1	
2	
3	
4	*************
5	
6	TRANSCRIPTION OF
7	CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI
8	BUILDING STANDARDS BOARD MEETING
9	AUGUST 10, 2015
10	
11	REGARDING THE PROPERTY AT 625 GORDON
12	MULTIFAMILY APARTMENT COMPLEX
13	************
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2.

SPEAKER: This case we have representation by Rebecca Pezzi, Code Enforcement Official. The case is 14-1052. Owner of the property is listed as Corpus Note Acquisitions, LLC. Property is at 625 Gordon. It's multifamily apartment units.

Staff recommendation is demolition. Structure is 62 percent delapidated overall. And under the provisions of Section 13-22H1C of the Corpus Christi Property Maintenance Code, and due to the substandard and delapidated condition of the property, of the structure, it is a hazard to the health and safety and welfare of the public and is henceforth declared -- yeah, sorry, I lost my place -- therefore, the owner will be required to demolish the structure within 30 days. If demolition is not started or completed as required, then the City will be authorized to demolish.

And then we have Rebecca Pezzi presenting on this property.

MS. PEZZI: Hi, I'm Rebecca Pezzi. I started this case in May 2014 from the occupants and tenants complaining to me about the living conditions. It was built in 1963, so it's been there a little bit, but the first building and apartment I'm going to -- sorry in advance, it's kind of long because there's so many apartments.

1	In the first apartment, we found signs of mold
2	and mildew. There was heavy water damage, broken
3	windows. There's no screens on any of the windows.
4	Sheetrock damage and cracks in the walls. And we went
5	over there, and Norm from Development Services, and then
6	we brought other entities over there as well, but you can
7	see the water damage in there.
8	Number 2, Apartment Number 2, there was damaged
9	sheetrock as well. The copper was taken out of the
10	walls. Water heater and the A/C were disconnected.
11	SPEAKER: Were these occupied units at the
12	time?
13	MS. PEZZI: When I first started, yes. But now
14	they're not in these pictures.
15	SPEAKER: Okay. And how many units total are
16	there?
17	MS. PEZZI: 42. There's broken windows, and
18	then also no screens. Water damage, ceiling damage in
19	the restroom area. Door frame damage, and the paint was
20	deteriorating. Door frame, holes in the wall, damaged
21	light fixtures, broken windows, missing screens.
22	Number 16 had sheetrock damage, holes in the
23	walls. The copper was taken, mold and mildew, paint
24	deteriorating, ceiling damage in the restroom area.
25	Number 34, again I'm sorry, this is very

1 consistent throughout all of them. But sheetrock damage, 2. holes in the walls. This floor was very soft when I --3 it felt like it was buckling when I would walk on it. 4 Exposed wiring. The floor was soft in here as well. 5 That's what that picture is. More exposed wires. was holes where people came in as well through the 6 7 ceiling after it was boarded up. Damaged counter. 8 Apartment Number 35 had damaged sheetrock, 9 holes in the walls. The copper was taken also from this 10 apartment. The A/C and water heater were disconnected. 11 Had broken glass at the window, and the A/C was 12 disconnected. And this floor was buckling as well. 13 Apartment 36 had sheetrock damage, holes in the 14 walls, damaged door frame, missing screens, taking --15 stolen copper from the walls. 16 Number 37 had a damaged door frame, the rotten 17 sill, water damage, and possible signs of mold 18 and mildew, a damaged front door. Exposed wiring, 19 missing screens and broken glass, cracks in the ceilings 20 and walls. And that's where the floor was soft. 21 switch covers were damaged, and someone coming in through 22 the ceiling. 23 Number 17, damaged door frame from people This one had fire damage. This was one of 24 coming in. 25 the apartments that was set on fire. And it has, it had

1 heavy water damage before and after the fire, but there's 2. damaged sheetrock, holes in the walls, and they took some 3 of the copper from this apartment as well. 4 Number 18 had heavy water damage, and this is 5 when people were living in there. Signs of mold and mildew, paint deterioration. It had a broken window 6 7 frame and broken glass and no screens in that apartment 8 as well. Number 19 had water damage, holes in the walls, 9 10 sheetrock damage, missing screens and broken glass. One of the lavatories is loose from the wall. Had a damaged 11 12 countertop, cracks in the ceilings. 13 Apartment 38 upstairs, this is above the one 14 that was on fire. They had some damage from that fire. 15 A/C and water heater disconnected, sheetrock damage, door 16 frame, broken glass and screens. 17 Isaac Rodriguez, Police MR. RODRIGUEZ: 18 Department. We were actually told by Development 19 Services not to go to that apartment because the walkway 20 to that was, looked dangerous. So I just wanted to put 21 that in there. She still went, though. 22 MS. PEZZI: That's a different one. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sorry, I misspoke. 24 MS. PEZZI: Apartment 4 -- I'm sorry -- 39 had

holes in the walls. This is when it was occupied.

1 was showing me where all the floors were very soft and 2. buckling when we walked on them. She wouldn't even let me go in certain areas because she knew the soft spots. 3 4 Sheetrock damage. They had a leak in the bathroom, 5 broken windows, no screens. And again, there's another soft spot in the floor. 6 7 Apartment 40, another upstairs apartment, had 8 cracks in the ceilings, broken glass and no screens. 9 Apartment Number 20 had damaged sheetrock, 10 water damage, stolen copper. The A/C and the water heater were disconnected. Broken switch covers, holes in 11 12 the walls. Oops, I'm sorry. 13 Number 21 is the, where the fire was that he 14 was talking about, or it -- we wouldn't go in there. 15 Development Services wouldn't let us go in there. So we 16 just took what we could from the door and -- but it was 17 arson, correct? 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I'll talk about that in a 19 minute. But --20 MS. PEZZI: Okay. 21 They both were arson. MR. RODRIGUEZ: 22 MS. PEZZI: Well, he had told me that, so I 23 wasn't sure. But I'll let you talk about that in a 24 minute. 25 Number 41 was sheetrock damage, holes in the

walls, and this is the upstairs apartment. Copper taken.

The paint was deteriorating, and ceiling damage. Missing

screens. The shower, this is how it was when they were,

And Apartment 42, Development Services didn't want -- Norm didn't want to go upstairs because of the, not the patio but the --

SPEAKER: The balcony.

it was occupied. You can see next door.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, the balcony, it was not sound. They didn't want to walk on it. I went up there, I had went up there already and took pictures, just myself, and people had come in through the wall.

The A/C and water heater were disconnected, broken glass, missing screens, and light fixtures were damaged as well, broken windows.

This, I just want to put a little bit in here, this is a laundry room. This is a, there's a hole on the right side of -- whoa, I'm sorry, I forgot it was a touch screen -- there's a hole to the outside. It's just a cover on the outside blocking that hole on the right side of the picture. When there were washer and dryers, it was like that.

Some of the exterior pictures of the outside, of the brick, and it's not veneer, so it's actual brick, and the cracks in the mortar.

24

25

1 Here, there was a pipe attached to the wall 2. with all the copper wiring, they had cut that down and 3 removed it and cut all the wire off of that as well. 4 This was taken from all the buildings. 5 no one in particular in order. That's part of the 6 balcony that was burned that you can see there. And the 7 covers were all kind of patch job, and so they had water 8 damage because they weren't weatherproof, so they're 9 rotting. 10 The porches and stairs were, weren't in really 11 good condition. The stairs were replaced with pieces of 12 wood. And some, if they weren't even, were wedged with 13 pieces of wood to make them even, but it was very 14 unstable. And it was separating from the actual balcony, the stairs in certain areas. 15 They had rotten wood. Some were missing boards 16 17 on the steps. And the balconies, some had inadequate 18 It had missing handrails. Some were rusted support. 19 Uneven landing on the balconies on the second 20 floor, and loose support posts. 21 The bottom right, you can see it's rusted. 22 It's not even connected any more to, the railing, to the

The bottom right, you can see it's rusted.

It's not even connected any more to, the railing, to the bottom of the stairs. More rust connecting to the patio.

Again, that's not even connected, the post.

This is what we had, just threw this in here,

2.

what it looked like before we had filled it. The tenants were just throwing stuff in there. But it's no longer like that. We filled it. So it's just there now.

The roof, we had the drone come and assist us with this to take aerial photos. We found rotten eaves, rotten rafter tails, and the roof was weathered and worn on all the buildings. All right. I tried to hurry.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, I'll try to hurry, too. Isaac Rodriguez, Police Department. Just to give you a background and kind of the criminal history of the property, this, due to the living conditions and due to the criminal activity at this location, it was turned into a Interdepartmental Nuisance Abatement Task Force case, and we contacted the landlord or the management company at that time. They agreed that it was in bad condition, so they were going to evict everybody to fix all the problems. They evicted everybody. Everybody was finally out in December of last year, and they said that they were going to fix it. They haven't done that since then.

Just to give you some more history as far as the criminal history, there have been, in the two-year period there the past two years, there have been 98 reports filed for this location, and 19 of those were felonies.

2.

Out of those 19 felonies, there are three arsons. One was a bed that was set on fire in the lot. The other two were the two apartments, and they were considered arson by the Fire Department. One they found lighter fluid and they saw -- they had a witness that saw three Hispanic males leaving the location.

Some of the other reports that have been placed there are prostitution, possession of controlled substance, of which I think one was heroin and the other one was for sure synthetic marijuana.

There are other cases where the Gang Unit advised me that it was, it's -- it was weird because they called it a gang melting pot. Usually gangs, they stick in one place and there's only one gang. This had several different gangs in one location. So they were constantly going by and they would find all this stuff going on.

And other criminal stuff that happened there were they had garbage, refuse and other waste, which they had raw sewage leaking out into public areas where the kids were playing, and that happened twice. We had to give them two citations, one on 6/11/2014 and the other on July 1st, 2014.

The property has been left, after, after it was empty, reports stopped being made because there was nobody there to make them. But officers still went by.

2.

And I advised, after it was completely empty, I advised the management company that on 1/30/2015 that they were getting into the apartments and they were stealing the copper and that they needed to find a way to secure them, make sure that nobody got in there.

They didn't do anything. We had to secure the building. We had to board up all the apartments, and they are still going in there and stealing copper.

They're still going in there to this day.

Actually, I have a report here on July 31st, there was an officer doing a routine patrol, because it is a very, very high traffic, high problem area, doing routine patrol. He found two individuals, one -- that were trying to steal copper. He arrested them for criminal trespass. They didn't get a chance to steal the copper, so he was unable to charge them with that.

We've done, I think, nine board-ups total in that place, and that's just the ones that we were able to get approved. We had to wait some time to where we can maybe get it done. We were trying to make contact with the landowner and get them to do it, but they wouldn't do it themselves.

And just also to go on, not only is the Police
Department doing a continuous patrol of the area, the
Fire Department does that as well. One time whenever we

2.

were there doing an inspection, the Fire Department pulled up, and I was like, "Is there a call here?" They said, "No, we come here every once in a while to check the property because we've had so many calls here."

So it is a high crime area that is not being

taken care of. The kids from the Ray High School right across the street, they make their way over there sometimes. It is a dangerous place. As you can see, the railings are there. Transients go in there. As you can see by some of the toilets on the pictures that were in the presentation, they go in there, they stay in there. They use the facilities. They sleep overnight. We're just kind of concerned about the kids going and being in contact with that, so --

MS. PEZZI: While I was there with Officer
Mihalko, there was a man coming out of the boarded
apartment. And they're -- at first they were taking them
off and going in, and now they leave them on there and
just kind of squeeze in. And we heard the wood hit the
building, and we turned around and someone was walking
out, and he ran from the officer.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I do have a sampling of some of the, the reports here. I have 13 reports, and then I have the three arsons, if you do want to take a look.

MS. PEZZI: They also have \$44,411 in back

1 taxes as well. I mean, that's in the book, but --2. I have a couple of things to add as MS. CANTU: 3 well, Tracy Cantu with Code Enforcement. Up to this 4 point, since we went back for September, and I think that 5 was when the board-ups and the abatement events began, there have been a total of 11 abatement events that have 6 7 had to be conducted by Code Enforcement, totaling almost 8 \$20,000, as far as fees and funds expended for abatement. 9 We did also ask our Building Official, Gene 10 Delauro, if he could make a general statement on some 11 requirements from building inspections that might be 12 needed if the property were to be rehabilitated, some of 13 the requirements, just have him make a statement about 14 that, if you don't mind, Gene. MR. DELAURO: Gene Delauro, Building Official. 15 16 So this project, as with any project, would be subject to 17 the 50 percent rule. And the 50 percent rule is that if 18 you perform work, the value of that work, if it exceeds 19 50 percent of the taxable value of the building, then the 20 entire building has to come up to current standards and 21 codes. So, difficult to tell, we have big buildings 22 23 We've got obviously a lot of damage that needs to But what's probably unknown at this time is 24 be done.

what's behind those walls and how that water has

1 deteriorated that building. And that probably wouldn't 2. be known until, until that was all removed. 3 So, but if the value of the work exceeded the 4 taxable value, the entire, all the buildings would have 5 to come up. And I don't know what the taxable value of these buildings are. Given their current state, they're 6 7 liable not to be very high, which means the threshold for doing the amount of work you want to do is probably, 8 9 could push these things to be brought up to current 10 standards. 11 SPEAKER: The current taxable value is 12 \$1,233,000. 13 MR. DELAURO: So if you did -- I'm sorry, 14 1.2 million? So if you did in excess of \$600,000 of work 15 to these buildings, you'd have to bring, bring all the 16 buildings up to current standard. 17 And that is measured in a 12-month period. So 18 you can't, you can't do a certain portion of work one 19 year, come back the next year. You know, you have to --20 can't do a project in January, do another one in May, do 21 another one in November. We count all the work across 22 twelve months for that valuation. 23 SPEAKER: Anything more from staff? MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just add one more thing for 24 25 Apartment 17 with all that water damage, we were the ones

1 that found the leak. We were the ones that had to get it 2. shut off to prevent further damage. I just wanted to let you know that the City has been taking care of this place 3 for a very long time. 4 5 SPEAKER: Okay. Any questions of the board to the staff? 6 7 SPEAKER: About how many people were living 8 there whenever you went? 9 MS. PEZZI: They were full, occupied, and there 10 was more than two to three in each one, so -- including 11 children and --12 SPEAKER: So all 42 units? Wow. 13 MS. PEZZI: 40, Apartment 40 -- actually, Apartment 40 was the only one that was not in horrible 14 15 condition. I guess she was good in with the previous 16 owner and he kind of took care of her. But the rest of 17 them were kind of, they took their money and that's it. 18 SPEAKER: And was management on site, or no 19 management on site? 20 MS. PEZZI: We would call her and she would -the previous manager would kind of avoid our calls and 21 22 didn't communicate what was happening, and then when she 23 did, it was kind of like not happening. It was kind of like blowing smoke kind of thing, just to prolong it or 24

kind of hold off.

25

1 SPEAKER: Do we have ownership representation 2. Then at this time I'd like to open a public here? Okay. 3 hearing for the property at 625 Gordon. 4 SPEAKER: (Inaudible) -- licensed engineer here 5 in the State of Texas. Right now I'm representing the 6 management company (inaudible). If I could get 7 (inaudible). It's a very good report, I've heard. 8 appreciate the thoroughness of it. One thing I'd like to 9 mention, though, is that it does sound like it's more of 10 an indictment of the management and the maintenance 11 operations of the previous owner. There's currently a 12 new owner now. It's a different owner. 13 SPEAKER: Well --14 SPEAKER: I'm sorry? 15 I'm sorry, go ahead. SPEAKER: 16 This owner is wanting to repair the SPEAKER: 17 property and bring it up to speed and has been making 18 some visible changes. I understand, and I do appreciate 19 the law enforcement's opinion of this, and we don't want 20 the property to be any part of a detrimental or 21 deleterious facility in the community. We don't want to 22 see that. 23 We are in the process of putting up a fence 24 around it and boarding up windows. In the report, it was 25 noted that there was damage in various areas, including

2.

doors, windows, walls, ceilings, roofs, seemed to cover everything. What I did see, though, is that most of the damage now did seem superficial in its nature, in that it was people pulling off sheetrock to be able to get to the copper behind it. They pulled the copper out.

That's, if you look at those photos again, you could see the studs in the wall were still red. They weren't that dull gray that you get when wood gets old and is not in very good shape.

So when I walked the site, yes, I did notice that you had a lot of damage to the sheetrock. You had a lot of damage to doors. You had a lot of damage to windows. The property was purchased in a foreclosed condition. It was a distressed property. I don't think that the current owner was fully aware of what, of how bad it might have been. However, since that, we've done some walk-throughs. We've looked at it. We've done a couple of reports internally to look at it to see what it might would take to bring it up to speed.

I do understand that there's a lot of damage, but in terms of sheetrock, we're going to replace the sheetrock. In terms of the windows, if we're going to bring it up, as Mr. Delauro said, to Windstorm compliance, I've got to put new windows in. I've got to put new doors in. The windows and doors are going to

2.

have to be Windstorm required.

So in my mind, we've got an owner here who is willing to spend the money, and it's probably the only man in the world that's willing to spend the money to bring this place up to speed. We're not asking for time for us to get the money to work on it. We're asking for time to be able to set aside the legal issues that we have currently from the transfer of the property from the previous owner to the current owner, because the previous owner left the property in a distressed condition.

And so what we would like to do is develop the property. We'd like to rip out all that sheetrock. We'd like to rip out all that wiring. We'd like to put on a new roof. We'd like to fix the doors. We'd like to fix it all. And we've got the money to do it.

And we're taking steps currently to put a fence around and keep it up. And we understand the previous owner just threw his hands up and had nothing to say or do about it, and that caused the City actually to shell out the money to do that previous owner's responsibility.

And we're not that type of owner. We don't want to do that. We don't want to see that. We want to be a responsible owner of the building in the community. We know that -- we want people to move back in there. That will increase the tax base.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We don't want to see it flattened and go to a vacant lot, and who knows how long it will sit there as That's kind of a built-up area, and people are vacant. wanting to build up in other areas of town. So what we'd like to do at this point is ask you for the ability to allow us to rehabilitate the facility. And I understand, as Mr. Delauro says, we are, I can guarantee you, above that 50 percent, so we will have to bring this up to code. And so we're not asking for it to be any code waivers or anything like that. And again, as Mr. Delauro said, when we get in there and start ripping up boards and find some of these spongy floors, it might be that it's just the plywood, or it might be that it's the structure. But in either case, we plan on fixing that. Is there anything that you guys have, a question for me in terms of how we're intending on proceeding forward that might help you with your decisions? SPEAKER: When do you intend on beginning this project? SPEAKER: Right now, we are currently fencing it in, and we understand the law enforcement community's issues and concerns about having it open to the public.

I have children in school. I don't want them -- you

1 know, I understand kids from Ray getting over in 2. there and doing things. We don't want that either. So 3 we are currently wrapping it now with a fence. 4 SPEAKER: Has the Police Department seen the 5 fencing that's being installed? Is that adequate to 6 secure the property? 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: If they continue, yes. But 8 they only have put fence in the front part of the 9 property and not the back part where the school is. 10 SPEAKER: We're waiting on the remainder of the 11 fence to be brought in. But yes, we do plan on bringing 12 the whole site and locking and securing it. 13 SPEAKER: And damage to the floors, a lot of comments and photographs of floor condition --14 15 Spongy floors? Go ahead, yes, sir. SPEAKER: 16 SPEAKER: And then also the exterior, all the 17 cracking in the brick. There is a structural engineer 18 who's going to be involved in the assessment? 19 SPEAKER: We would have a full team, yes. Ιt 20 would be an architect, civil engineer, structural 21 engineer, and a MEP team. 22 SPEAKER: When did the owner acquire this 23 property? I don't think I --24 SPEAKER: (Inaudible) -- I'm with McLemore 25 Management. The owner has asked me to get involved since

2.

about August 1st. I believe he acquired the property in 2014, sometime August, September. At that time when he acquired the property, he became aware of the dire nature of, you know, the issues that the property had and went through an eviction process to get everybody off the property.

He's since then been kind of tied up in litigation with the previous owner trying to work out, you know, getting some money back for this deal. He acquired this as a package of, with multiple other properties, so he wasn't fully aware of the conditions of the place.

So, yeah, you know, he's ready to move forward with at a minimum an evaluation on what it's going to cost to really get this property up, up to speed. That's what we'd like right now is just some time to do that.

We're going to secure the property. We're actually doing that as we speak. It's taken a little time getting the temporary fence up around there because there is so much construction in Corpus right now. So, you know, within a couple of weeks, though, that should be secure.

We're looking to hire a security company to do a daily patrol there. They're maintaining the landscape, keeping the grass cut. We're keeping the trash off the

1 property. I have a guy that goes out there once a week 2. to check on all that stuff. 3 So, you know, that's what we're asking for at 4 this time. 5 In your letter, it says it's about an SPEAKER: 18- to 24-month time frame to complete all of the 6 7 repairs? 8 SPEAKER: Total construction. That's total 9 construction. We intend on gutting the facility, 10 bringing, taking everything back down to structure so 11 that we can get in there and find out where any issues 12 are. Such as if we've had water leaks around a window, 13 we want to pull that floor up, we want to open that wall 14 up to see how the structure is in there. And if we need 15 to make repairs in there, then we need to do that. 16 But in terms of the overall construction, I'm 17 estimating about a year-and-a-half to two years for the 18 total construction, before they can start leasing out 19 again. 20 SPEAKER: (Inaudible) -- pictures looked really bad (inaudible) and they are, but a lot of it is just 21 22 cleaning it up, getting that out of there, the sheetrock. 23 As Ward said, you know, the framing generally looks 24 pretty good. It's a brick building. It's not like we've 25 got a bunch of siding that's rotted (inaudible).

```
1
    know, our client would like to not (inaudible) by tearing
2.
    it down, so --
 3
               SPEAKER: How much do you think it's going to
4
    take to --
5
               SPEAKER: We're thinking in the range of
    a million, maybe (inaudible). Yeah, we're going to do a
6
7
    thorough evaluation. If it comes back and it's two
8
     (inaudible), this is not (inaudible) -- like the time to
9
    evaluate (inaudible), get the job done within the
10
     1.2 million, like (inaudible).
11
               SPEAKER: When will you have that decision made
    on the total renovation versus demolition?
12
13
               SPEAKER: Mr. Ward on his time line there kind
14
    of has some points there that (inaudible).
15
               SPEAKER: Well, we need probably, Shane, about
16
    two or three weeks to be able to get in there, do some
17
    destructive testing of our own. What you all were seeing
18
    earlier was our advanced destructive demolition team.
19
    They were pretty good, weren't they?
20
               But beyond all that, we would need maybe a
    month to get in there to look, to see, to contract with a
21
22
    structural engineer to get in there and really look, to
23
    bring in an architect.
24
               I noticed that, you know, a lot of the plumbing
25
    fixtures are gone. We're going to replace those anyway.
```

```
1
    So the water heaters, we're going to replace those
2.
    anyway. Air handlers, air conditioning units, we're
 3
    going to replace those anyway. And we have money set
4
    aside for that.
5
               But to be able to go in and make that initial
    determination as to whether or not to release those funds
6
7
    to be able to do that work, we would need a little bit of
8
    time to bring professionals in, do some destructive
9
    testing, so that we can visually get in there and see
10
    what we need to do and what's going to be required to be
11
    able to do that.
12
               SPEAKER: When's our next scheduled board
13
    meeting?
14
               SPEAKER: September 23rd is the next
15
    scheduled --
               SPEAKER: 24th (inaudible). Y'all mention
16
17
    affordable housing in your packet here. What is
18
    affordable housing? What are these units expected to
19
    rent for?
20
               SPEAKER: (Inaudible) would manage that or
21
    have -- units of this size would be in the range from 700
22
    to $900 a month. Generally renting apartments for about
23
    700 bucks for a one bedroom. Two bedrooms are going in
    the $800 range; three bedrooms in the $900 range.
24
25
               SPEAKER: (Inaudible) I mean, as we understand
```

```
1
    it, there's a bit of a affordable housing crisis here
2.
     (inaudible).
3
              SPEAKER: Further questions from the board?
4
    The security company you mentioned, that would be --
5
    what's the plan for the requirements?
6
              SPEAKER:
                         I'm sorry? Say that again?
7
              SPEAKER: What's the plan to require them to
8
    patrol?
9
              SPEAKER: Right now we're actively just looking
10
    to find a company that can do the job. We haven't
11
    secured a company yet.
12
              SPEAKER: You understand the Police
13
    Department's concern and the neighborhood concern about
14
    crime in the area.
15
              SPEAKER: Absolutely. Absolutely. And we're
16
    taking steps to secure the property. You know, if
17
    somebody really, really wants to get on that property,
18
    they're going to be able to. There's nothing we can do
19
    about that, other than catch it quickly.
20
              But we're going to make it difficult for people
21
    to get on there, and we're paying attention to it.
22
    you know, in the last year, nobody's paid attention to
23
    the property, other than the City apparently. So that's
24
    changed now.
25
                         I think to Shane's point, though, if
               SPEAKER:
```

2.

you only have a security company that's going around once a day, that's really not going to be enough to -- people will figure out the patterns.

SPEAKER: You know, we, we need some help from the City as well. I mean, my client has multiple properties in this town, pay a lot of taxes, you know, we need some help from the City, too.

SPEAKER: Yeah, well, we don't want to see the City lose \$45,000 in revenue off of the taxes.

SPEAKER: (Inaudible) -- a lot of that is because it's an easy (inaudible) close to certain things, like (inaudible). Hopefully some of that nuisance (inaudible). Property certainly (inaudible) back onto the site, grab whatever remaining (inaudible) hopefully that kind of nuisance. Before now, I mean, you could just grab and run (inaudible). As before, someone came out of an apartment while they were there and took off running.

SPEAKER: You know, a daily patrol is at least going to, if somebody's in a unit and squatting in a unit or staying in a unit overnight, they're going to catch it quickly. And I think once somebody's checking on that place on a daily basis, you know, it's going to cut it down dramatically.

I mean most, most crime like this is easy

1 opportunity. And as Jim's saying, like right now you can 2. just freely walk through the property. And making it 3 difficult to do that is going to prevent that, or at 4 least prevent 90 percent of it, you'd think. 5 SPEAKER: What we'd like to present is that you have a new owner, and (inaudible) previous owner did not 6 7 care (inaudible) call and complain with water leakage and 8 things like that, and the management company would not respond. And that's one of the reasons that we led into 9 10 this sale where it was in foreclosed condition. 11 So with the new owner now, we're going to bring 12 this away from -- we want to get away from that kind of 13 management, be able to bring it back up. We think we 14 have the money to rebuild it. We'd like to be able to do 15 a little bit more investigation just to be sure, but we 16 think we have everything in place to be able to move forward in a positive way. We'd just like to ask for 17 18 assistance in that, allow us to do that. 19 SPEAKER: In our City ordinance --20 SPEAKER: Yes, sir. 21 SPEAKER: -- codes, I'm sorry. 22 SPEAKER: Yes, sir. 23 SPEAKER: (Inaudible) about the bond? (Inaudible) about the watchman 24 SPEAKER: 25 requirement she's about to read just to remind the

1 property manager. 2. SPEAKER: It's in the UBC, Section 13-3012, Watchman Required: "If boarding, fencing, or the use of 3 4 electronic monitoring systems, or the use of other 5 methods fails to keep unauthorized persons from entering or occupying a vacant building, the owner shall have a 6 7 watchman on duty upon the premises every day continuously 8 between the hours of 4:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m." 9 Section B, "The watchman must remain on duty 10 daily during the required hours until the building is 11 either legally occupied, demolished, or sold." So I think it would take a little bit more than a drive by. 12 13 SPEAKER: That sounds like the trigger is if 14 law enforcement comes back and says we still have a 15 nuisance. And they can't stop that nuisance from 16 happening, other than putting a watchman on 24/7, then we 17 might can do that. 18 But at this point, let's do what the ordinance 19 says, let us put the fence on, let us board the windows 20 up, and if we still hear from Officer Isaac that we have an issue there, then we'll look at other options. 21 22 certainly will, yes, sir. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let me add something real I was -- that would be the next course of action, 24 quick.

because the board-ups are definitely not working.

1 next way would be to put the fence up. So I do concede 2. that. 3 But I do want to also just let you know, this 4 is not a new owner. The owner, this owner has had the 5 property since we've been working the case the whole entire time. So he keeps saying new owner, new owner. 6 7 SPEAKER: Well, that's why I asked when he 8 acquired the property. 9 It is a new owner in terms of the SPEAKER: 10 issues that we're having. The issues that we're having 11 are coming from the previous owner, the previous 12 management, and the style they had to operate the place. 13 The style was that we take the tenants' money and we do 14 nothing. And that's why it, over time, deteriorated. Ιt 15 went into foreclosure. The new owner bought it in a 16 distressed condition and has been tied up legally and 17 can't, we can't go on to the property now and do anything 18 really until we get the legalities out of the way. 19 So what's the time frame for that? 20 Because earlier you said that we would be able to 21 determine a month from now the feasibility of rehabbing 22 it, but --23 SPEAKER: I'm not directly involved in that, but as I understand it, that has been resolved to the 24

point where we can move forward.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the main thing here is our client took the property over in August, September of last year. There was three or four months of getting everybody off the property, and he's been in this litigation with the previous (inaudible) trying to get some things resolved. So, you know, things probably could have progressed a little more quickly. He also had, the Reid property was part of (inaudible) and these properties came in, tied up with that. You know, he's spending a lot of money. He doesn't have an endless supply of money. You know, we, he has to raise funds (inaudible). You know, he's had (inaudible) related to this deal (inaudible), the Reid Apartments, which is across the street that we had torn down (inaudible). Gordon has just unfortunately been a little bit pushed back, but we're ready to do something now. SPEAKER: What's a reasonable time for the feasibility? Can y'all have it back by September 24th? SPEAKER: (Inaudible) getting close (inaudible). We can give it a shot, but it's going to be We can probably get a preliminary one, not a thorough one, that would give you maybe a rough indication of kind of whether or not yea or nay. We could pick some of the more worse areas, where we had the water damage and things and pull that up

1 and see where we're at, like the spongy floors and 2. things. Some of the exterior work, like the balconies, 3 patios and stair rails, we're planning on repairing that, replacing that in total. We're not going to try and put 4 5 boards up. 6 SPEAKER: (Inaudible) have a pretty good idea 7 by that time, but realistically we'd like two months to 8 do that. 9 SPEAKER: Well, our next meeting isn't till November after that, which we're going to continue with 10 11 maybe some of the same problems, hopefully not with the 12 fence, but --13 SPEAKER: Right. 14 SPEAKER: Prolonging some of this --15 SPEAKER: I would like to -- I'd be more than 16 happy to come back and kind of give you guys an update 17 for your next hearing (inaudible) helpful, and 18 (inaudible) at that point. It's a hard project we have 19 ahead of ourselves here, and (inaudible). 20 If we table this, we will expect it SPEAKER: to be brought forward again. I mean, to table it will 21 22 mean it comes forward to us again for action at the next 23 meeting. And the Police Department and Code Enforcement 24 will all be here with an update for us, including the 25 owners, if y'all have something to add. If not, we have

1	the opportunity to take action at that time.
2	SPEAKER: That's reasonable.
3	SPEAKER: Any discussion questions further?
4	SPEAKER: I think also that we need to point
5	out that the City also has the right to request a bond or
6	a surety bond is that correct, right, on Page 5
7	that will, you know, you put up a bond of a minimum of
8	\$100,000, and then you've got to provide also a financing
9	letter as well, or some kind of letter determining that
10	this is something that the owner can do, because you did
11	say that they have a bunch of other properties and not an
12	endless supply of money. So I think that might be, might
13	help your case as well, if that's
14	SPEAKER: (Inaudible) state law is where she's
15	at.
16	SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
17	SPEAKER: Other questions? Okay. If not, I'm
18	going to close the public hearing. Thank you.
19	SPEAKER: Thank you.
20	SPEAKER: And if there's nothing further from
21	staff, we're going to have a motion made.
22	SPEAKER: All right. I would like to add one
23	thing.
24	SPEAKER: Please.
25	SPEAKER: We did have the opportunity to meet

2.

with the management yesterday, and they gave us a prelude to what they were going to discuss and their intent for the rehabilitation of the property. And we did explain to them that we had to go ahead and move forward with the board. The case had already been set to come to the board, and that was due to the neglect of the owner, the current owner, and his nonresponsiveness up until this point.

We have, you know, followed due diligence and due process of law to notify the owner and any responsible parties. Again, I made the point of the amount of money that the City has put out to maintain and to secure this property up to this point, and we are just looking for some assurance and accountability.

And you know, I know that they do have a plan now, but just making that point that, you know, the reason we had to get here was because of the nonresponsiveness.

So just, we would like to continue with the discussions with the management group in coming to, you know, with an actual formal plan so that when we do come back before you next month, you know, there is something more concrete with some firm deadlines and we'll continue working with them if that is what the board decides.

SPEAKER: (Inaudible) motion.

```
1
               SPEAKER: So I would like to make a motion --
2.
    let's see if I can get through this -- that we table this
3
    for our next meeting for September -- what date is it?
4
               SPEAKER:
                         24th.
5
               SPEAKER: 24th, but in the meantime -- and we
    will rehear the case at that point. In the meantime,
6
7
    that the property be secured, that the fence be put up,
8
    that a third party security company be hired as well, and
    then that we will be provided with some financials and a
9
10
    more definitive plan at the next hearing.
11
               MR. TORNO: Shane Torno, second the motion.
12
    Discussion? Okay. Then we'll vote from left to right.
13
               SPEAKER: Aye.
14
               SPEAKER: Aye.
               SPEAKER: Aye.
15
16
               SPEAKER:
                         Aye.
17
               MR. TORNO: Thank y'all.
18
          (End of recording.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF TEXAS)
2	COUNTY OF NUECES)
3	
4	I, MOLLY CARTER, Certified Shorthand Reporter
5	for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the
б	foregoing 34 pages, numbered 1 through 34, constitute a
7	full, true and correct transcription, to the best of my
8	ability, of the proceedings had in the above-captioned
9	cause; thereafter, reduced to typewritten form by me and
10	under my supervision.
11	Certified to by me this 25th day of January
12	2016.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	MOLLY CARTER, CSR, RPR, CRR CSR NO. 2613, Expires 12-31-17
18	DepoTexas - Firm No. 644
19	615 N. Upper Broadway, Suite 1450 Corpus Christi, Texas 78401 Telephone: (361) 883-3400
20	1e1ebijojie: (201) 992-2400
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	