General Engineering Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Freese and Nichols	Hanson Professional Services	Halff Associates	Bath Engineering	HDR Engineering	Munoz Engineering
		Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		A	~	~	>	>	A
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		A	~	~	>	>	A
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		A	~	~	>	>	A
References Provided for Firm	///////////////////////////////////////	A	~	~	>	>	A
Minimum Qualifications		Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Technical Proposal							
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	11	10	10	9	9	9	7
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	11	10	10	9	8	8	8
Past Performance	11	9	9	9	7	7	8
Team members with experience and qualifications	11	10	10	9	10	8	7
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	11	10	9	9	9	8	7
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	11	9	8	9	7	8	8
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	17	16	14	13	14	14	11
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	17	16	16	14	14	14	14
TotalScore	100	93	87	80	78	76	69

## RFQ No. 4413 Professional Engineering and Testing Services

General Engineering Services

Proposal Evaluation	Score	J. Schwarz & Associates	Govind Developmen	International Consulting Engineers	Jose I Guerra	Plummer Associates	ECS Southwest
		Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	San Antonio
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		2	A	<b>~</b>	>	A	A
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		2	A	<b>~</b>	>	A	A
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years	<i></i>	2	A	<b>~</b>	>	A	A
References Provided for Firm		2	A	<b>~</b>	>	A	A
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Technical Proposal							
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	11	7	7	7	7	5	2
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	11	8	7	8	7	4	2
Past Performance	11	8	7	5	6	6	2
Team members with experience and qualifications	11	8	7	7	7	5	3
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	11	7	8	8	7	5	2
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	11	7	8	7	7	6	3
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	17	12	11	10	10	9	4
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	17	12	12	13	11	9	5
TotalScore	100	69	66	64	61	49	24

**Testing Services** 

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Professional Service Industries	Terracon	Tolunay Wong	Rock Engineering
		Firm 1	Firm 2	Firm 3	Firm 4
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
References Provided for Firm		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Criteria 5		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Technical Proposal	100	96	86	87	83
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	11	10	10	9	9
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	11	11	10	10	10
Past Performance	11	11	9	10	9
Team members with experience and qualifications	11	11	9	10	9
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	11	10	9	9	9
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	11	10	10	9	9
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	17	16	15	14	14
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	17	16	14	14	14
Total	100	96	86	87	83

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Raba Kistner	MEG Engineers	ECS Southwest	Braun Intertec
		Firm 5	Firm 6	Firm 7	Firm 8
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		$\checkmark$	~	✓	✓
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$	✓
References Provided for Firm		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Criteria 5		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Technical Proposal	100	80	68	65	62
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	11	9	8	7	7
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	11	9	7	7	7
Past Performance	11	9	6	7	6
Team members with experience and qualifications	11	9	9	8	7
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	11	7	7	7	7
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	11	8	7	8	8
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	17	14	13	11	10
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	17	14	12	12	10
Total	100	80	68	65	62

Solid Waste

Proposal Evaluation	Score	SCS Engineers	Terracon	Hanson Professional Services	Tolunay Wong
		Firm 1	Firm 2	Firm 3	Firm 4
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	✓
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$		<b>V</b>	×
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<b>V</b>	✓
References Provided for Firm		$\checkmark$		<b>V</b>	×
Technical Proposal	100	99	96	96	47
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	10	10	10	10	5
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	10	10	10	10	5
Past Performance	10	10	9	9	7
Team members with experience and qualifications	10	10	10	10	5
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	10	10	10	10	5
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	10	9	9	9	6
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	20	20	19	20	6
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	20	20	20	18	10
Total	100	99	96	96	47
	200			30	

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Braun Intertec	Govind Development	ECS Southwest
		Firm 5	Firm 6	Firm 7
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		✓	✓	<
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		<b>v</b>	✓	×
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		<b>V</b>	✓	×
References Provided for Firm		<b>V</b>	✓	×
Criteria 5		<b>v</b>	✓	×
Technical Proposal	100	40	29	28
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	10	4	3	2
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	10	3	3	3
Past Performance	10	4	4	3
Team members with experience and qualifications	10	6	4	4
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	10	5	4	3
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	10	6	4	4
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	20	8	3	4
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	20	6	6	7
Total	100	40	29	28

**Evaluation Score Summary-Streets** 

Proposal Evaluation	Total
Freese and Nichols	96.8
Hanson Professional Services	87.3
HDR Engineering	87.0
LJA Engineering	86.1
Halff Associates	83.0
CivilCorp	69.5
Pape Dawson	68.6
Munoz Engineering	67.4
Ardurra Group	66.8
J. Schwarz & Associates	65.1
AG3 Group	63.2
Binkley & Barfield	59.7
Lockwood Andrews and Newnam	59.2
International Consulting Engineers	47.1
Govind Development	42.1
Trimad Consultants	26.0
Tolunay Wong	12.0
ECS Southwest	11.0

Streets Bond 2022 and CIP FY2023

Proposal Evaluation	Score	AG3 Group	Ardurra Group	Binkley & Barfield	CivilCorp	ECS Southwest	Freese and Nichols
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		~	✓	<	×	✓	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		>	✓	×	<b>~</b>	✓	✓
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		~	✓	×	×	✓	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>
References Provided for Firm		<b>V</b>	✓	×	×	✓	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>
Technical Proposal	70.0	43.0	47.0	43.0	49.0	11.0	68.0
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7.0	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.2	1.1	6.7
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	1.1	6.7
Past Performance	7.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.9	1.1	7.0
Team members with experience and qualifications	7.0	4.6	5.3	4.6	5.3	1.8	7.0
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7.0	4.2	4.9	4.2	5.3	1.1	7.0
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7.0	2.8	4.9	4.6	5.3	1.1	6.7
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14.0	9.1	9.1	8.4	9.8	2.1	13.3
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14.0	9.8	10.5	9.1	9.8	2.1	13.3
Interview	30.0	20.2	19.8	16.7	20.5	0.0	28.8
Firm Experience on Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	2.6	2.6	2.0	2.4	0.0	3.8
Firms Capability & Capacity on Comparable Projects	4.0	2.8	2.6	2.0	2.8	0.0	3.8
Firm Past Performance	2.0	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.0	1.9
Team Member Experience & Qualifications	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.0	3.0	0.0	4.0
Team Experience on work of similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.2	3.0	0.0	3.8
Team Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2.0	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	0.0	2.0
Demonstrated Understanding of Scope of Service	5.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	4.8
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.5	0.0	4.8
Total	100.0	63.2	66.8	59.7	69.5	11.0	96.8

Streets Bond 2022 and CIP FY2023

Proposal Evaluation	Score	Govind Development	Halff Associates	Hanson Professional Services	HDR Engineering	International Consulting Engineers	J. Schwarz & Associates
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	~	✓	<
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	>	✓	$\checkmark$
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		<	<	×	~	✓	<
References Provided for Firm		<	$\checkmark$	✓	<b>&gt;</b>	✓	$\checkmark$
Technical Proposal	70.0	31.0	58.0	61.0	61.0	33.0	43.0
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7.0	3.2	5.6	6.7	6.7	3.5	4.2
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7.0	3.2	5.6	5.6	6.3	3.2	3.9
Past Performance	7.0	2.8	5.3	6.3	4.9	2.8	4.2
Team members with experience and qualifications	7.0	3.2	6.0	6.0	6.0	3.2	4.2
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7.0	3.2	6.0	5.6	6.3	3.5	4.2
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7.0	3.2	5.6	5.6	6.0	3.2	4.6
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14.0	6.3	12.6	12.6	12.6	7.0	9.1
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14.0	6.3	11.9	12.6	12.6	7.0	9.1
Interview	30.0	11.1	25.0	26.3	26.0	14.1	22.1
Firm Experience on Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	1.8	3.2	3.6	3.6	1.6	3.0
Firms Capability & Capacity on Comparable Projects	4.0	1.4	3.4	3.4	3.6	1.6	2.4
Firm Past Performance	2.0	0.8	1.6	1.7	1.5	0.7	1.5
Team Member Experience & Qualifications	4.0	1.4	3.4	3.4	3.6	2.2	2.8
Team Experience on work of similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	1.4	3.2	3.2	3.4	1.8	3.0
Team Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2.0	0.8	1.7	1.7	1.5	0.9	1.1
Demonstrated Understanding of Scope of Service	5.0	1.5	4.3	4.5	4.3	2.5	4.3
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5.0	2.0	4.3	4.8	4.5	2.8	4.0
Total	100.0	42.1	83.0	87.3	87.0	47.1	65.1

Streets Bond 2022 and CIP FY2023

Proposal Evaluation	Score	LJA Engineering	Lockwood Andrews and Newnam	Munoz Engineering	Pape Dawson	Trimad Consultants	Tolunay Wong
Minimum Qualifications	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Licensing / Certification		×	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	$\checkmark$
No Material Lawsuits Past 5 Years		×	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$
No Material Regulatory Issues Past 5 Years		×	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	✓	<	×	$\checkmark$
References Provided for Firm		×	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$
Technical Proposal	70.0	62.0	40.0	49.0	49.0	26.0	12.0
Experience on projects of similar scope and complexity	7.0	6.3	4.2	4.6	5.6	2.5	1.1
Demonstrated capability & capacity on comparable projects	7.0	6.0	3.5	4.2	4.9	2.1	1.4
Past Performance	7.0	6.3	3.5	4.2	4.6	2.8	1.4
Team members with experience and qualifications	7.0	6.7	3.9	5.3	4.9	2.5	1.4
Team members experience with work of similar scope and complexity	7.0	6.0	4.2	4.9	4.6	2.5	1.1
Availability of resources to accomplish the work	7.0	6.0	3.5	4.6	3.9	2.5	1.1
Demonstrated understanding of the scope of services	14.0	12.6	8.4	10.5	10.5	5.6	2.8
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	14.0	12.6	8.4	10.5	9.8	5.6	2.1
Interview	30.0	24.1	19.2	18.4	19.6	0.0	0.0
Firm Experience on Projects of Similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	3.2	2.8	2.8	2.8	0.0	0.0
Firms Capability & Capacity on Comparable Projects	4.0	3.2	2.6	2.6	2.8	0.0	0.0
Firm Past Performance	2.0	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.0	0.0
Team Member Experience & Qualifications	4.0	3.4	2.8	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0
Team Experience on work of similar Scope and Complexity	4.0	3.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	0.0	0.0
Team Availability of resources to accomplish the work	2.0	1.6	1.2	0.9	1.3	0.0	0.0
Demonstrated Understanding of Scope of Service	5.0	4.0	3.3	3.3	3.5	0.0	0.0
Demonstrated understanding and experience with a public agency	5.0	4.0	3.3	3.3	3.5	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	86.1	59.2	67.4	68.6	26.0	12.0