## Results: Solid Waste Competitive Assessment



# Goals of Competitive Assessment Process

- Provide services competitive in quality & price ("best value")
- Adopt best practices
- Stress <u>continuous improvement</u>
- Focus on better, cheaper, faster & friendlier approach
- Build successful employee-owners
- Outsource, if necessary, to provide competitive services to customers
- Communicate with public & staff

# **Our Approach**

- 1. Pick 1 or 2 departments/services, annually
- 2. Do Competitive Assessments
  - Identify gaps
  - Benchmark against private sector or cities
  - Director provides response to findings & develops Action Plan to close gap
  - Builds Action Plan into Annual Business Plan
- 3. Department has approximately a year to implement Action Plan
  - Reassessed for accountability
  - Business Plan execution is reviewed

# **Competitive Assessments Completed for MIS & Fleet**

- Resulted in numerous benchmarks & recommendations:
  - MIS: \$700k savings & 448% ROI
  - Fleet: \$2.3 m savings over 5 years
- Integrated Action Plans in Annual Business Plans
- Established service levels & benchmarks thru City Performance Report

# **Current Progress: Solid Waste**

- Competitive Assessment Contract Awarded June 19, 2012 to SAIC
- Cost of Service Study initiated January, 2013

## Introductions

### • SAIC:

- Scott Pasternak, Asst. Vice President
- Lawrence Mikolajczyk, Director of Solid Waste Services
- Oscar Martinez, Assistant City Manager



#### **CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI, TX**

#### **Solid Waste Competitive Assessment**

Scott Pasternak May 28, 2013



NATIONAL SECURITY • ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT • HEALTH • CYBERSECURITY

© SAIC. All rights reserved.

### **Project Purpose and Presentation Overview**

#### **Project Approach**

#### **Operational Review**

- Reviewed key issues for primary operational areas (collection, landfill, transfer station)
- Identified key changes to decrease cost and increase revenues

#### **Privatization Analysis**

- Recommendations regarding privatization vs. municipalization
- **Financial Review Results in August/September Timeframe**
- Solid waste cost of service
- Full cost accounting financial analysis to provide apples to apples comparison to other solid waste operations



### SAIC's Time-Tested Approach Provides Objective Review



SAIC.

SAIC.com

### **Operational Review and Privatization Analysis**

### **Key Operational Areas Reviewed**

Solid Waste Operations	Key Opera	ational Areas
Residential Refuse, Recycling and Bulk Collection	<ul> <li>Collection efficiency</li> <li>Routing process</li> <li>On-route collection practices</li> <li>Non-collection time</li> <li>Collection configuration</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Vehicle inspection and maintenance</li> <li>Vehicle replacement</li> <li>Public education</li> <li>Utilization of operational reports</li> <li>Safety</li> </ul>
JC Elliott Transfer Station	<ul> <li>Facility configuration and design</li> <li>Facility condition, material accepted, storage, load-out areas</li> <li>Operating procedures and practices</li> <li>Hauling activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Turnaround time</li> <li>Current staffing requirements</li> <li>Scope of various customer classes</li> <li>Opportunities and benefits of additional tonnage</li> </ul>
Cefe Valenzuela Landfill	<ul> <li>Current contractual agreement for landfill operations</li> <li>Scalehouse operations</li> <li>Processing of vehicles</li> <li>Management of vehicle traffic on landfill face</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Compaction patterns and slope</li> <li>Daily cover practices</li> <li>Litter control and grounds keepings</li> <li>Adequacy of equipment</li> <li>Staffing levels</li> <li>Review of rules and regulations</li> </ul>



### **Summary of Operational and Privatization Findings**

Solid Waste Operations	Should the City Privatize?	Comments/ Recommendations
Refuse Collection	No	Operation is competitive. Can achieve increased efficiency through increased access to Transfer Station.
Recycling Collection	No	Operation is competitive. Can decrease cost by reducing routes, increasing recyclable volumes and re-negotiating revenue calculation in private processing contract.
Brush and Bulk Collection	No	Operation is competitive. Can decrease cost by making operational changes, such as increasing brush truck size.
Transfer Station Operation	May be considered in future/No	Operation's current operating cost is high, however; SAIC has made operational recommendations to increase daily throughput and increase operation efficiency. City should reevaluate privatization after implementing operational changes.
Long-haul Trucking	May be considered in future	Current operating cost is high; however, SAIC has made operational recommendations to increase operational efficiency. City should reevaluate privatization after implementing operational changes.
Brush Grinding	May be considered	Current operation is highly labor intensive. The operation may evolve into a less elaborate operation if privatized, but would likely be more cost effective.
Landfill Operation	Currently privatized	Republic is compliant with contract terms. Contract with Republic to operate landfill is being effectively monitored and managed by City staff.

#### Privatization Benchmarking: Corpus Christi's Approach Consistent with Other Large Cities in Texas

	0011	Resi	dential Collec	ction	Bergeller	Lar	dfill	Transfer Station							
City	2011 Population	Refuse Collection	Recycling Collection	Brush & Bulk Collection	Processing	Ownership	Operations	Ownership	Operations						
Houston	2,145,146	Μ	Μ	М	Р	Р	Р	Μ	Р						
San Antonio	1,359,758	М	М	М	Р	Р	Р	М	Р						
Dallas	1,223,229	М	Μ	М	Р	Μ	Μ	М	М						
Austin	820,611	М	М	М	Р	Р	Р	N/A	N/A						
Fort Worth	758,738	Р	Р	Р	Р	Μ	Р	N/A	N/A						
El Paso	665,568	М	М	М	Р	М	М	N/A	N/A						
Arlington	373,698	Р	Р	Р	Р	Μ	Р	N/A	N/A						
Corpus Christi	307,953	М	М	Μ	Р	М	Р	М	Μ						
Plano <sup>1</sup>	269,776	М	М	М	Р	Μ	Μ	М	Μ						
Laredo	241,935	М	М	М	Р	М	М	N/A	N/A						

1. Plano is part of the North Texas Municipal Water District which is jointly owned by its member cities. The NTMWD owns and operates three transfer stations and one landfill.

P - Privatized M - Municipalized N/A - Not applicable

### Financial Summary of Potential Suggested Operational Changes

#### **Operational changes can take up to 5 years to realize full projected savings**

Onevetional Change	Annual	Ducy acod Timing	
	Low	High	Proposed Timing
Refuse Collection			
Reduce routes	\$155,261	\$155,261	6 months - 1 year
Transfer Station			
Increase tonnage	Increased Efficiency – I	No Annual Cost Savings	Immediate - 1 year
Recycling Collection			
Decrease recycling routes	\$171,485	\$564,773	6 months – 1 year
Terminate RecycleBank program and invest in City public education	\$266,941	\$435,891	Immediate – 3 years
Change recycling revenue sharing calculation	\$293,832	\$1,161,812	3 – 5 years
Brush and Bulky Collection			
Change equipment configuration and routing	\$405,968	\$733,034	1 – 5 years
Total Annual Cost Savings	\$1,293,487	\$3,050,771	Immediate – 5 years



### **Implementation Plan**

- Implementation Plan developed by SAIC and City staff to achieve operational efficiencies and realize cost savings
- Implementation timing can range from immediately to five years
- City staff have implemented some of SAIC's operational recommendations, such as:
  - Redirecting self-haul customers at the J.C. Elliot Transfer Station
  - Installing bay skirts at the J.C. Elliot
     Transfer Station
  - Utilizing transfer trailers exclusively for the transfer station operation
  - Filled vacant collection driver and supervisor positions





#### **Competitive Assessment Return on Investment**

Cost Savings Identified in Competitive Assessment	\$1,293,487 - \$3,050,771
Cost of Competitive Assessment and Cost of Service Study	\$116,900
Projected Savings	\$1,176,587 - \$2,933,871
Return on Investment	1,010% - 2,510%



## Solid Waste Operations: Director's Response



Director concurs with findings made by SAIC & has developed an *Action Plan* to implement recommendations

## Solid Waste Competitive Assessment

# FY 13-14 Implementation Plan

Fleet Replacement Program Route Optimization Refit Brush & Bulky Equipment Recycling Education/RecycleBank Recycling Revenue Share



City of Corpus Christi Solid Waste Operations

### Fleet Replacement Schedule



19

Vehicle/			FY 13-14 Lease
Equipment	Average Age	Purchase	Expense
• •		Price	(6 Months)
14 Pickups,			
1 Van, 1 Riding			
Mower	13.81	\$367,697	\$39,398
3 Dump Trucks	15.67	\$316,507	\$33,913
15 Brush Trucks	12.07	\$2,159,000	\$231,330
6 Garbage Trucks	11	\$1,708,236	\$183,032
Totals		\$4,551,440	\$487.673

### Fleet Replacement Program



- FY 13-14 40 units \$4,551,440 • FY 14-15 13 units
- FY 15-16
- FY 16-17
- FY 17-18

- 3,382,498
- 3,806,423
- 1,999,762
- 3,329,381

- 18 units
- 12 units
- 20 units
- Five Year Total 103 units \$17,069,504

## **Route Optimization FY 13-14**



- Estimated System Hardware & Software Costs: \$262,000
- Redesign Routes for most efficient collection
   & maximum right hand turns
- Estimated Savings 1 Refuse & 3 Recycle Routes: \$234,011 for 6 months

# **Brush & Bulky Equipment**



- Replace 26 cubic yard trucks with new 40 cubic yard brush trucks
  - Will reduce the number of hauls filled & increase effectiveness

- Estimated Savings: FY 13-14 \$144,975
  - FY 14-15 \$362,438
  - FY 15-16 \$471,169

## RecycleBank/ Recycling Education



- City currently spends \$0.68 per household per month or \$8.16 per household annually on RecycleBank
- RecycleBank participation has been lower than projected
- Recommend "*city managed*" Recycling Incentive Program for annual savings of \$532,000

## **Recycling Revenue Share**



- Current revenue share calculation is atypical compared to other municipal recycling revenue formulas
- Most municipal recycling contracts subtract recycling processing fees from the total revenue generated from the sale of recyclables
- The City of Corpus Christi's processor assesses the 60% revenue share on the total value of recyclables. Then, the City pays for processing fees from that 60 percent of revenue

						A		C	1		(		ľ	7		P		la	3	ľ	7																					
City of Corp	ous Christi Solid Waste							Plan			Actual		%Coi	mplete																												
Services Co	ost of Service Schedule							Actual (b	eyond p	plan)			% Coi	mplete (b	beyond p	olan)																										
			Imp	plement	ation Plan		PERIO	DS (March	begins w	vith 1, Ap	ril 2, etc.	)										Perio	d Highlię	ht:	60	•																
GENERAL	SPECIEIC ACTIONS	Plan Start	Plan Duration	Actual Start	Actual Duration	Percent Complete	1	<b>,</b> ,			<b>,</b> ,	0 1/	0 11	12 12	14 15	16 1	7 10	10 20	21 22		1 25 -	16 17	20 20	20 21	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2 24 3	5 26	27 20	20 /0	. 41 .	12 42	44 4	E 16	A7 A	0 10	EA E1					E9 E0	60
1)Reduce Refuse	a) complete pilot routing program, evaluate	1	4	1	5	0%		2 3			1 0	5 10		12 13	14 15	10 1	./ 10	15 20			. 25 1	20 21	20 25	30 31	32 3	5 54 3	0 30	3/ 30	33 40		12 43		-5 40	-//-	10 47	0 31	. 32 3	,5 ,54	33 30	, ,,	36 33	00
Routes (estimated	b) pilot results for bid, council process, award, implement	6	4	6	5	0%																								Ħ										$\square$		
annual savings \$151 261 00)	c) implement routing software (\$375,000.00)	10	2	10	3	0%																																				
9191,201.00J	d) re-route city with new software program	12	2	12	3	0%																																				
	e) implement results of re-route	14	2	14	3	0%																																				
2) Reduce Fleet Maintenance Cost	a) develop replacement schedule based on consultant recommendations	1	1	1	2	100%																																		Π		
(estimated 5 year savings \$1,330,000.00)	b) submit replacement schedule as budget supplemental priority #1	1	1	1	2	100%																																				
	b)-(a) pending approval of replacement schedule by executive budget committee	1	4	1	4	0%																																				
	<li>c) work with maintenance services and Purchasing have package ready for first August Council meeting for approval</li>	1	1	1	5	50%																																				
	d) let equipment bid, evaluate, make selection, back to City Council for approval, then issue PO# allowing for purchase	6	2	6	3	0%																																				
	e) PO# issues equipment ordered, 120 day build time	8	5	8	6	0%																																				
3) Increase City collection vehicles'	a) develop and implement plan, establish base number of load daily to achieve maximum volumes	1	1	1	3	100%																																				
access to Transfer	b) monitor implemented plan	1	1	1	5	75%																																				
Station	<li>c) introduce new equipment, train employees on operations, measure efficiencies anticipated from new equipment</li>	1	1	1	3	75%																																				
	d) implemented SAIC transfer station efficiencies, re-direct hand unload self-haulers customers, evaluate an "express" lane for "priority" customers, develop safety procedures for customers admitted to tipping floor, reposition contaminant side curtans for transfer trailers, establish performance targets for Transfer Station, conduct material diversion study,	1	3	1	3	90%																																				
4) Refuse and Recycling Collection	a) Eliminate task based system	1	4	1	6	75%																																	25	5		
	b) decrease contmination rate thru focus on enforcement and education	1	4	1	11	25%																																				

						A		C	t	Ī	C		ĥ						8		n																					•		)
City of Corp	us Christi Solid Waste							Plan		Act	tual	ç	% Comp	olete																														
Services Co	st of Service Schedule							Actual (bey	ond pla	n)		9	% Comp	olete (be	yond pla	an)																												
			lm	ıplement	ation Plan		PE	RIODS (March bej	jins with	n 1, April	2, etc.)												Period	Highlig	ht:	60		•																
GENERAL RECOMMENDATION	SPECIFIC ACTIONS	Plan Start	Plan Duration	Actual Start	Actual Duration	Percent Complete	1	234	5	67	8	9 10	11 12	13	14 15	16 1	17 18	19 2	0 21	22 23	24	25 26	27 28	29	30 31	32	33 34	35 3	637	38 3	9 40	41 4	2 43	44 49	46	47 48	8 49	50 51	52 5	3 54	55 56	57	58 59	9 60
5) Reduce Recycling routes (estimated	a) complete software pilot routing program, evaluate	1	1	1	5	0%																																						
annual savings	b)implement routing software	6	4	6	5	0%																															Π					Π		
\$368,129.00)	c) re-route recycling with new software program	10	2	10	3	0%																																				Π		
	d) implement results of re-route	12	2	12	3	0%																																				Π		
6) Terminate Recycle Bank contract	a) Discuss with City's Legal Dept. about the feasibility of breaking/terminating the current contract	1	1	1	5	0%																																						
(estimated annual savings \$351,416.00)	b) If we are able to terminate make budget adjustments, as well as adjustments on utility bills will need to be effective for first billing cycle for August 2013	1	1	1	5	0%																																						
	c) Contact Recycle Bank with results	1	1	1	5	0%																																						
7) Change recycling revenue sharing calculation (estimated	a) meet with legal, discuss strategies, develop path forward	1	1	1	5	0%																																						
savings \$727,822.00)	b) set up meetings with Republic Waste start discussion on calculation sharing changes	1	2	3	8	0%																																						
	c) based on outcome make budget adjustments	1	3	8	8	0%																																						
8) Brush and Bulky collection equipment	a) research vehicle configuration, make contact with vendors, this process is included in #2	1	1	1	5	100%																																						
configuration (estimated 5 year	b) identify implantation transition before new equipment arrives	1	1	1	5	0%					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,																															26		
2010,024.0U)	c) implement trans plan, once new equipment arrives	1	3	8	5	0%																																						



