and parameter requirements,
 - iii. computational requirements,
 - iv. ease of training and transferability of model to client or other entities, and
 - v. public domain vs. copyrighted software.
- b. DO model may be a combination of two or more models, e.g. EFDC-WASP combination to simulate hydrodynamics-nutrient cycle.
- c. Prepare and submit model selection report

3.6 PRESENTATIONS TO TCEQ AND CITY TO EXPLAIN MODELING APPROACH (2)

a. Two meetings with the TCEQ and the City will be conducted: one in the middle of Phase II to give a progress update and to obtain comments; and, one after the submission of the report to explain the model selection process and the results.

Task 4. Model development and permit scenario evaluation Subtasks:

4.1 LITERATURE REVIEW AND DATA ANALYSIS FOR MODEL PARAMETERS

 conduct literature review and data analysis to derive the parameters necessary to characterize the physical and biological processes in the Bay.

4.2 CONSTRUCT HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL

Construct the model grid for the selected study area.



- b. Incorporate model parameters into model.
- c. Implement boundary and initial conditions.
- d. Perform test runs of the model.

4.3 CALIBRATE HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL

- a. Define model calibration period.
- b. Calibrate the model with data collected
- c. Provide plots to compare model results with data.
- d. Perform sensitivity analysis and plots to show sensitivity to selected parameters.

4.4 CONSTRUCT DISSOLVED OXYGEN-NUTRIENT MODEL

- a. Construct the model grid for the selected study area.
- Incorporate model parameters into model.
- c. Implement boundary and initial conditions.
- d. Couple flow information from hydrodynamic model outputs.
- e. Perform test runs of the model.

4.5 CALIBRATE DISSOLVED OXYGEN-NUTRIENT MODEL

- a. Define model calibration period.
- b. Calibrate the model with data collected
- c. Provide plots to compare model results with data.
- d. Perform sensitivity analysis and plots to show sensitivity to selected parameters.

4.6 EVALUATE POTENTIAL DISCHARGE LIMITATIONS

- a. Define simulation scenarios based on critical conditions identified in Task 8.
- b. Include scenarios based on various effluent concentrations.
- c. Evaluate DO and nutrients in the Bay under various scenarios.
- d. Evaluate potential discharge limitations under various scenarios.

4.7 VALIDATE MODEL WITH SUMMER SAMPLING DATA

4.8 PREPARE REPORT OF THE RESULTS

a. Prepare report documenting modeling process and results from scenario runs.

Task 5. Finalize permit action for Oso Plant

Subtasks:

5.1 FINALIZE THE CURRENT PERMIT ACTION FOR THE OSO PLANT

- a. Provide recommendations for permit action based on model results.
- b. Review permitting procedures and finalize permit action for Oso Plant.
- c. Provide recommendations for future studies/modeling efforts



Detailed cost breakdown for both Phase 1 and Phase 2

For detailed cost breakdown please refer to the attached pdf (Oso_Projected_Costs_20120501.pdf).

10 14,000 E 12,700 E 100,300 N 720 N 720 N 800 13 800 UNITED A entite time, simula simula saintibus (matu simu jamus simus antan sissu jamus simus carties & carts & corte a just carte a just cartes à just cartes à just cartes à just cartes à just poly person disputing parts at the section of contract of the section of contract of the section of contract of the section of 1 -1 240 I 1 100 20 0 3 anne | 2 -A Parent 100 8 -46 8,720 1,300 1 1300 1 1300 41 400 1,300 314 6 31,686 4.000 120 t 12 had 40 8 4.000 000'8 8 00 1,000 . . -THE PASSE THE RATE ---m 8 2 mm ent 1 1310 1 0 E 6.770 . 1 4 4300 9 10 1300 an 8 17 ann 1 And layed Cost News | Dees And Tests | Least Arts | Least Arts | And Arts | A ingel Cot | Nove | Cots | June | Apr | Mary | June | July | and department owners to said house Countrie Study and Stateling View Par-Frence Test Lead Description 1

11 One Control of Contr American income to the complete of the complet Publisher Larg Fors Medaring Publish and has sampling date or Chapter 2) Long-tern menhorby and sum Tesh 1, 5th Characterization and Detail 1.1 Control by Conjugate studies of Conjugate studies Phase 2 Test 3. Date analysis and model 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 = :: = : :

Oto Bay DO Modeling Project Costs (430/2012) Date 1

> EXHIBIT "A" Page 14 of 14

EXHIBIT "B" INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Insurance Requirements

- A. Consultant must not commence work under this agreement until all insurance required herein has been obtained and such insurance has been approved by the City. The Consultant must not allow any subcontractor to commence work until all similar insurance required of the subcontractor has been obtained.
- B. Consultant must furnish to the City's Risk Manager, two (2) copies of Certificates of Insurance with applicable policy endorsements, showing the following minimum coverages by insurance company(s) acceptable to the City's Risk Manager. The City must be named as an additional insured for all liability policies (except Workers Compensation/EL and Professional Liability), and a blanket waiver of subrogation is required on all applicable policies (except Professional Liability).

TYPE OF INSURANCE	MINIMUM INSURANCE COVERAGE
30-Day Written Notice of Cancellation, or reduction in coverage or limits required on all certificates or by policy endorsements	Bodily Injury & Property Damage Per occurrence - aggregate
COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY including: 1. Broad Form 2. Premises - Operations 3. Products/ Completed Operations 4. Contractual Liability 5. Independent Contractors 6. Personal Injury / Advertising Injury	\$1,000,000 COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT Per Occurrence and in the aggregate
AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY to include: 1. Owned vehicles 2. Hired – Non-owned vehicles UMBRELLA – EXCESS LIABITY	\$1,000,000 COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT \$1,000,000 COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT
Must follow form PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY including: Coverage provided shall cover all employees, officers, directors and agents 1. Errors and Omissions	\$2,000,000 per claim / \$2,000,000 aggregate (Defense costs not included in face value of the policy) If claims made policy, retro date must be prior to inception of agreement; have extended reporting period provisions and identify any limitations regarding who is an Insured
WORKERS' COMPENSATION	Applicable to paid employees while on City property Which Complies with the Texas Workers Compensation Act
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY	\$500,000/\$500,000/\$500,000

EXHIBIT "B" Page 1 of 3

- C. In the event of accidents of any kind related to this agreement, Consultant must furnish the Risk Manager with copies of all reports within (10) ten days of accident.
- D. Consultant must obtain workers' compensation coverage through a licensed insurance company in accordance with Texas law. The contract for coverage must be written on a policy and endorsements approved by the Texas Department of Insurance. The coverage provided must be in amounts sufficient to assure that all workers' compensation obligations incurred will be promptly met.
- E. Consultant's financial integrity is of interest to the City; therefore, subject to

 Successful Consultant's right to maintain reasonable deductibles in such amounts as are approved by the City, Consultant shall obtain and maintain in full force and effect for the duration of this Contract, and any extension hereof, at Consultant's sole expense, insurance coverage written on an occurrence basis (except for Professional Liability), by companies authorized and admitted to do business in the State of Texas and with an A.M. Best's rating of no less than A-VII.
- F. City shall be entitled, upon request and without expense, to receive Certificates of Insurance and all endorsements thereto as they apply to the limits required by the City. All notices under this Article shall be given to City at the following address:

City of Corpus Christi Attn: Risk Management P.O. Box 9277 Corpus Christi, TX 78469-9277 Fax: (361) 826-4555

- G. Consultant agrees that with respect to the above required insurance, all insurance policies are to contain or be endorsed to contain the following required provisions:
 - i. Name the City and its officers, officials, employees, volunteers, and elected representatives as additional insured by endorsement, as respects operations and activities of, or on behalf of, the named insured performed under contract with the City, with the exception of the workers' compensation and professional liability policies;
 - ii. Provide for an endorsement that the "other insurance" clause shall not apply to the City of Corpus Christi where the City is an additional insured shown on the policy;
 - iii. Workers' compensation and employers' liability policies will provide a waiver of subrogation in favor of the City; and
 - iv. Provide thirty (30) calendar days advance written notice directly to City on CGL and Auto policies of any suspension, cancellation, non-renewal or reduction in coverage or limits in coverage, and not less than ten (10) calendar days advance written notice for nonpayment of premium. Consultant shall provide this notice to City on Workers Compensation and Professional Liability policies.
- H. Within five (5) calendar days of a suspension, cancellation, or non-renewal of coverage, Successful Consultant shall provide a replacement Certificate of Insurance and applicable endorsements to City. City shall have the option to suspend Consultant's performance should there be a lapse in coverage at any time during this contract. Failure to provide and to maintain the required insurance shall constitute a material breach of this agreement.

EXHIBIT "B" Page 2 of 3

- In addition to any other remedies the City may have upon Consultant's failure to provide and maintain any insurance or policy endorsements to the extent and within the time herein required, the City shall have the right to order Consultant to stop work hereunder, and/or withhold any payment(s) which become due to Consultant hereunder until Consultant demonstrates compliance with the requirements hereof.
- J. Nothing herein contained shall be construed as limiting in any way the extent to which Successful Consultant may be held responsible for payments of damages to persons or property resulting from Consultant's or its subcontractors' performance of the work covered under this agreement.
- K. It is agreed that Consultant's insurance shall be deemed primary and non-contributory with respect to any insurance or self insurance carried by the City of Corpus Christi for liability arising out of operations under this agreement.
- L. It is understood and agreed that the insurance required is in addition to and separate from any other obligation contained in this agreement.

INDEMNIFICATION AND HOLD HARMLESS

Consultant shall indemnify, save harmless and defend the City of Corpus Christi, and its agents, servants, and employees, and each of them against and hold it and them harmless from any and all lawsuits, claims, demands, liabilities, losses and expenses, including court costs and attorneys' fees, for or on account of any injury to any person, or any death at any time resulting from such injury, or any damage to any property, which may arise or which may be alleged to have arisen out of or in connection with the negligent performance of Consultant's services covered by this contract. The foregoing indemnity shall apply except if such injury, death or damage is caused by the sole or concurrent negligence of the City of Corpus Christi, its agents, servants, or employees or any other person indemnified hereunder.



CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST

City of Corpus Christi Ordinance 17112, as amended, requires all persons or firms seeking to do business with the City to provide the following information. Every question must be answered. If the question is not applicable, answer with "NA". See reverse side for Filing Requirements, Certifications and definitions.

COMPANY	NAME:	Espey (Consultants,	Inc. d	ba RPS	Espey				
P.O.BOX:										
STREET AD	DRESS:	4801 Sout	hwest Pkwy, Pk	wy 2, Sui	te 150	CITY	Y:	Austin, TX	ZIP:	78735
FIRM IS:	1. Co 4. As	rporation sociation	<u>x</u>	2. 5.	Partners Other	ship		3. Sole O	wner	
Name	space is nenames of constituting	cessary, p each "em 3% or mo		RE Q revers the Ci	se side of ty of Co p in the a Job Tit	this pa orpus (above notes and (City	or attach separate sho sti having an "own ed "firm." Department (if know	vn)	
2. State the n constitutin Name	ames of eag 3% or m	ch "offici ore of the	ial" of the Connership i		Corpus C bove nar Title	hristi h med "fi	navii rm.'	ng an "ownership int	erest"	
3. State the minterest" co	None C	ach "boar 3% or mo	d member" ore of the ow	of the vershi	p in the a Board,	Comm	issi	on or Committee		
4. State the r	ed on any onstituting	ach emplo matter i 3% or mo	ovee or offic	e subj /nershi	a "consu ect of the p in the a	Itant" i nis con above r	for to	the City of Corpus Cet and has an "owned "firm."	Christi ership	

FILING REQUIREMENTS

If a person who requests official action on a matter knows that the requested action will confer an economic benefit on any City official or employee that is distinguishable from the effect that the action will have on members of the public in general or a substantial segment thereof, you shall disclose that fact in a signed writing to the City official, employee or body that has been requested to act in the matter, unless the interest of the City official or employee in the matter is apparent. The disclosure shall also be made in a signed writing filed with the City Secretary. [Ethics Ordinance Section 2-349 (d)]

CERTIFICATION

I certify that all information provided is true and correct as of the date of this statement, that I have not knowingly withheld disclosure of any information requested; and that supplemental statements will be promptly submitted to the City of Corpus Christi, Texas as changes occur.

Certifying Person: David K. Harkins, Ph.D., P.E., D.WRE. Title: Vice President

(Type or Print)

Signature of Certifying Person: Date: 6/21/12

DEFINITIONS

- a. "Board member." A member of any board, commission, or committee appointed by the City Council of the City of Corpus Christi, Texas.
- b. "Economic benefit". An action that is likely to affect an economic interest if it is likely to have an effect on that interest that is distinguishable from its effect on members of the public in general or a substantial segment thereof.
- c. "Employee." Any person employed by the City of Corpus Christi, Texas either on a full or part-time basis, but not as an independent contractor.
- d. "Firm." Any entity operated for economic gain, whether professional, industrial or commercial, and whether established to produce or deal with a product or service, including but not limited to, entities operated in the form of sole proprietorship, as self-employed person, partnership, corporation, joint stock company, joint venture, receivership or trust, and entities which for purposes of taxation are treated as non-profit organizations.
- e. "Official." The Mayor, members of the City Council, City Manager, Deputy City Manager, Assistant City Managers, Department and Division Heads, and Municipal Court Judges of the City of Corpus Christi, Texas.
- f. "Ownership Interest." Legal or equitable interest, whether actually or constructively held, in a firm, including when such interest is held through an agent, trust, estate, or holding entity. "Constructively held" refers to holdings or control established through voting trusts, proxies, or special terms of venture or partnership agreements."
- g. "Consultant." Any person or firm, such as engineers and architects, hired by the City of Corpus Christi for the purpose of professional consultation and recommendation.

COMPLETE PROJECT NAME

Project No. XXXX Invoice No. 12345 Invoice Date:

Basic Services:	Contract	Amd No. 1	Amd No. 2	Total Contract	Amount Invoiced	Previous Invoice	Total Invoice	Percent Complete
Preliminary Phase	\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	100%
Design Phase	2,000	1,000	0	3,000	1,000	500	1,500	50%
Bid Phase	500	0	250	750	0	0	0	0%
Construction Phase	2,500	0	1,000	3,500	0	0	0	0%
Subtotal Basic Services	\$6,000	\$1,000	\$1,250	\$8,250	\$750	\$1,500	\$2,500	30%
Additional Services:								
Permitting	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$500	\$0	\$500	25%
Warranty Phase	0	1,120	0	1,120	0	0	0	0%
Inspection	0	0	1,627	1,627	0	0	0	0%
Platting Survey	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	0%
O & M Manuals	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	0%
SCADA	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	0%
Subtotal Additional Services	\$2,000	\$1,120	\$1,627	\$4,747	\$500	\$0	\$500	11%
Summary of Fees								
Basic Services Fees	\$6,000	\$1,000	\$1,250	\$8,250	\$750	\$1,500	\$2,500	30%
Additional Services Fees	2,000	1,120	1,627	4,747	500	0	500	11%
Total of Fees	\$8,000	\$2,120	\$2,877	\$12,997	\$1,250	\$1,500	\$3,000	23%