## RFQ No. 3977 Professional Engineering Services for Capital Improvement Projects FY2022

|  |  | Staff Recommendation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposal Evaluation | Score | Urban Engineering | UA Engineering | Ardurra | J Schwarz | CP\&Y | Lockwood Andrews and Newnam | Hanson Professional Services | KC1 Technologies | Binkley and Barfield | Mendez Engineering |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Licensing / Certification |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| References Provided for Firm |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Minimum Qualifications | Pass/Fail | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Demonstrated capability \& capacity on comparable projects | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Past Pefformance | 7.0 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity | 7.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Availability of resources to accomplish the work | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services | 19.0 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 12.7 | 9.5 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 6.3 |
| Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency | 19.0 | 17.4 | 17.4 | 15.8 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 11.1 |
| Subtototal Technical Proposal | 80.0 | 68.7 | 62.8 | 61.6 | 56.1 | 51.2 | 50.8 | 50.6 | 45.6 | 38.4 | 36.6 |
| Demonstrated Experience providing these Services | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Team members with experience and qualifications | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Demonstrated understanding of scope of services | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Knowledge of Similar Services | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Capability to perform work | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal Interview | 20.0 | 18.3 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 87.0 | 80.4 | 61.6 | 56.1 | 51.2 | 50.8 | 50.6 | 45.6 | 38.4 | 36.6 |

